

Brussels, 17 January 2019 (OR. en)

5138/19

Interinstitutional File: 2016/0280(COD)

LIMITE

PI 3
AUDIO 1
COMPET 19
CULT 5
RECH 13
TELECOM 5
CODEC 42
DIGIT 3
EDUC 8

NOTE

From:	Presidency
To:	Permanent Representatives Committee
No. prev. doc.:	WK 15629/2018, WK 421/2018, WK 422/2019
No. Cion doc.:	12254/16
Subject:	Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on Copyright in the Digital Single Market
	- Update of negotiating mandate

I. INTRODUCTION

On 25 May 2018, COREPER granted the Presidency a mandate (9134/18) to start negotiations with the European Parliament (EP) on the above-mentioned proposal with a view to reaching a first reading agreement. The EP adopted its negotiating mandate on 12 September 2018 (11520/18).

Trilogue negotiations started on 2 October 2018. Four more trilogues (on 25 October, 26 November, 3 and 13 December 2018), as well was a number of technical meetings were held. The 6th political trilogue scheduled for 21 January 2019 is intended to be the final trilogue at which an overall compromise on the proposed Directive should be reached in order to allow for an adoption of the proposed Directive in the current legislative term.

5138/19 BM/ 1 ECOMP 3.B. **LIMITE EN** In the negotiations held so far, provisional agreement has been achieved between the co-legislators on large parts of the proposed Directive (see green rows of the text set out in the 4th column of the 4-column table attached to this note). As always, this provisional agreement is based on the understanding that "nothing is agreed until everything is agreed".

No final agreement could yet be reached on the following issues that will be the essential elements for a final compromise:

- remaining open issues in **Article 11** (non-substantial parts ("snippets") of press publications, term of protection, and authors' participation in the remuneration of press publishers);
- remaining open issues in **Article 13** (in particular the mitigation of liability, stay down, treatment of user generated content uploaded by users (UGC), and the treatment of micro and small and medium sized enterprises);
- Article minus 14 (appropriate and proportionate remuneration),
- Article 16a of the EP text (revocation right);
- Article 3a (optional or mandatory nature).

Taking into account the discussions held with Copyright Attachés on 9 and 15 January 2019, the Presidency has prepared compromise proposals on all those matters (see part II of this note), which will be the core elements of a final compromise package. The Presidency asks COREPER to provide a mandate as flexible as possible on those issues so that an overall compromise can be achieved at the upcoming trilogue.

As the trilogue on 21 January 2019 is intended to be the final one, also other remaining issues (still in yellow in the 4-column table), and the text of the recitals need to be agreed. To prepare for the trilogue on those other issues and the recitals, a technical meeting was held with the EP on 17 January 2019 in the evening. The Presidency will report the results of this technical meeting to COREPER orally.

5138/19 BM/ 2 ECOMP 3.B. **LIMITE EN**

II. PRESIDENCY SUGGESTIONS

(a) Press publisher's rights (Article 11)

With a view to bridging the differences between the EP's and the Council's position on the highly controversial issue of the treatment of insubstantial parts ("snippets") of press publications, the Presidency asks COREPER whether it could accept, in a spirit of compromise, going into the direction of a moderate quantitative approach that would take a middle way between the Council mandate and the EP text and exclude from the protection of the press publishers' right "individual words and very short extracts of a press publication" (see the compromise wording in the second subparagraph of Article 11(1), row 219). Under the Presidency proposal, this compromise would be accompanied by recital language along the lines of the revised wording in recital (34a), row 72.

As to Article 11(4a) on the authors share of press publishers' remuneration, the compromise text as prepared at the 5th trilogue was not changed (see row 226), which is accompanied by recital (35), row 226).

As regards the term of protection, the Presidency asks COREPER to grant it with the flexibility for going up to two years (Article 11(4), row 225), if this is required to achieve an overall compromise with the EP.

(b) Value gap (Article 13)

The Presidency compromise proposal on Article 13 took as starting point the text prepared at the 5th trilogue (WK15629/18, row 237A).

Based on the Member States comments at the Attachés meetings on 9 and 15 January, the Presidency brought the provisions on the mitigation of liability (Article 13(4)) and stay down (previous Article 13(6)), closer to the Council mandate adopted by COREPER in May 2018. This revised text of Article 13(4a) and (4a) as set out in row 237A of the 4-column document annexed to this note, and highlighted in grey shade) was broadly supported by delegations. To address remaining concerns expressed by delegations on Attachés level, some adaptations were introduced in the recitals (38d) and (39a) (see the text changes highlighted in grey shade in rows 85 and 87). In particular, recital (38d) now explicitly clarifies that uploads by users are covered by the scope of the authorisations given to the online content sharing services. And, in order to clarify that no 'double licencing'/'double payment' is required, this recital also clarifies that any authorisation that users

5138/19 BM/ 3 ECOMP 3.B. **LIMITE EN** obtained for sharing copyright protected content on such platforms also authorises the communication to the public by the platform.

To address the divergent views expressed on the UGC, the Presidency proposes as a compromise a closed list of exceptions based on the existing exceptions under the Infor-Soc Directive 29/2001/EC (see changes made to Article 13(5), row 237A, and the related recital (39a), row 87).

As to the treatment of SMEs, Member States had diverging views on the question as to whether there should be a carve-out in the definition of 'online content sharing service provider' in Article 2(5), or whether the size of the platform should only be taken into account in the proportionality criterion in the duty of care requirement of Article 13(4a). With a view to being able to go into direction of the EP on this point, the Presidency asks Member States for their utmost flexibility towards a carve out in Article 2(5). Taking up the suggestion of some Member States this could be combined with addressing this carve-out in the review clause (Article 22).

(c) Remuneration Chapter

As a result of the trilogue on 13 December 2018, proposals for compromise texts on Article minus 14 (principle of fair remuneration) and Article 16a (revocation right) emerged, which are very much in line with the texts that were presented to COREPER on 12 December 2018.

The Presidency is conscious of the fact that accepting these two provisions would be a very large step for the Council and, as Member States have emphasised at various occasions, can only be given to the EP as concession if, in return, concessions of equal importance are made by the EP, in particular on Articles 11 and 13.

(i) Article minus 14 (principle of fair remuneration)

For being able to go into the direction of the EP on this point in the context of an overall final compromise, the Presidency asks COREPER to confirm its acceptance for the wording of Article minus 14 as set it in rows 262 to 266 of the 4th column, including the term "proportionate" (in addition to the term "appropriate") remuneration, and to support the recitals in row 90 clarifying that the principle does not prevent licencing for free (see horizontal recital (43b)) or lump sum remuneration (recital (39y)). To address delegations' comments, adaptations have been made to that recital, in particular to further clarify the aspects that can/should be taken in account for considering a lump sum payment as a "proportionate" remuneration (see the text changes highlighted in grey shade in row 90).

5138/19 BM/ 4 ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE EN

(ii) Article 16a (revocation right)

For being able to go into the direction of the EP on this point in the context of an overall final compromise, the Presidency asks COREPER to confirm its acceptance for a revocation right in situations of absence of exploitation on the basis of the wording for Article 16a as set it in rows 284 and 288 of the 4th column, including the paragraphs 4 and 5 in row 288, and the related recital (43a), row 97. As is clear from the COREPER mandate given in December 2018, the Presidency will not accept a revocation right for lack of reporting.

On the basis of the discussions at Attachés level, recital (43a) was slightly adapted to clarify that the legitimate interests of licensees and transferees should also be taken into account when setting the procedures and possible time frames for the revocation mechanism (see text highlighted in grey shade in row 97).

(iii) Other changes

- On the basis of the discussions at Attachés level, a new horizontal recital (39x) relating to the whole remuneration Chapter was added to clarify that the Chapter applies only to exploitation contracts (see row 90).
- Exclusion of software developers: In the discussions at COREPER and Attachés level the suggestion was made to exclude software developers from the remuneration Chapter, arguing that software developers were typically subject to different contract models than artists and performers, had a stronger negotiation power for their remuneration and would consequently not require the special protection provided for by the remuneration Chapter. At the Attachés meeting on 15 January 2019, the wording proposed by the Presidency for such an exception was supported by several delegations. It is therefore suggested that this exception is included as a new paragraph 2 in Article 16a (Council text 'Common provisions'), row 283.

(d) Optional exception or limitation for text and data mining (Article 3a)

It is recalled that both co-legislators have drafted the exception or limitation for text and data mining for purposes other than scientific research, which is an exception that was not contained in the initial Commission proposal, as an *optional* exception. In the trilogue negotiations the Commission requested to turn Article 3a into a mandatory provision with a view to avoiding fragmentation in the DSM. COREPER addressed this issue at its meeting on 23 November 2018.

5138/19 BM/ 5 ECOMP 3.B. **LIMITE EN** This issue has not been finalised in the trilogue negotiations, as the EP required further internal reflections, and the decision was left to the final compromise package. With a view to providing the Presidency with an overall mandate for the trilogue on 21 January 2019, the Presidency invites COREPER to confirm whether in an overall compromise package the Presidency can show flexibility to making Article 3a a mandatory provision in case the EP requests such change.

III. CONCLUSION

In the light of the above, the Presidency invites COREPER

- to update the negotiating mandate as suggested in point II of this note and support the compromise texts along the lines of the wording set out in the 4th column of 4-column table attached to this note as negotiating basis for the Presidency at the trilogue on 21 January 2019, and
- to grant the Presidency with the necessary flexibility where minor concessions turn out to be necessary for achieving the overall final outcome in the negotiations.

Proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council

on copyright in the Digital Single Market

COM (2016) 593 final - 2016/0280 (COD)

PART 1: CITATIONS AND RECITALS

Cell in green: The text can be deemed as already agreed Cell in yellow: The issue needs further discussion at technical level

Cell in red: The issue needs further discussion in depth at the trilogue meetings

		1.	Row
on copyright in the Digital Single Market	DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	Proposal for a	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
on copyright in the Digital Single Market	DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	Proposal for a	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
on copyright in the Digital Single Market	DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	Proposal for a	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
on copyright in the Digital Single Market	DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	Proposal for a	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

6. Having 1 the Euro Social C	_	5. After transn legislative a parliaments,	4. Having 1 from the	3. Having 1 the Func Union, a Article 1	2. THE EU PARLIA COUNC UNION,	(Text wi	Row
	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	(Text with EEA relevance)	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	(Text with EEA relevance)	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article Articles 53(1), 62 and 114 thereof	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	(Text with EEA relevance)	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article Articles 53(1), 62 and 114 thereof,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	(Text with EEA relevance)	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

OJ C , , p. .

5138/19 ANNEX

BM/

EZ &

11. (t	10. (e s s d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	9.	8. <i>f</i>	t	Row
(2) The directives which have been adopted in the area of copyright and related rights provide for a high level of protection for rightholders and create a framework wherein the	(1) The Treaty provides for the establishment of an internal market and the institution of a system ensuring that competition in the internal market is not distorted. Harmonisation of the laws of the Member States on copyright and related rights should contribute further to the achievement of those objectives.	Whereas:	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	the Committee of the Regions ² ,	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(2) The directives which have been adopted in the area of copyright and related rights contribute to the functioning of the internal market, provide for a high level of protection for rightholders. facilitate the	(1) The Treaty provides for the establishment of an internal market and the institution of a system ensuring that competition in the internal market is not distorted. Harmonisation of the laws of the Member States on copyright and related rights should contribute further to the achievement of those objectives.	Whereas:	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	the Committee of the Regions ² ,	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
which have been adopted in the area of copyright and related rights provide for a high level of protection for rightholders and create a framework wherein the exploitation of works and other	establishment of an internal market and the institution of a system ensuring that competition in the internal market is not distorted. Harmonisation of the laws of the Member States on copyright and related rights should contribute further to the achievement of those objectives.	Whereas:	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	the Committee of the Regions ² ,	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(2) The directives which have been adopted in the area of copyright and related rights <i>contribute to the functioning of the internal market</i> , provide for a high level of protection for rightholders, <i>facilitate the</i>	establishment of an internal market and the institution of a system ensuring that competition in the internal market is not distorted. Harmonisation of the laws of the Member States on copyright and related rights should contribute further to the achievement of those objectives.	Whereas:	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	the Committee of the Regions ² ,	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

OJ C , , p. .

5138/19 ANNEX

BM/

EN 9

	Row
protected subject-matter can take place. This harmonised legal framework contributes to the good functioning of the internal market; it stimulates innovation, creativity, investment and production of new content, also in the digital environment. The protection provided by this legal framework also contributes to the Union's objective of respecting and promoting cultural diversity while at the same time bringing the European common cultural heritage to the fore. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union requires the Union to take cultural aspects into account in its action.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
clearance of rights and create a framework wherein the exploitation of works and other protected subject-matter can take place. This harmonised legal framework contributes to the good functioning of the a truly integrated internal market; it stimulates innovation, creativity, investment and production of new content, also in the digital environment, with a view to avoiding fragmentation of the internal market. The protection provided by this legal framework also contributes to the Union's objective of respecting and promoting cultural diversity while at the same time bringing the European common cultural heritage to the fore. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Cultural aspects into account in its action.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
protected subject-matter can take place. This harmonised legal framework contributes to the good functioning of the internal market; it stimulates innovation, creativity, investment and production of new content, also in the digital environment. The protection provided by this legal framework also contributes to the Union's objective of respecting and promoting cultural diversity while at the same time bringing the European common cultural heritage to the fore. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union requires the Union to take cultural aspects into account in its action.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
clearance of rights and create a framework wherein the exploitation of works and other protected subject-matter can take place. This harmonised legal framework contributes to the good functioning of the internal market; it stimulates innovation, creativity, investment and production of new content, also in the digital environment, with a view to avoiding fragmentation of the internal market. The protection provided by this legal framework also contributes to the Union's objective of respecting and promoting cultural diversity while at the same time bringing the European common cultural heritage to the fore. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union requires the Union to take cultural aspects into account in its action.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

EZ 10

12.	Row
developments continue to transform the way works and other subject-matter are created, produced, distributed and exploited. New business models and new actors continue to emerge. The objectives and the principles laid down by the Union copyright framework remain sound. However, legal uncertainty remains, for both rightholders and users, as regards certain uses, including cross-border uses, of works and other subject-matter in the digital environment. As set out in the Communication of the Commission entitled 'Towards a modern, more European copyright framework' ³ , in some areas it is necessary to adapt and supplement the current Union copyright framework. This Directive provides for rules to	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(3) Rapid technological developments continue to transform the way works and other subject-matter are created, produced, distributed and exploited, and relevant legislation needs to be future proof so as not to restrict technological development. New business models and new actors continue to emerge. The objectives and the principles laid down by the Union copyright framework remain sound. However, legal uncertainty remains, for both rightholders and users, as regards certain uses, including cross-border uses, of works and other subject-matter in the digital environment. As set out in the Communication of the Commission entitled 'Towards a modern, more European copyright framework's, in some	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(3) Rapid technological developments continue to transform the way works and other subject-matter are created, produced, distributed and exploited. New business models and new actors continue to emerge. The objectives and the principles laid down by the Union copyright framework remain sound. However, legal uncertainty remains, for both rightholders and users, as regards certain uses, including cross-border uses, of works and other subject-matter in the digital environment. As set out in the Communication of the Commission entitled 'Towards a modern, more European copyright framework's, in some areas it is necessary to adapt and supplement the current Union copyright framework-keeping a high level of protection of	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(3) Rapid technological developments continue to transform the way works and other subject-matter are created, produced, distributed and exploited. New business models and new actors continue to emerge. <i>Relevant legislation needs to be future proof so as not to restrict technological development.</i> The objectives and the principles laid down by the Union copyright framework remain sound. However, legal uncertainty remains, for both rightholders and users, as regards certain uses, including crossborder uses, of works and other subject-matter in the digital environment. As set out in the Communication of the Communication entitled Towards a modern, more European copyright framework ¹³ , in some	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

COM(2015) 626 final.

5138/19 ANNEX

EZ 1

	Row
adapt certain exceptions and limitations to digital and crossborder environments, as well as measures to facilitate certain licensing practices as regards the dissemination of out-of-commerce works and the online availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms with a view to ensuring wider access to content. In order to achieve a well-functioning marketplace for copyright, there should also be rules on rights in publications, on the use of works and other subject-matter by online service providers storing and giving access to user uploaded content and on the transparency of authors' and performers' contracts.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
areas it is necessary to adapt and supplement the current Union copyright framework. This Directive provides for rules to adapt certain exceptions and limitations to digital and crossborder environments, as well as measures to facilitate certain licensing practices as regards the dissemination of out-of-commerce works and the online availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms with a view to ensuring wider access to content. In order to achieve a well-functioning and fair marketplace for copyright, there should also be rules on rights in publications, on the exercise and enforcement of the use of works and other subject-matter by on online service providers storing and giving access to user uploaded content providers' platforms and on the transparency of authors' and performers' contracts and of the	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
copyright and related rights. This Directive provides for rules to adapt certain exceptions and limitations to digital and crossborder environments, as well as measures to facilitate certain licensing practices as regards the dissemination of out-of-commerce works and the online availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms with a view to ensuring wider access to content. In order to achieve a well-functioning marketplace for copyright, there should also be rules on rights in publications, on the use of works and other subject-matter by online service providers storing and giving access to user uploaded content and on the transparency of authors' and performers' contracts.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
areas it is necessary to adapt and supplement the current Union copyright framework <i>keeping a high level of protection of copyright and related rights</i> . This Directive provides for rules to adapt certain exceptions and limitations to digital and crossborder environments, as well as measures to facilitate certain licensing practices <i>notably but not only</i> as regards the dissemination of out-of-commerce works and the online availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms with a view to ensuring wider access to content. <i>It also contains rules to facilitate the use of content in the public domain.</i> In order to achieve a well-functioning <i>and fair</i> marketplace for copyright, there should also be rules on rights in publications, on the use of works and other subject-matter by online service providers storing and giving	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX

ECOMP 3.B.

Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 1996 on the legal protection of databases (OJ L 77, 27.3.1996, p. 20–28).

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/ E 13

Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in

the information society (OJ L 167, 22.6.2001, p. 10-19). particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market (OJ L 178, 17.07.2000, p. 1–16).

Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in

copyright in the field of intellectual property (OJ L 376, 27.12.2006, p. 28-35). Directive 2006/115/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on rental right and lending right and on certain rights related to

^{5.5.2009,} p. 16–22). Directive 2009/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on the legal protection of computer programs (OJ L 111,

^{27.10.2012,} p. 5–12). Directive 2012/28/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on certain permitted uses of orphan works (OJ L 299,

¹⁰ rights and multi-territorial licensing of rights in musical works for online use in the internal market (OJ L 84, 20.3.2014, p. 72–98). Directive 2014/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on collective management of copyright and related

Row CO	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
additi excep provia 2001/ 2009/ negati of the partic cross- becon in the	addition, the optional nature of exceptions and limitations provided for in Directives 2001/29/EC, 96/9/EC and 2009/24/EC in these fields may negatively impact the functioning of the internal market. This is particularly relevant as regards cross-border uses, which are becoming increasingly important in the digital environment.	limitations. In addition, the optional nature of exceptions and limitations provided for in Directives 2001/29/EC, 96/9/EC and 2009/24/EC in these fields may negatively impact the functioning of the internal market. This is particularly relevant as regards cross-border uses, which are becoming increasingly important in the digital	addition, the optional nature of exceptions and limitations provided for in Directives 2001/29/EC, 96/9/EC and 2009/24/EC in these fields may negatively impact the functioning of the internal market. This is particularly relevant as regards cross-border uses, which are becoming increasingly important in the digital environment.	limitations. In addition, the optional nature of exceptions and limitations provided for in Directives 2001/29/EC, 96/9/EC and 2009/24/EC in these fields may negatively impact the functioning of the internal market. This is particularly relevant as regards cross-border uses, which are becoming increasingly important in the digital environment. Therefore
and li are re resear	and limitations in Union law that are relevant for scientific research, teaching and preservation of cultural heritage	existing exceptions and limitations in Union law that are relevant for <i>innovation</i> , scientific research teaching and	and limitations in Union law that are relevant for scientific research, teaching and preservation of cultural heritage	the existing exceptions and limitations in Union law that are relevant for <i>innovation</i> , scientific research teaching and
should of the excep of tex technologies scient teaching environ of cullintrod	preservation of cultural heritage should be reassessed in the light of those new uses. Mandatory exceptions or limitations for uses of text and data mining technologies in the field of scientific research, illustration for teaching in the digital environment and for preservation of cultural heritage should be introduced. For uses not covered	research, teaching and preservation of cultural heritage should be reassessed in the light of those new uses. Mandatory exceptions or limitations for uses of text and data mining technologies in the field of <i>innovation and</i> scientific research, illustration for teaching in the digital environment and for preservation of cultural heritage	preservation of cultural heritage should be reassessed in the light of those new uses. Mandatory exceptions or limitations for uses of text and data mining technologies in the field of scientific research, illustration for teaching in the digital environment and for preservation of cultural heritage should be introduced. For uses not covered	research, teaching and preservation of cultural heritage should be reassessed in the light of those new uses. [Mandatory]* exceptions or limitations for uses of text and data mining technologies in the field of scientific research, illustration for teaching in the digital environment and for preservation of cultural heritage should be

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B.



15.		R
5.		Row
(6) The exceptions and the limitation set out in this Directive seek to achieve a fair balance	provided for in this Directive, the exceptions and limitations existing in Union law should continue to apply. Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC should be adapted.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(6) The exceptions and the limitation limitations set out in this Directive seek to achieve a	not covered by the exceptions or the limitation provided for in this Directive, the exceptions and limitations existing in Union law should continue to apply. Therefore, existing well-functioning exceptions in those fields should be allowed to continue to be available in Member States, as long as they do not restrict the scope of the exceptions or limitations provided for in this Directive. Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC should be adapted.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(6) The exceptions and the limitation set outprovided for this Directive seek to achieve a	the The exceptions and limitations existing in Union law should continue to apply, including to text and data mining, education and preservation activities, as long as they do not limit the scope of the mandatory exceptions laid down in this Directive and on condition that their application does not adversely affect nor circumvent this Directive. Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC should be adapted.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(6) The exceptions and limitations set outprovided for in this Directive seek to achieve a	by the exceptions or the limitation provided for in this Directive, the The exceptions and limitations existing in Union law should continue to apply, including to text and data mining, education and preservation activities, as long as they do not limit the scope of the mandatory exceptions laid down in this Directive, which need to be implemented by Member States in their national law, and on condition that their application does not adversely affect nor circumvent the mandatory rules set out in this Directive. Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC should be adapted depending on the outcome on Article 3a]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/

17.		Row
(8) New technologies enable the automated computational analysis of information in digital form, such as text, sounds, images	the online environment. Rightholders should have the opportunity to ensure this through voluntary measures. They should remain free to choose the format and the modalities to provide the beneficiaries of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive with the means to benefit from them provided that such means are appropriate. In the absence of voluntary measures, Member States should take appropriate measures in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(8) New technologies enable the automated computational analysis of information in digital form, such as text, sounds, images or	the online environment. Rightholders should have the opportunity to ensure this through voluntary measures. They should remain free to choose the format and the modalities to provide the beneficiaries of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive with the means to benefit from them provided that such means are appropriate. In the absence of voluntary measures, Member States should take appropriate measures in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(8) New technologies enable the automated computational analysis of information in digital form, such as text, sounds, images	the online environment. Rightholders should have the opportunity to ensure this through voluntary measures. They should remain free to choose the format and the modalities to provide appropriate means of exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive with the means to benefit from them provided that such means are appropriate. In the absence of voluntary measures, Member States should take appropriate measures in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC ₂ including where works and other subject-matter are made available through on-demand services.	COUNCIL TEXT
(8) New technologies enable the automated computational analysis of information in digital form, such as text, sounds,	Rightholders should have the opportunity to ensure this through voluntary measures. They should remain free to choose the format and the modalities to provideappropriate means of enabling the beneficiaries of the exceptions and limitations established in this Directive with the means-to benefit from them provided that such means are appropriate. In the absence of voluntary measures, Member States should take appropriate measures in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC, including where works and other subject-matter are made available through on-demand services.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX

BM/

18 EN

ECOMP 3.B. E

5138/19 ANNEX

18.		Row
	database. Where there is no exception or limitation which applies, an authorisation to undertake such acts would be required from rightholders. Text and data mining may also be carried out in relation to mere facts or data which are not protected by copyright and in such instances no authorisation would be required.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	or other subject-matter and/or the extraction of contents from a database. Where there is no exception or limitation which applies, an authorisation to undertake such acts would be required from rightholders. Text and data mining may also be carried out in relation to mere facts or data which are not protected by copyright and in such instances no authorisation would be required.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(8a) Text and data mining may also be carried out in relation to mere facts or data which are not protected by copyright and in such instances no authorisation would be is—required under copyright law. There may also be instances of text and data	and data mining of content. In certain instances, text and data mining may involve acts protected by copyright and/or by the <i>sui generis</i> database right, notably the reproduction of works or other subject-matter and/or the extraction of contents from a database. Where there is no exception or limitation which applies, an authorisation to undertake such acts would be required from rightholders. [Last phrase of recital (8) of the COM proposal was moved to new recital (8a) Council's text-see following row 18]	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(8a) Text and data mining may also be carried out in relation to mere facts or data which are not protected by copyright and in such instances no authorisation is required under copyright law. There may also be instances of text and data mining which do not	the <i>sui generis</i> database right, notably the reproduction of works or other subject-matter and/or the extraction of contents from a database, which for example happens when the data is normalised in the process of text and data mining. Where there is no exception or limitation which applies, an authorisation to undertake such acts would be required from rightholders.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE

19.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(8a) For text and data mining to occur, it is in most cases necessary first to access information and then to reproduce it. It is generally only after that information is		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	mining which do not involve acts of reproduction or where the reproductions made fall under the The new exception should be without prejudice to the existing mandatory exception for temporary acts of reproduction laid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29/EC, which should continue to apply to text and data mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies beyond the scope of that exception. [First phrase of new recital (8a) was taken from recital (8b) (1ast phrase).	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[deleted]	involve acts of reproduction or where the reproductions made fall under the mandatory exception for temporary acts of reproduction laid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29/EC, which should continue to apply to text and data mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies beyond the scope of that exception.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
normalised that it can be processed through text and data mining. Once there is lawful access to information, it is when that information is being normalised that a copyright-protected use takes place, since this leads to a reproduction by changing the format of the information or by extracting it from a database into a format that can be subjected to text and data mining. The copyright-relevant processes in the use of text and data mining technology is, consequently, not the text and data mining process itself which consists of a reading and analysis of digitally stored, normalised information, but the process of accessing and the process by which information is normalised computational analysis, insofar as this process involves extraction from a database or reproductions. The	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	BM/

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		exceptions for text and data mining purposes provided for in this Directive should be understood as referring to such copyright-relevant processes necessary to enable text and data mining. Where existing copyright law has been inapplicable to uses of text and data mining, such uses should remain unaffected by this Directive.		
20.	(9) Union law already provides certain exceptions and limitations covering uses for scientific research purposes which may apply to acts of text and data mining. However, those exceptions and limitations are optional and not fully adapted to the use of technologies in scientific research. Moreover, where researchers have lawful access to content, for example through subscriptions to publications or open access	(9) Union law already provides certain exceptions and limitations covering uses for scientific research purposes which may apply to acts of text and data mining. However, those exceptions and limitations are optional and not fully adapted to the use of technologies in scientific research. Moreover, where researchers have lawful access to content, for example through subscriptions to publications or open access	(9) Union law already-provides for certain exceptions and limitations covering uses for scientific research purposes which may apply to acts of text and data mining. However, those exceptions and limitations are optional and not fully adapted to the use of technologies in scientific research. Moreover, where researchers have lawful access to content, for example through subscriptions to publications or open access	(9) Union law provides for certain exceptions and limitations covering uses for scientific research purposes which may apply to acts of text and data mining. However, those exceptions and limitations are optional and not fully adapted to the use of technologies in scientific research. Moreover, where researchers have lawful access to content, for example through subscriptions to publications or open access

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	licences, the terms of the licences may exclude text and data mining. As research is increasingly carried out with the assistance of digital technology, there is a risk that the Union's competitive position as a research area will suffer unless steps are taken to address the legal uncertainty for text and data mining.	licences, the terms of the licences may exclude text and data mining. As research is increasingly carried out with the assistance of digital technology, there is a risk that the Union's competitive position as a research area will suffer unless steps are taken to address the legal uncertainty for text and data mining.	licences, the terms of the licences may exclude text and data mining. As research is increasingly carried out with the assistance of digital technology, there is a risk that the Union's competitive position as a research area will suffer unless steps are taken to address the legal uncertainty for text and data mining.	licences, the terms of the licences may exclude text and data mining. As research is increasingly carried out with the assistance of digital technology, there is a risk that the Union's competitive position as a research area will suffer unless steps are taken to address the legal uncertainty for text and data mining.
21.	should be addressed by providing for a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction and also to the right to prevent extraction from a database. The new exception should be without prejudice to the existing mandatory exception on temporary acts of reproduction laid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29, which should continue to apply to text and data	should be addressed by providing for a mandatory exception <i>for research organisations</i> to the right of reproduction and also to the right to prevent extraction from a database. The new exception should be without prejudice to the existing mandatory exception on temporary acts of reproduction laid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29, which should	should be addressed by providing for a mandatory exception to the exclusive right of reproduction and also to the right to prevent extraction from a database. The new exception should be without prejudice to the existing mandatory exception on temporary acts of reproduction haid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29, which should continue to apply to text and data	should be addressed by providing for a mandatory exception for universities and other research organisations, as well as cultural heritage institutions to the exclusive right of reproduction and also to the right to prevent extraction from a database. In line with the existing European research policy, which encourages universities and research institutes to develop

24 **EN**

	Row
mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies going beyond the scope of that exception. Research organisations should also benefit from the exception when they engage into public-private partnerships.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
continue to apply to text and data mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies going beyond the scope of that exception. Research organisations should also benefit from the exception when they engage into public private partnerships. Educational establishments and cultural heritage institutions that conduct scientific research should also be covered by the text and data mining exception, provided that the results of the research do not benefit an undertaking exercising a decisive influence upon such organisations in particular. In the event that the research is carried out in the framework of a public-private partnership, the undertaking participating in the public-private partnership should also have lawful access to the works and other subject matter. The reproductions and extractions made for text and	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies going beyond the scope of that exception. In line with the existing European research policy, which encourages universities and research institutes to develop collaborations with the private sector, Research research organisations should also benefit from the exception when they engage into their research activities are carried out in the framework of public-private partnerships. While research organisations and cultural heritage institutions should remain the beneficiaries of the exception, they should be able to rely on their private partners for carrying out text and data mining, including by using their technological tools. [The second phrase of recital (10) of the COM proposal was moved	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
collaborations with the private sector, research organisations should also benefit from the exception when their research activities are carried out in the framework of public-private partnerships. While research organisations and cultural heritage institutions should remain the beneficiaries of the exception, they should be able to rely on their private partners for carrying out text and data mining, including by using their technological tools. [as to the last sentence of the EP text, see recitals 11(c), row 25]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

prov pub time com deci to e: stru qual men pref of th cons for t	Row
provisions in national laws or public contracts. At the same time, organisations upon which commercial undertakings have a decisive influence allowing them to exercise control because of structural situations such as their quality of shareholders or members, which may result in preferential access to the results of the research, should not be considered research organisations for the purposes of this Directive.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
provisions in national laws or public contracts. At the same time, organisations upon which commercial undertakings have a decisive influence allowing them to exercise control because of structural situations such as their quality of shareholders or members, which may result in preferential access to the results of the research, should not be considered research organisations for the purposes of this Directive.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
Despite different legal forms and structures, research organisations across the Member States generally have in common that they act either on a not for profit basis or in the context of a public-interest mission recognised by the State. Such a public-interest mission may, for example, be reflected through public funding or through provisions in national laws or public contracts. At the same time Conversely, organisations upon which commercial undertakings have a decisive influence allowing them to exercise control because of structural situations such as their quality of shareholders or members, which may result in preferential access to the results of the research, should not be considered research organisations	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
different legal forms and structures, research organisations across the Member States generally have in common that they act either on a not for profit basis or in the context of a publicinterest mission recognised by the State. Such a public-interest mission may, for example, be reflected through public funding or through provisions in national laws or public contracts. Conversely, organisations upon which commercial undertakings have a decisive influence allowing them to exercise control because of structural situations such as their quality of shareholders or members, which may result in preferential access to the results of the research, should not be considered research organisations for the purposes of this Directive.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
XEX	<u>~</u>

24.	23.	Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
and cultural heritage institutions, including the persons attached thereto, should be covered by the text and data mining exception regarding content to which they	institutions should be understood as covering publicly accessible libraries, museums and archives regardless of the type of works and other subject matter which they hold in their permanent collections, as well as film or audio heritage institutions. They should include, among others, national libraries and national archives. They should also include educational establishments and public sector broadcasting organisations, as far as their archives and publicly accessible libraries are concerned.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(11b) Research organisations and cultural heritage institutions, including the persons attached thereto, should be covered by the text and data mining exception regarding content to which they have lawful access. Lawful access should be understood as covering	institutions should be understood as covering publicly accessible libraries, museums and archives regardless of the type of works and other subject matter which they hold in their permanent collections, as well as film or audio heritage institutions. They should include, among others, national libraries and national archives. They should also include educational establishments and public sector broadcasting organisations, as far as their archives and publicly accessible libraries are concerned.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 28 **EN**

5138/19 ANNEX	
------------------	--

25.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
and cultural heritage institutions may in certain cases, for example for subsequent verification of scientific research results, need	have lawful access. Lawful access should be understood as covering access to content based on open access policy or through contractual arrangements between rightholders and research organisations or cultural heritage institutions, such as subscriptions, or through other lawful means. For instance, in cases of subscriptions taken by research organisations or cultural heritage institutions, the persons attached thereto covered by these subscriptions would be deemed to have lawful access. Lawful access also covers access to content that is freely available online.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(11c) Research organisations and cultural heritage institutions may in certain cases, for example for subsequent verification of scientific research results, need to retain the copies made under the	access to content based on open access policy or through contractual arrangements between rightholders and research organisations or cultural heritage institutions, such as subscriptions, or through other lawful means. For instance, in cases of subscriptions taken by research organisations or cultural heritage institutions, the persons attached thereto covered by these subscriptions would be deemed to have lawful access. Lawful access also covers access to content that is freely available online.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 29 **EN**

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
to retain the copies made under the exception for the purposes of carrying out text and data mining. In such cases, the copies should be stored in a secure environment and not be retained for longer than necessary for the scientific research activities. Member States may determine, at national level and after discussions with relevant stakeholders, further concrete modalities for retaining the copies, including the possibility to appoint trusted bodies for the purpose of storing such copies. In order not to unduly restrict the application of the exception, these modalities should be proportionate and limited to what is needed for retaining the copies in a safe manner and preventing unauthorised uses. Uses for the purpose of scientific research other than text and data	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
exception for the purposes of carrying out text and data mining. In such cases, the copies should be stored in a secure environment. Member States may determine, at national level and after discussions with relevant stakeholders, further concrete modalities for retaining the copies, including the possibility to appoint trusted bodies for the purpose of storing such copies. In order not to unduly restrict the application of the exception, these modalities should be proportionate and limited to what is needed for retaining the copies in a safe manner and preventing unauthorised uses. Uses for the purpose of scientific research other than text and data mining, such as scientific peer review and joint research, should remain covered, where applicable, by the exception or limitation provided for in Article 5(3)(a) of Directive	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

/ 31 EN	BM/ LIMITE	ЕСОМР 3.В.	5138/19 ANNEX	5138 ANI
number of access requests to and downloads of their works or other subject-matter, rightholders should be allowed to apply measures when there is a risk that the security and integrity of their systems or databases could be jeopardised. Such measures could for example be used to ensure that only persons having lawful access to their data can access it, including through IP address validation or user authentication. These measures should however remain proportionate to the risks involved and should not prevent or make excessively difficult text and data mining carried out by	number of access requests to and downloads of their works or other subject-matter, rightholders should be allowed to apply measures where when there is a risk that the security and integrity of the systemtheir systems or databases where the works or ether subject matter are hosted would could be jeopardised. Those Such measures could for example be used to ensure that only persons having lawful access to their data can access it, including through IP address validation or user authentication. These measures should not exceed what is	number of access requests to and downloads of their works or other subject-matter, rightholders should be allowed to apply measures where there is risk that the security and integrity of the system or databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted would be jeopardised. Those measures should not exceed what is necessary to pursue the objective of ensuring the security and integrity of the system and should not undermine the effective application of the exception.	number of access requests to and downloads of their works or other subject-matter, rightholders should be allowed to apply measures where there is risk that the security and integrity of the system or databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted would be jeopardised. Those measures should not exceed what is necessary to pursue the objective of ensuring the security and integrity of the system and should not undermine the effective application of the exception.	26.
2001/29/EC.	mining, such as scientific peer review and joint research, should remain covered, where applicable, by the exception or limitation provided for in Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC.			
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Row

27.		Row
(13) There is no need to provide for compensation for rightholders as regards uses under the text and data mining exception introduced by this Directive given that in view of the nature and scope of the exception the harm should be minimal.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(13) There is no need to provide for compensation for rightholders as regards uses under the text and data mining exception introduced by this Directive given that in view of the nature and scope of the exception the harm should be minimal.		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
of the nature and scope of the exception, which is limited to entities carrying out scientific research any potential harm to rightholders created through this exception should be minimal. Therefore, Member States should not provide for compensation for rightholders as regards uses under the text and data mining exception introduced by this Directive-given that in view of the nature and scope of the exception the harm should be	necessary to pursue the objective of ensuring the security and integrity of the systemhowever remain proportionate to the risks involved and should not undermine the effective application of the exceptionprevent or make exceptionprevent or make excessively difficult text and data mining carried out by researchers.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
scope of the exception, which is limited to entities carrying out scientific research any potential harm to rightholders created through this exception should be minimal. Therefore, Member States should not provide for compensation for rightholders as regards uses under the text and data mining exceptions introduced by this Directive.	researchers.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/ ECOMP 3.B.

ANNEX	5138/19

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
mining process and the copies made are not kept beyond that process. This optional exception or limitation should only apply when the work or other subject-matter is accessed lawfully by the beneficiary, including when it has been made available to the public online, and insofar as the rightholders have not reserved the right to make reproductions and extractions for text and data mining, for example by agreement, unilateral declaration, including through the use of machine readable metadata or by the use of technical means. Rightholders should be able to apply measures to ensure that their reservations in this regard are respected. This optional exception for text and data mining for research purposes	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
temporaryreproductions and extractions of works and other subject-matter, insofar as these form a part of the for the purposes of text and data mining process and allow the copies made are not to be kept beyond that process as long as necessary for the text and data mining purposes. This optional exception or limitation should only apply when the work or other subject-matter is accessed lawfully by the beneficiary, including when it has been made available to the public online, and insofar as the rightholders have not reserved the rights to make reproductions and extractions for text and data mining for example by agreement, unilateral declaration, including through the use of machine readable metadata or by the use of technical means. in an	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	

30.	29.		Row
(14) Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC allows Member States to introduce an exception or limitation to the rights of reproduction, communication to the public and making available to the public for the sole purpose of, among others, illustration for teaching. In addition, Articles			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(14) Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC allows Member States to introduce an exception or limitation to the rights of reproduction, communication to the public and making available to the public for the sole purpose of, among others, illustration for teaching. In addition, Articles	also in the private sector, also in the private sector, Member States should be able to provide for an exception going further than the mandatory exception, provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders including by machine readable means.		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(14) Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC allows Member States to introduce an exception or limitation to the rights of reproduction, communication to the public and -making available to the public of works and other subject matter in such a way that members of the public may			COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(14) Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC allows Member States to introduce an exception or limitation to the rights of reproduction, communication to the public and making available to the public of works and other subject matter in such a way that members of the public may	[deleted]	2001/29/EC. [wording to be adapted once decided whether Article 3a is optional or mandatory]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE 37 **EN**

E

5138/19 ANNEX

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	across borders.	across borders.	exception or limitation is necessary to ensure that educational establishments benefit from full legal certainty when using works or other subject-matter in digital teaching activities, including online and across borders.	that educational establishments benefit from full legal certainty when using works or other subject-matter in digital teaching activities, including online and across borders.
31.	(15) While distance learning and cross-border education programmes are mostly developed at higher education level, digital tools and resources are increasingly used at all education levels, in particular to improve and enrich the learning experience. The exception or limitation provided for in this Directive should therefore benefit all educational establishments in primary, secondary, vocational and higher education to the extent they pursue their educational purpose. The organisational purpose. The organisational	(15) While distance learning and cross-border education programmes are mostly developed at higher education level, digital tools and resources are increasingly used at all education levels, in particular to improve and enrich the learning experience. The exception or limitation provided for in this Directive should therefore benefit all educational establishments in primary, secondary, vocational and higher education to the extent they pursue their educational activity for a non-commercial purpose. The organisational structure and the means of	(15) While distance learning and cross-border education programmes are mostly developed at higher education level, digital tools and resources are increasingly used at all education levels, in particular to improve and enrich the learning experience. The exception or limitation provided for in this Directive should therefore benefit all educational establishments in recognised by a Member State, including primary, secondary, vocational and higher education. It should apply only to the extent they pursue their characterists.	cross-border education programmes are mostly developed at higher education level, digital tools and resources are increasingly used at all education levels, in particular to improve and enrich the learning experience. The exception or limitation provided for in this Directive should therefore benefit all educational establishments recognised by a Member State, including in primary, secondary, vocational and higher education. It should apply only to the extent that the uses are justified by the non-commercial nurrose of the

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE

uses are justified by the non- commercial purpose- of the particular teaching activity. The organisational structure and the means of funding of an educational establishment areshould not be the decisive factors to determine the non-
de me an ire let

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/ **E**N 40

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations, and be limited to what is necessary for the purpose of such activities. The exception or limitation should cover both uses through digital means in the classroom and online uses through the educational establishment's secure electronic network, the access to which should be protected, notably by authentication procedures. The exception or limitation should be understood as covering the specific accessibility needs of persons with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching.	indicates the source, including the authors' name, unless that turns out to be impossible for reasons of practicability. The use of the works or other subjectmatter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations, and be limited to what is necessary for the purpose of such activities. The exception or limitation should cover both uses through digital means in the elassroom where the teaching activity is physically provided, including where it takes place outside the premises of the educational establishment, for example in libraries or cultural heritage institutions, as long as the use is made under the	activities. []* In most cases, the concept of illustration would therefore imply uses of parts or extracts of works only, which should not substitute the purchase of materials primarily intended for educational markets. When implementing the exception or limitation, Member States should remain free to specify, for the different categories of works or other subject-matter and in a balanced manner, the proportion of a work or other subject-matter that may be used for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching. The Uses allowed under the exception or limitation should be understood to cover the specific	complement the teaching, including the related learning activities. []* In most cases, the concept of illustration would therefore imply uses of parts or extracts of works only, which should not substitute the purchase of materials primarily intended for educational markets. When implementing the exception or limitation, Member States should remain free to specify, for the different categories of works or other subject-matter and in a balanced manner, the proportion of a work or other subject-matter that may be used for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching. The Uses allowed under the

33.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL W COM(2016)593
	establishment's secure electronic network environment, the access to which should be protected, notably by authentication procedures. The exception or limitation should be understood as covering the specific accessibility needs of persons with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(16a) The use of the works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations or teaching activities taking place outside the premises of educational establishments, for example in a museum, library or another cultural heritage institution, and be limited to what is necessary for the purpose of such activities. The exception	*[The second and third phrase of recital (16) of the COM proposal were moved to new recital (16a) Council's text - see row 33]	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(16a) The use of the works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations or teaching activities taking place outside the premises of educational establishments, for example in a museum, library or another cultural heritage institution, and be limited to	with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching. *[The second and third phrase of recital (16) of the COM proposal were moved to new recital (16a) Council's text - see row 33] [provisionally agreed at trilogue 26/11/2018/03/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
X	9

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
or limitation should cover both uses through digital means of works and other subject matter made in the classroom and online uses or in other venues through digital means, for example electronic whiteboards or digital devices which may be connected to the Internet, as well as uses made at a distance through the educational establishment's secure electronic networks, such as online courses or access to teaching material complementing a given course. Secure electronic networks should be understood as digital teaching and learning environments the access to which should be protected is limited to the educational establishment's teaching staff and to the pupils or students enrolled in a study programme, notably through appropriate authentication procedures, including password based	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
what is necessary for the purpose of such activities. The exception or limitation should cover both uses through digital means of works and other subject matter made in the classroom and online uses or in other venues through digital means, for example electronic whiteboards or digital devices which may be connected to the Internet, as well as uses made at a distance through the educational establishment's secure electronic networks environments, such as online courses or access to teaching material complementing a given course. Secure electronic networks environments the understood as digital teaching and learning environments the educational establishment's teaching staff and to the pupils or students enrolled in a study	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

35.	34.		Row
(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a number of Member States in			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a number of Member States in	(16a) A secure electronic environment should be understood as a digital teaching and learning environment, access to which is limited through an appropriate authentication procedure to the educational establishment's teaching staff and to the pupils or students enrolled in a study programme.		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a number of Member States in		Authentication. [Phrases of new recital (16a) were taken from recital (16) (second and third phrase) of the COM proposal – see row 32]	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering	[deleted]	programme, notably through appropriate authentication procedures, including password based authentication. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/ E 44

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	allowed under the exception. This mechanism would, for example, allow giving precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market. In order to avoid that such mechanism results in legal uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments, Member States adopting this approach should take concrete measures to ensure that licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes.	collective licensing agreements, extended collective licences that are negotiated collectively such as "blanket licences", in order to avoid educational establishments having to negotiate individually with rightholders. Such licenses should be affordable and cover at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. This mechanism would, for example, allow giving precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market, or for teaching in educational establishments or sheet music. In order to avoid that such mechanism results in legal uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments, Member States adopting this approach should take concrete measures to ensure that such licencing schemes	Member States could decide to subject the application of the exception or limitation, fully or partially, to the availability of adequate licences, covering at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. This Member States could notably use this mechanism would, for example, allow giving to give precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market or for sheet music. In order to avoid that such mechanism results in legal uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments, Member States adopting this approach should take eenerete-measures to ensure that rightholders make the licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of	existing arrangements concluded at national level. In particular, Member States could decide to subject the application of the exception or limitation, fully or partially, to the availability of adequate licences covering at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. Member States should ensure that where licenses cover only partially the uses allowed under the exception. Member States could for example use this mechanism to give precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market or for sheet music. In order to avoid that the possibility to subject the availability of licences for the licences to licences the availability of licences.

5138/19 ANNEX

BM/

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes. Member States should be able to provide for systems to ensure that there is fair compensation for rightholders for uses under those exceptions or limitations. Member States should be encouraged to use systems that do not create an administrative burden, such as systems that provide for one-off payments. [See Council's recital (17a) - row 36]	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
existence of such licensing schemes. Such measures max include the development of licensing schemes tailored to the needs of educational establishments and the development of information tools aimed at ensuring the visibility of the existing licensing schemes.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
Member States adopting this approach should take concrete measures to ensure that right holders make the licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes. Such licensing schemes measures may include the development of the existing licensing schemes tailored to should meet the needs of linformation tools aiming at existing licensing schemes could also be developed. Such schemes could, for example, be based on collective licensing in order to	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

\	5
5	$\frac{1}{3}$
\overline{Z}	8
Ħ	_
\times	9

36.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(17a) Member States should remain free to provide that rightholders receive fair compensation for the digital uses of their works or other subject-matter under the		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
GREEN (17a)Member States should remain free to provide that rightholders receive fair compensation for the digital uses of their works or other subject-	establishments having to negotiate individually with rightholders. In order to guarantee legal certainty, Member States should specify under which conditions an educational establishment may use protected works or other subject-matter under that exception and, conversely, when it should act under a licensing scheme. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018;	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE **EN**

37.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(17 a) In order to guarantee legal certainty when a Member State decides to subject the application of the exception to the availability of adequate licences, it is necessary to specify under which conditions an		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	exception or limitation for illustration for teaching provided for in this Directive. For the purposes of determining the possible level of fair compensation, due account should be taken, interalia, of Member States' educational objectives and of the harm to rightholders.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[moved under recital 17 (row 35)]	matter under the exception or limitation for illustration for teaching provided for in this Directive. For the purposes of determining the possible level of fair compensation, due account should be taken, inter alia, of Member States' educational objectives and of the harm to rightholders. Member States deciding to provide for fair compensation should encourage the use of systems, which do not create administrative burden for educational establishments. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

`	BM/ LIMITE	ECOMP 3.B	3/19 NEX	5138/19 ANNEX
[the order of first and second sentence was inverted] (18) Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. An act of preservation of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution may require a reproduction of a work or other subject matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution-and consequently require the authorisation of the relevant rightholders. Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. Digital	require a reproduction of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution may require a reproduction and consequently the authorisation of the relevant rightholders. Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. Digital technologies offer new ways to preserve the heritage contained in those collections but they also create new challenges. In view of these new challenges, it is necessary to adapt the current legal framework by providing a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction in order to allow	work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution may require a reproduction of a work or other subject matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution and consequently require the authorisation of the relevant rightholders. Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. Digital technologies offer new ways to preserve the heritage contained in those collections but they also create new challenges, it is necessary to adapt the current legal framework by providing a	require a reproduction of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution and consequently the authorisation of the relevant rightholders. Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. Digital technologies offer new ways to preserve the heritage contained in those collections but they also create new challenges, it is necessary to adapt the current legal framework by providing a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction in order to allow	3.8
		educational establishment may use protected works or other subject-matter under that exception and, conversely, when it should act under a licensing scheme.		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Row

	1	
39.		Row
(19) Different approaches in the Member States for acts of preservation by cultural heritage institutions hamper cross-border cooperation and the sharing of means of preservation by cultural heritage institutions in the internal market, leading to an inefficient use of resources.	those acts of preservation.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(19) Different approaches in the Member States for acts of reproduction for preservation by eultural heritage institutions hamper cross-border cooperation, and the sharing of means of preservation by eultural heritage institutions in the internal market, and the establishment of crossborder preservation networks in the internal market organisations that are engaged in preservation, leading to an inefficient use of resources. This can have a negative impact on	mandatory exception to the right of reproduction in order to allow those acts of preservation <i>by such institutions</i> .	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(19) Different approaches in the Member States for acts of preservation by cultural heritage institutions hamper cross-border cooperation and the sharing of means of preservation by eultural heritagesuch institutions in the internal market, leading to an inefficient use of resources.	those acts of preservation.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(19) Different approaches in the Member States for acts of reproduction for preservation by cultural heritage institutions hamper cross-border cooperation, and the sharing of means of preservation by cultural heritage institutions in the internal market, and the establishment of cross-border preservation networks in the internal market by such institutions leading to an inefficient use of resources. This can have a negative impact on the preservation of cultural	technologies offer new ways to preserve the heritage contained in those collections but they also create new challenges. In view of these new challenges, it is necessary to adapt the current legal framework by providing a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction in order to allow those acts of preservation by such institutions.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/



																						40.)	ズ		
																						9				:	Row		
			only.	copy for preservation purposes	required in order to produce a	subject-matter to the extent	in the life of a work or other	required number and at any point	means or technology, in the	appropriate preservation tool,	making of copies by the	exception should allow for the	of original supports. Such an	obsolescence or the degradation	example to address technological	for preservation purposes, for	permanently in their collections	works and other subject-matter	heritage institutions to reproduce	for an exception to permit cultural	therefore be required to provide	(20) Member States should				COM(2016)593	COMPRESSION ROLLOSAL	COMMISSION BROBOSAL	
should be considered cultural	organisations or public-service	archives of research	preservation purposes only. The	in order to produce a copy for	matter and to the extent required	the life of a work or other subject-	required number, at any point in	format or medium, in the	means or technology, in any	the appropriate preservation tool,	allow for the making of copies by	works. Such an exception should	of original supports or to insure	obsolescence or the degradation	example to address technological	for preservation purposes, for	permanently in their collections	works and other subject-matter	heritage institutions to reproduce	for an exception to permit cultural	therefore be required to provide	(20) Member States should	heritage.	the preservation of cultural	A8-0245/2018		P8 TA-PROV(2018)0337	EP TEXT	
and other subject-matter in	institutions for purposes other	undertaken by cultural heritage	enly. Acts of reproduction	copy for preservation purposes	required in order to produce a	subject-matter to the extent	in the life of a work or other	required number and at any point	means or technology, in the	appropriate preservation tool,	for the making of copies by the	Such an exception should allow	degradation of original supports.	technological obsolescence or the	purposes, for example to address	their collections for preservation	subject-matter permanently in	reproduce works and other	cultural heritage institutions to	for an exception to permit	therefore be required to provide	(20) Member States should				9134/18	COONCILIENT	COLUCITETE	
institutions for purposes other	enly. Acts of reproduction	copy for preservation purposes	required in order to produce a	subject-matter <i>and</i> to the extent	in the life of a work or other	required number and at any point	format or medium, in the	means or technology, in any	appropriate preservation tool,	for the making of copies by the	Such an exception should allow	works and other subject-matter.	of original supports <i>or to insure</i>	obsolescence or the degradation	example to address technological	for preservation purposes, for	permanently in their collections	works and other subject-matter	heritage institutions to reproduce	for an exception to permit cultural	therefore be required to provide	(20) Member States should	C	heritage.		SOLUTION	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE		

41.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL
	heritage institutions and therefore beneficiaries of this exception. Member States should, for the purpose of this exception, be able to maintain provisions to treat publicly accessible galleries as museums.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
institutions do not necessarily have the technical means or expertise to undertake the acts required to preserve their collections themselves, particularly in the digital environment, and may therefore have recourse to the assistance of other cultural institutions and other third parties for that purpose. Under this exception, cultural heritage institutions should therefore be allowed to rely on third parties acting on their behalf and under their responsibility, including those that are based	their permanent collections should remain subject to the authorisation of rightholders, unless permitted by other exceptions or limitations provided for by Union law	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
institutions do not necessarily have the technical means or expertise to undertake the acts required to preserve their collections themselves, particularly in the digital environment, and may therefore have recourse to the assistance of other cultural institutions and other third parties for that purpose. Under this exception, cultural heritage institutions should therefore be allowed to rely on third parties acting on their behalf and under their responsibility, including those that are based	than the preservation of works and other subject-matter in their permanent collections should remain subject to the authorisation of rightholders, unless permitted by other exceptions or limitations provided for by Union law.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE 53 **EN**

43.	42 .		Row
	(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies are owned or permanently held by the cultural heritage institution, for example as a result of a transfer of ownership or licence agreements.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(21a) Technological developments have given rise to information society services	(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies of such works or other subject matter are owned or permanently held by the cultural heritage institution, those organisations, for example as a result of a transfer of ownership et, licence agreements, a legal deposit or a long-term loan. Works or other subject matter that cultural heritage institutions access temporarily via a third-party server are not considered as being permanently in their collections.		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies are owned or permanently held by the cultural heritage institutionsuch institutions, for example as a result of a transfer of ownership or licence agreements or permanent custody arrangements.	in other Member States, for the making of copies.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[deleted and if need be to be replaced by text related to paragraph 5bis of Article 13	Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies of such works or other subject-matter are owned or permanently held by such institutions, for example as a result of a transfer of ownership or licence agreements, legal deposit obligations or permanent custody arrangements. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	in other Member States, for the making of copies.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX

44.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
existing exceptions or limitations, such as the ones for quotation and parody, not all content that is uploaded or made available by a user that reasonably includes extracts of protected works or other subject-matter is covered by Article 5 of Directive 2001/29/EC. A situation of this type creates legal uncertainty for both users and rightholders. It is therefore necessary to provide a new specific exception to permit the	enabling their users to upload content and make it available in diverse forms and for various purposes, including to illustrate an idea, criticism, parody or pastiche. Such content may include short extracts of preexisting protected works or other subject-matter that such users might have altered, combined or otherwise transformed.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[deleted and if need be to be replaced by text related to paragraph 5bis of Article 13 depending on final agreement]	depending on final agreement]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
legitimate uses of extracts of pre- existing protected works or other subject-matter in content that is uploaded or made available by users. Where content generated or made available by a user involves the short and proportionate use of a quotation or of an extract of a protected work or other subject-matter for a legitimate purpose, such use should be protected by the exception provided for in this Directive. This exception should only be applied in certain special cases which do not conflict with normal exploitation of the work or other subject-matter concerned and do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the rightholder. For the purpose of assessing such prejudice, it is essential that the degree of originality of the content concerned, the length/extent of the quotation or extract used, the	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

45.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
service providers that fall within the scope of Article 13 of this Directive should not be able to invoke for their benefit the exception for the use of extracts from pre-existing works provided for in this Directive, for the use of quotations or extracts from protected works or other subjectmatter in content that is uploaded or made available by users on those information society services, to reduce the scope of their obligations under	professional nature of the content concerned or the degree of economic harm be examined, where relevant, while not precluding the legitimate enjoyment of the exception. This exception should be without prejudice to the moral rights of the authors of the work or other subject-matter.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[deleted and if need be to be replaced by text related to paragraph 5bis of Article 13 depending on final agreement]		POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B.	ANNEX
	5138/19

45a.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	Article 13 of this Directive.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
The expiry of the term of protection of a work entails the entry of that work in the public domain and the expiry of the rights that Union copyright law provides to that work. In the field of visual arts, the circulation of faithful reproductions of works in the public domain contributes to the access to and promotion of culture (or access to cultural heritage). In the digital environment, the protection of these reproductions through copyright or related rights is inconsistent with the expiry of the copyright protection of works. In addition, differences between the national copyright laws governing the protection of these reproductions give rise to legal uncertainty and affect the cross-border dissemination of works of		POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

Row COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
46. (22) Cultural heritage institutions should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter. However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works mean that obtaining the prior consent of the individual rightholders may be very difficult.	should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter. However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works mean that obtaining the prior consent of the individual rightholders may be very difficult. This can be due.	institutions should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of out-of-commerce-works or other subject_matter-that are considered out of commerce for the purposes of this Directive. However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works,

EP TEXT

47.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
have already adopted extended collective licencing regimes, legal mandates or legal presumptions facilitating the licencing of out-of-commerce works. However considering the variety of works and other subject-matter in the collections of cultural heritage institutions and the variance between collective management practices across Member States and sectors of cultural production, such measures may not provide a solution in all cases, for example, because there is no practice of collective management for a certain type of work or other subject matter. In such particular instances, it is therefore necessary to allow cultural heritage institutions to make out-of-commerce works held in their permanent		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(22a) Legal mechanisms should therefore exist in all Member States allowing for licences issued by relevant and sufficiently representative collective management organisations to cultural heritage institutions, for certain uses of out-of-commerce works and other subject matter, to also apply to the rights of rightholders that have not mandated a representative collective management organisation in that regard. It should be legally possible for those licences to cover all territories of the Union. (22b) An adapted legal framework applicable to collective licensing may not provide a solution for all the cases where cultural heritage institutions encounter	13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE **EN**

ANNEX	5138/19
EX	/19

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
collection available online under an exception to copyright and related rights. While it is essential to harmonise the scope of the new mandatory exception in order to allow cross-border uses of out-of-commerce works, Member States should nevertheless be allowed to use or continue to use extended collective licencing arrangements concluded with cultural heritage institutions at national level for categories of works that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions The lack of agreement on the conditions of the licence should not be interpreted as a lack of availability of licensing-based solutions. Any uses under this exception should be subject to the same opt-out and publicity requirements as uses authorised by a licensing mechanism. In order to ensure that the	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
necessary authorisations of right holders for the use of out- of-commerce works and other subject-matter, for example, because there is no practice of collective management for a certain type of works or other subject-matter or because the relevant collective management organisation is not broadly representative for the category of the right holders and of the rights concerned. In such particular instances, it should be possible for cultural heritage institutions to make out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter that are permanently in their collection available online in all territories of the Union under a harmonised exception or limitation to copyright and related rights. It is important that uses under that exception or limitation only take place	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

48.		Row
(23) Member States should, within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism allowing for licences for out-of-commerce works to extend to the rights of rightholders that are not represented by the collective management organisation, in accordance to their legal traditions, practices or		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism allowing for licences for out-of-commerce works to extend to the rights of rightholders that are not represented by the <i>relevant</i> collective management organisation, in accordance to with their legal traditions,	exception only applies when certain conditions are fulfilled and to provide legal certainty, Member States should determine, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage organisations, and at appropriate intervals of time, for which sectors and which types of works appropriate licence-based solutions are not available, in which case the exception should apply.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism, such as extended collective licensing or presumption of representation, allowing for licences for out-of-commerce works to extend to the rights of rightholders that are have not represented by the mandated a representative collective		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(23) Member States should, within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of licensing mechanism, such as extended collective licensing or presumptions of representation, that they put in place for the use of out-of-commerce works and other subject matter by cultural heritage institutions, in	when certain conditions, notably as regards the availability of licensing solutions, are fulfilled. The lack of agreement on the conditions of the licence should not be interpreted as a lack of availability of licensing-based solutions. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE 63 **EN**

LIMITE	ECOMP 3.B.	ANNEX
BM/		5138/19

representation. can include extended collective circumstances. Such mechanisms licensing and presumptions of COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593 presumptions of representation. collective licensing and mechanisms can include extended practices or circumstances. Such P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018 EP TEXT accordance to with their legal should also have flexibility in circumstances. Such mechanisms management organisation, in subject matter, requiring for representationMember States Heensing and presumptions of can include extended collective Member States should be free of the relevant type of use. traditions, practices or example joint licences or an <u>organisation is representative</u> than one collective managemen <u>to establish specific rules</u> mandate allowing the licensing of rightholders in the relevant <u>representative, as long as this is</u> <u>organisations to be sufficiently</u> or the relevant works or other applicable to cases where more natter who have given a ype of works or other subject-<u>oased on a significant number</u> ior collective management letermining the requirements greement between the relevant COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18 confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018] representative for the relevant where more than one collective specific rules applicable to cases allowing the licensing of the of works or other subject-matter organisations to be sufficiently collective management should also have flexibility in Itentatively agreed at TM, requiring for example joint works or other subject matter, management organisation is States should be free to establish relevant type of use. Member who have given a mandate rightholders in the relevant type based on a significant number of representative, as long as this is determining the requirements for circumstances. Member States traditions, practices or accordance with their lega the relevant organisations. icences or an agreement between POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

E

P TEXT ROV(2018)0337 0245/2018 surpose of those hanisms, a rigorous tioning collective system is important ence, transparency as well as the nt and accurate ind payment of individual is provided for by 4/26/EU. Additional feguards should be ill rightholders, who in the opportunity to individuous their works or other: Conditions is mechanisms or of such their works or other: Conditions is mechanisms ect their practical cultural heritage	7 0 5 1	49. (24) For the purpose of those licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate distribution and payment of amounts due to individual rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU. Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of such mechanisms to their works or other subject-matter. Conditions attached to those mechanisms should not affect their practical rightholders to relevance for cultural heritage institutions.	Row COMMISSION PROPOSAL P8_TA-P1 COM(2016)593 A8-
	organisations. (24) For the purpose of those licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate distribution and payment of amounts due to individual rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU. Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of such mechanisms in relation to all their works or other subjectmatter or to all licences, or in relation to particular works or other subjectmatter or to particular licences, at any time before or under the duration of	s licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important ar and should be encouraged by the Member States. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate distribution and payment of amounts due to individual rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU. Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of such licensing mechanisms or of such exceptions to their works or other subject-matter. Conditions attached to those mechanisms should not affect their practical relevance for cultural heritage	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018

5138/19 ANNEX

ANNEX	5138/19

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
institutions.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
to those mechanisms should not affect their practical relevance for cultural heritage institutions. It is important that when a rightholder excludes the application of such mechanisms to one or more of their works or other subject-matter, the informed collective management organisation does not continue to issue licences covering the relevant uses and any ongoing uses are terminated within a reasonable period. Such exclusion by the rightholder should not affect their claim to remuneration for the actual use of the work or other subject-matter.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
limitation, or in relation-to particular works or other subject-matter or in relation to particular licences or uses under the exception or limitation, at any time before or under the duration of the licence or the uses under the exception or limitation. Conditions attached to those licensing mechanisms should not affect their practical relevance for cultural heritage institutions. It is important that when a rightholder excludes the application of such mechanisms or of such exception or limitation to one or more of their works or other subject-matter, the informed collective management organisation does not continue to issue licences covering the relevant uses and any ongoing uses are terminated within a reasonable period, and, in the case they take place under a collective licence, that the informed collective	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B.

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	BM/

51.	50.		Row
(25) Considering the variety of			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(25) Considering the variety of			EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(25) Considering the variety of	affect the possibility for Affect the possibility for Member States to determine the allocation of legal responsibility for the compliance of the licensing and the use of out-of-commerce works with the conditions set out in this Directive and for the compliance of the parties with the terms of those licenses.		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(25) Considering the variety of	affect the possibility for Member States to determine the allocation of legal responsibility for the compliance of the licensing and the use of out-of-commerce works with the conditions set out in this Directive and for the compliance of the parties with the terms of those licenses. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	management organisation does not continue to issue licences covering the relevant uses. Such exclusion by the rightholders should not affect their claims to remuneration for the actual use of the work or other subject-matter under the licence. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

67 **EN**

E

	Row COMMISS
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
organisations when doing so.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
manifestations, such as digital manifestations, such as digital and printed formats of the same work, this work or other subject-matter should not be considered out of -commerce. Conversely, the commercial availability of adaptations, including other language versions or audiovisual adaptations of a literary work, should not preclude the determination of the out-of- commerce status of a work in a given language. In order to reflect the specificities of different eategories-types of works and other subject-matter as regards modes of publication and distribution and to facilitate the usability of those mechanisms, specific requirements and procedures may have to be established by Member States-for	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
of its different manifestations, such as digital and printed formats of the same work, this work or other subject-matter should not be considered out of commerce. Conversely, the commercial availability of adaptations, including other language versions or audiovisual adaptations of a literary work, should not preclude the determination of the out-of-commerce status of a work in a given language. In order to reflect the specificities of different types of works and other subject-matter as regards modes of publication and distribution and to facilitate the usability of those mechanisms, specific requirements and procedures may have to be established for the practical application of those licensing mechanisms, such as a time period which needs to have been elapsed since the first	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B.

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	BM/

52.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
whether works and other subject-matter are out of commerce, a reasonable effort should be required to assess their availability to the public in the customary channels of commerce, taking into account the characteristics of the particular work or set of works. Member States should be free to determine the allocation of responsibilities for making the reasonable effort. The reasonable effort should not	been elapsed since the first commercial availability of the work. It is appropriate that Member States consult rightholders, users and collective management organisations when doing so.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(25a) When determining whether works and other subject-matter are out of commerce, a reasonable effort should be required to assess their availability to the public in the customary channels of commerce, taking into account the characteristics of the particular work or set of works. Member States should be free to determine the allocation of responsibilities for making the reasonable effort. The reasonable effort should not have to be repeated over time but it should	work. It is appropriate that Member States consult rightholders, cultural heritage institutionsusers and collective management organisations when doing so. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

in i
n St
works could be determined through a proportionate mechanism, such as sampling. The limited availability of a work, such as its availability in second-hand shops, or the theoretical possibility to obtain a licence to a work should not be considered as availability to the public in the customary channels of commerce. (26) For reasons of international comity, the licensing mechanisms for the digitisation and dissemination of out-of-commerce works provided for in this Directive should not apply to works or other subject matter that are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in a third country or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works the producer of which has his

	Row
mechanisms should also not apply to works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except when they are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in the territory of a Member State or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works of which the producer's headquarters or habitual residence is in a Member State.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
mechanisms should also not apply to works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except when they are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in the territory of a Member State or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works of which the producer's headquarters or habitual residence is in a Member State.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
Those mechanisms should also not apply to works or other subject matter of third country nationals except when they are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in the territory of a Member State or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works of which the producer's headquarters or habitual residence is in a Member Statesets of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter when there is available evidence to presume that they predominantly consist of works or other subject-matter of third countries, unless the concerned collective management organisation is sufficiently representative for that third country, for example via a representation agreement. This assessment can be based on the evidence available following the reasonable effort to determine the out-of-	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
organisation is sufficiently representative for that third country, for example via a representation agreement. This assessment can be based on the evidence available following the reasonable effort to determine the out-of-commerce status of the works, without the need to search for further evidence. A work-by-work assessment of the origin of the out-of-commerce works should only be required insofar as it is also required for the reasonable effort to determine their commercial availability. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

the same time, as the digitisation of the collections of cultural	projects of the collections of cultural heritage institutions			
as in the case of promotional material about an exhibition. At	material about an exhibition. At the same time, as the digitisation	псепсе.	Healice.	
when copies are distributed by the	heritage institution, such as in	subject-matter covered by the	subject-matter covered by the	
such licence should not be for profit making purpose, including	purpose, including when copies are distributed by the cultural	and the costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other	the costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other	
allowed uses. Uses covered by		covering the costs of the licence	cover the costs of the licence and	
States, the licence fee and the	covered by such licence should	revenues in order to cover	reasonable revenues in order to	
the licence, <i>including the</i>		Directive should not prevent them	for in this Directive should not	
to agree on the territorial scope of	free to agree on the territorial	mechanisms provided for in this	under the mechanisms provided	
conective management	organisations should remain	any licences granted under the	institutions any licences granted	
heritage institutions and	cultural heritage institutions	can entail significant investments	projects can entail significant	
(27) The contracting cultural	(27) As mass The contracting	(27) As mass digitisation projects	(27) As mass digitisation	54.
	without the need to search for further evidence. A work-by-work assessment of the origin of the out-of-commerce works should only be required insofar as it is also required for the reasonable effort to determine their commercial availability.			
SOLUTION	9134/18	P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COM(2016)593	Row
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE **EZ**

55.		Row
(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms <i>or of the exception</i> provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all	can entail significant investments by cultural heritage institutions, any licences granted under the mechanisms provided for in this Directive should not prevent them cultural heritage institutions from generating reasonable revenues in order to covering the costs of the licence and the costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other subject-matter covered by the licence.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all	heritage_institutions can entail significant investments, any licences granted under the mechanisms provided for in this Directive should not prevent cultural heritage institutions from generating reasonable revenues for the exclusive purposes of covering the costs of the licence and the costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other subject-matter covered by the licence. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	Row
sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such information available.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
national authorities, the private sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such information available.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
Union Intellectual Property Office is entrusted with certain tasks and activities, financed by making use of its own budgetary measuresmeans, aiming at facilitating and supporting the activities of national authorities, the private sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such information available. In addition to making the information available through the portal, further appropriate publicity measures may need to be taken on a case-by-case basis in order to increase the awareness of affected	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
Parliament and of the Council ¹¹ , the European Union Intellectual Property Office is entrusted with certain tasks and activities, financed by making use of its own budgetary means, aiming at facilitating and supporting the activities of national authorities, the private sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such information available. In addition to making the information available through the portal, further appropriate publicity measures may need to be taken on a case-by-case basis in order to	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

¹¹ Market (Trade Marks and Designs) with tasks related to the enforcement of intellectual property rights, including the assembling of public and private-sector representatives as a European Observatory on Infringements of Intellectual Property Rights (OJ L 129, 16.5.2012, p. 1–6). Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 April 2012 on entrusting the Office for Harmonization in the Internal

5138/19 **ANNEX** ECOMP 3.B. BM/

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
rightholders, for example through the use of additional channels of communication to reach a wider public. The necessity, the nature and the geographic scope of the additional publicity measures should depend on the characteristics of the relevant out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter, the terms of the licences and the existing practices in Member States. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
increase the awareness of affected rightholders, for example through the use of additional channels of communication to reach a wider public. The necessity, the nature and the geographic scope of the additional publicity measures should depend on the characteristics of the relevant out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter, the terms of the licences or the type of use under the existing practices in Member States. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually. (-28a) In order to ensure that the licensing mechanisms established by this Directive for out-of-commerce works are relevant and function properly, that rightholders are	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
×	9

56.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(28a) The measures provided for in this Directive to facilitate the collective licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions should be without prejudice to the use of such works or other subject-matter under exceptions or limitations provided for in Union law or under other licences with an		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(28a) The measures provided for in this Directive to facilitate the collective licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions should be without prejudice to the use of such works or other subject-matter under exceptions or limitations provided for in Union law or under other licences with an extended effect, where	adequately protected, that licences are properly publicised and that legal clarity is ensured with regard to the representativeness of collective management organisations and the categorisation of works, Member States should foster sector-specific stakeholder dialogue. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 79 **EN**

ANNEX	5138/19
r 1	
r 1	

57.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(28b) Mechanisms of collective licensing with an extended effect allow a collective management organisation to offer licences as a collective licensing body on behalf of rightholders irrespective of whether they have authorised the organisation to do so. Systems built on such mechanisms, such as extended collective licensing, legal	extended effect, where such licensing is not based on the out-of-commerce status of the covered works or other subject matter. These measures should also be without prejudice to national mechanisms for the use of out of commerce works based on licences between collective management organisation and users other than cultural heritage institutions.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(28b) Mechanisms of collective licensing with an extended effect allow a collective management organisation to offer licences as a collective licensing body on behalf of rightholders irrespective of whether they have authorised the organisation to do so. Systems built on such mechanisms, such as extended collective licensing, legal mandates or presumptions of representation, are a well-	such licensing is not based on the out-of-commerce status of the covered works or other subject matter. These measures should also be without prejudice to national mechanisms for the use of out of commerce works based on licences between collective management organisation and users other than cultural heritage institutions. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
r 1	
r 1	

59.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
importance of the ability to offer flexible licensing solutions in the digital age, and the increasing use of such schemes in Member States, it is beneficial to further clarify in Union law the status of licensing mechanisms allowing collective management organisations to conclude licences, on a voluntary basis, irrespective of whether all	providing full legal certainty to users. At the same time, they provide a further opportunity to right holders to benefit from the legitimate use of their works.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(28d) Given the increasing importance of the ability to offer flexible licensing solutions in the digital age, and the increasing use of such schemes, in-Member States, should be ablellowed to provide it is beneficial to further elarify in Union law the status of for licensing mechanisms which allowing permit collective management organisations to conclude licences, on a voluntary basis, irrespective of whether all	used. These mechanisms serve as a-complement to-collective management based on individual mandates authorisation by rightholders, by providing full legal certainty to users in certain cases. At the same time, they provide an further opportunity to rights-holders to benefit from the legitimate use of their works. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE 83 EN

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
of the non-member rightholders. Such mechanisms may include extended collective licensing, legal mandate and presumptions of representation. The provisions of this Directive concerning collective licensing should not affect existing possibilities of Member States to apply mandatory collective management or other collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect, such as the one included in Article 3 of Directive 93/83/EEC.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
rights management provided in Directive 2014/26/EU. In particular, such schemes should also ensure and thatas long as it guarantees sufficient protection of the Article 7 of Directive 2014/26/EUn applies to nonmember rightholders that are not members of the organisation that concludes the agreement. Such mechanisms may include extended collective licensing, legal mandate and presumptions of representation. The provisions of this Directive concerning extended collective licensing should not affect existing possibilities of Member States to apply mandatory collective management or other collective management or other collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect, such as the one included in Article 3 of Directive 93/83/EEC.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	\circ
\rightarrow	
_	· ()
_	_
_	\circ
\sim	~
\Box	
Γ±1	
N	9
	-

61.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
extended effect of the mechanisms, the organisation should be, on the basis of authorisations from	organisation offering the licence.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(28f) Specifically, to justify the extended effect of the mechanisms, the organisation should be, on the basis of authorisations from rightholders,	reason to considermake the clearance of rights so onerous and impractical to justify the use of such mechanisms. It is equally important that the licensed use neither affects adversely the economic value of the relevant rights nor deprives rightholders of significant commercial benefits. Moreover, Member States should ensure that appropriate safeguards are in place to protect the legitimate interests of rightholders that are not represented by the organisation offering the licence which that apply in a nondiscriminatory manner. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 87 E**N**

ANNEX	5138/19
EX	/19
ECOMP 3.B.	

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
must be given an effective opportunity to exclude the application of such mechanisms to their works or other subjectmatter for all uses and works or other subject-matter, or for specific uses and works or other subject-matter. In such cases, any ongoing uses should be terminated within a reasonable period. Member States may also decide that additional measures are appropriate to protect rightholders.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
mechanisms Member States may determine the allocation of legal responsibility for uses authorised by the licence agreement. Equal treatment should be guaranteed to all rightholders whose works are exploited under the licence as regards, including in particular as regards notably, access to information on the licensing and the distribution of remuneration. Publicity measures should be effective throughout the duration of the licence without imposing disproportionate administrative burdens on users, collective management organisations and rightholders and without the need to inform each rightholder individually. In order to ensure that rightholders can easily retain regain control of their works, and prevent any uses of their works that would be prejudicial to their interests, rightholders must be given an effective opportunity to exclude the application of such	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
mechanisms to their works or other subject-matter for all uses and works or other subject-matter, or for specific uses and works or other subject-matter. any time including before the conclusion of a licence and or under the durationduring the term of the licence. In such cases, any ongoing uses should be terminated within a reasonable period. Such exclusion by the rightholders should not affect their claims to receive remuneration for the actual use of the work or other subjectmatter under the licence. Member States may also decide that additional measures are appropriate to protect rightholders. This could include, for example, encouraging the exchange of information among collective management organisations and other interested parties across the Union to raise awareness about	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

62.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
ensure that the purpose and scope of any licence granted as a result of these mechanisms, as well as the possible users, should always be carefully and clearly defined in national legislation or, if the underlying provision, in the licensing practices applied as a result of such general provisions, or in the licences granted. The ability to operate a licence under these mechanisms should also be limited to organisations which are either owned or controlled by their right holder members or which operate on a not for		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
ensure that the purpose and scope of any licence granted as a result of these mechanisms, as well as the possible users, should always be carefully and clearly defined in national-legislation or, if the underlying legislation is a general provision, in the licensing practices applied as a result of such general provisions, or in the licences granted. The ability to operate a licence under these mechanisms should also be limited to collective rights management organisations which are either owned or eentrolled by their right holder members or which operate on a	these mechanisms and the rightholders' possibility to exclude their works or other subject-matter from them. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE BM/

ANNEX	5138/19
EX	<u>'1</u>

63.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(28h) Given the different traditions and experiences with extended collective licensing across Member States and their applicability to rightholders irrespective of their nationality or their Member State of residence, it is important to ensure transparency and dialogue at Union level about the practical functioning of these mechanisms, including as regards the effectiveness of safeguards for rightholders, their usability and the potential need to lay down rules to give such schemes cross-border effect within the internal	profit basis, regulated by national law implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(28h) Given the different traditions and experiences with extended collective licensing across Member States and their applicability to rightholders irrespective of their nationality or their Member State of residence, it is important to ensure transparency and dialogue at Union level about the practical functioning of these mechanisms, including as regards the effectiveness of safeguards for rightholders, their usability, the effect on rightsholders who are not members and/or who are nationals of, or resident in, another Member State, the	not for profit basis, regulated by national law implementing which are subject to national law implementing Directive 2014/26/EU. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19
3/19

64.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(28a) In order to ensure that the licensing mechanisms established for out-of-commerce works are relevant and function properly, that rightholders are adequately protected under those mechanisms, that licences are properly publicised and that legal clarity is ensured with regard to the representativeness of collective management organisations and the categorisation of works, Member		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	and their impact on licensing and rightholders.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[EP proposal covered as recital - 28a in line 55] [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	the use of such mechanisms in the Union and their impact on licensing and rightholders, on the dissemination of cultural content and on the cross-border provision of services in the area of collective management of copyright and related rights, and competition. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE
Row	COM(2016)593	P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISION
		States should foster sector- specific stakeholder dialogue.		
65.	(29) On-demand services have the potential to play a decisive	(29) On-demand services have the potential to play a decisive	(29) Video-on-demand services have the potential to play a	(29) Video-on-demand services have the potential to play a
	European Union However	European Union However	of European audiovisual works	of audiovisual works across the Furnhean Union However the
	agreements on the online	European ∪nion. However, agreements on the online	across the-European ∪nion. However, agreements the	european ∪nion. However, the availability of those works, in
	exploitation of such works may	exploitation of such works may	availability of those works, in	particular European works, on
	licensing of rights. Such issues	licensing of rights. Such issues	video-on-demand services	remains limited. Agreements on
	may, for instance, appear when	may, for instance, appear when	remains limited. Agreements on	the online exploitation of such
	the holder of the rights for a given	the holder of the rights for a given	the online exploitation of such	works may be difficult to
	territory is not interested in the	territory is not interested in the	works may be difficult to	conclude due to issues related to
	where there are issues linked to	where there are issues linked to	difficulties related to the licensing	issues may, for instance, appear
	the windows of exploitation.	the windows of exploitation.	of rights. Such issues may, for	when the holder of the rights for a
			instance, appear when the holder	given territory has low economic
			of the rights for a given territory	incentive to exploit a work online
			is not interested in the has low	and does not license or holds back
			economic incentive to exploit a	the online rights, which can lead
			work online exploitation of the	to the unavailability of
			work or where there are issues	audiovisual works on video-on-
			and does not license or holds	may be linked to the windows of
			can lead to the unavailability of	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18 audiovisual works on video-on-demand services. Other issues may be linked to the windows of	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION exploitation. [tentatively agreed at TM,
66.	rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand platforms, this Directive requires Member States to set up a negotiation mechanism allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body. The body should meet with the parties and help with the negotiations by providing professional and external advice. Against that background, Member States should decide on the conditions of the functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and duration of the assistance to negotiations and the bearing of the costs. Member States should ensure that administrative and financial burdens remain proportionate to	(30) To facilitate the licensing of rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand platforms, this Directive requires Member States to should set up a negotiation mechanism, managed by an existing or newly established national body, allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body. The participation in this negotiation mechanism and the subsequent conclusion of agreements should be voluntary. Where a negotiation involves parties from different Member States, those parties should agree beforehand on the competent Member State, should they decide to rely on the negotiation mechanism. The body should meet with the parties	rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand platformsservices, this Directive requires Member States to set upprovide for a negotiation mechanism allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body.—The body or of one or more mediators. For that purpose, Member States may either create a new body or rely on an existing one that fulfils the conditions established by this Directive. Member States may designate one or mediators. The body or the mediators should meet with the parties and help with the negotiations land	rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand services, this Directive requires Member States to provide for a negotiation mechanism allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body or of one or more mediators. For that purpose, Member States may either create a new body or rely on an existing one that fulfils the conditions established by this Directive. Member States may designate one or more competent bodies or mediators. The body or the mediators should meet with the parties and help with the parties and help with the professional, impartial and external advice. Where a

	T
	Row
guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation forum.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
and help with the negotiations by providing professional, <i>impartial</i> and external advice. Against that background, Member States should decide on the conditions of the functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and duration of the assistance to negotiations and the bearing division of the any costs arising, and the composition of such bodies. Member States should ensure that administrative and financial burdens remain proportionate to guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation forum.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
external advice. The body or the mediators could meet with the parties to facilitate the start of negotiations or in the course of the negotiations to facilitate the conclusion of an agreement. The use of and the participation in the negotiation mechanism should remain voluntary and should not affect the parties contractual freedom. Against that background, Member States should be free to decide on the functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and duration of the assistance to negotiations and the bearing of the costs. Member States should ensure that administrative and financial burdens remain proportionate to guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation for the negotiation for the negotiation of the negotiation the negotiation of the negotiation proportionate to guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
from different Member States, those parties should agree beforehand on the competent Member State, should they decide to rely on the negotiation mechanism. The body or the mediators could meet with the parties to facilitate the start of negotiations or in the course of the negotiations or in the subsequent conclusion of an agreement. The participation in this negotiation mechanism and the subsequent conclusion of agreements should be voluntary and should not affect the parties' contractual freedom. Against that background, Member States should be free to decide on the concrete functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and duration of the assistance to negotiations and the bearing of the costs. Member States should ensure that administrative and financial	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

67.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(30a) The preservation of the Union's heritage is of the utmost importance and should be strengthened for the benefit of future generations. This should be achieved notably through the protection of published heritage. To this end, a Union legal deposit should be created in order to ensure that publications concerning the Union, such as Union law, Union history and integration, Union policy and Union democracy, institutional and parliamentary affairs, and politics, and, thereby, the Union's intellectual record and future published heritage, are		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[deleted]	burdens remain proportionate to guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation mechanism. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/122018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
collected systematically. Not only should such heritage be preserved through the creation of a Union archive for publications dealing with Union-related matters, but it should also be made available to Union citizens and future generations. The European Parliament Library, as the Library of the only Union institution directly representing Union citizens, should be designated as the Union depository library. In order not to create an excessive burden on publishers, printers and importers, only electronic publications, such as e-books, e-journals and e-magazines should be deposited in the European Parliament Library, which should make available for readers publications covered by the Union legal deposit at the European Parliament Library for the purpose of research or study and under the control of	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

essential to ensure quality journalism and citizens' access to information. It provides a fundamental contribution to public debate and the proper functioning of a democratic society. The wide availability of press publications online has given rise to the emergence of new online services, such as news aggregators or media monitoring services, for which the reuse of press publications constitutes an important part of their business models and a source of revenues. Publishers of press publications are facing problems in licensing the online use of their publications to the providers of these kind of services, making it more difficult	essential to ensure quality journalism and citizens' access to information. It provides a fundamental contribution to public debate and the proper functioning of a democratic society. In the transition from print to digital, publishers The wide availability of press publications online has given rise to the emergence of new online services, such as news aggregators or media monitoring services, for which the reuse of press publications constitutes an important part of their business models and a source of revenues. Publishers of press publications are facing problems in licensing the online use of their publications and	essential to ensure quality journalism and citizens' access to information. It provides a fundamental contribution to public debate and the proper functioning of a democratic society. The increasing imbalance between powerful platforms and press publishers, which can also be news agencies, has already led to a remarkable regression of the media landscape on a regional level. In the transition from print to digital, publishers and news agencies of press publications are facing problems in licensing the online use of their publications and recouping their investments. In the absence of recognition of publishers of press publications as	essential to ensure quality journalism and citizens' access to information. It provides a fundamental contribution to public debate and the proper functioning of a democratic society. In the transition from print to digital, publishers of press publications are facing problems in licensing the online use of their publications and recouping their investments. In the absence of recognition of publishers of press publications as rightholders, licensing and enforcement in the digital environment is often complex and inefficient.	68.
		the European Parliament Library. Such publications should not be made available online externally.		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Row

ANNEX	5138/19
	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	BM/

69.		Row
(32) The organisational and financial contribution of publishers in producing press publications needs to be recognised and further encouraged to ensure the sustainability of the publishing industry. It is therefore necessary to provide at Union level a harmonised legal protection for press publications in respect of digital uses. Such protection should be effectively guaranteed		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(32) The organisational and financial contribution of publishers in producing press publications needs to be recognised and further encouraged to ensure the sustainability of the publishing industry and thereby to guarantee the availability of reliable information. It is therefore necessary for Member States to provide at Union level a harmonised-legal protection for	rightholders, licensing and enforcement in the digital environment is often complex and inefficient.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
1. (32) The organisational and financial contribution of publishers in producing press publications needs to be recognised and further encouraged to ensure the sustainability of the publishing industry. It is therefore necessary to provide at Union level a harmonised legalle-gal protection for press publications in respect of digitalonline uses by information society service	these kind of services, making it more difficult for them to more difficult for them to recoup their investments. In the absence of recognition of publishers of press publications as rightholders, licensing and enforcement of rights in press publications regarding online uses by information society service providers in the digital environment isare often complex and inefficient.	COUNCIL TEXT
(32) The organisational and financial contribution of publishers in producing press publications needs to be recognised and further encouraged to ensure the sustainability of the publishing industry and thereby to foster the availability of reliable information. It is therefore necessary to provide at Union level a harmonised legal protection for press publications	for them to recoup their investments. In the absence of recognition of publishers of press publications as rightholders, licensing and enforcement of rights in press publications regarding online uses by information society service providers in the digital environment are often complex and inefficient.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

through the i law, of rights for the repro- available to t publications uses.	Row COMMISS
through the introduction, in Union law, of rights related to copyright for the reproduction and making available to the public of press publications in respect of digital uses.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
press publications in respect of the Union for digital uses. Such protection should be effectively guaranteed through the introduction, in Union law, of rights related to copyright for the reproduction and making available to the public of press publications in respect of digital uses in order to obtain fair and proportionate remuneration for such uses. Private uses should be excluded from this reference. In addition, the listing in a search engine should not be considered as fair and proportionate remuneration.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
current copyright rules in Union law applicable to uses of press publications by other users, including individual users. Such protection should be effectively guaranteed through the introduction, in Union law, of rights related to copyright for the reproduction and making available to the public of press publications in respect of digital usespublished by publishers established in a Member State in respect of online uses by information society service providers within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council 12. The legal protection for press publications provided for by	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
in respect of online uses by information society service providers, leaving unaffected current copyright rules in Union law applicable to uses of press publications by individual users, including when they share press publications online. Such protection should be effectively guaranteed through the introduction, in Union law, of rights related to copyright for the reproduction and making available to the public of press publishers established in a Member State in respect of online uses by information society service providers within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹² .	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 September 2015 laying down a procedure for the provision of information in the field of technical regulations and of rules on Information Society services (OJ L 241, 17.9.2015, p. 1–15).

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE BM/

102 EN

5138/19 ANNEX

DM/
(33) For the purposes of this Directive, it is necessary to define the concept of press publication in a way that embraces only journalistic publications, published by a service provider, periodically or regularly updated
in a Member State in the meaning of the Treaty of the functioning of the European Union, i.e. when they have their registered office, central administration or principal place of business within the Union.
COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	in any media, for the purpose of informing or entertaining. Such publications would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of general or special interest and news websites. Periodical publications which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be covered by the protection granted to press publications under this Directive. This protection does not extend to acts of hyperlinking which do not constitute communication to the public.	in any media, for the purpose of informing or entertaining. Such publications would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of general or special interest and news websites. Periodical publications which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be covered by the protection granted to press publications under this Directive. This protection does not extend to acts of hyperlinking which do not extend to factual information which is reported in journalistic articles from a press publication and will therefore not prevent anyone from reporting such factual information.	in any media, for the purpose of informing or entertaining-in any media, including on paper, in the context of an economic activity which constitutes a provision of services under Union law. The press publications to be covered are those whose purpose is to inform the general public and which are periodically or regularly updated. Such publications would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of general or special interest and news websites. Press publications contain mostly literary works but increasingly include other types of works and subject-matter, notably photographs and videos. Periodical publications wich are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be	which constitutes a provision of services under Union law. The press publications to be covered would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of general or special interest, including subscription based magazines. Press publications contain mostly literary works but increasingly include other types of works and subject-matter, notably photographs and videos. Periodical publications published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be covered by the protection granted to press publications under this Directive. Neither should this protection as part of an activity which is not control of service provider, such as different such service provider, such
			covered by the protection granted	

104 EZ

71.		Row
publishers of press publications under this Directive should have the same scope as the rights of reproduction and making available to the public provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, insofar as digital uses are concerned. They should also be subject to the same provisions on exceptions and limitations as those applicable to the rights provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC including the exception on quotation for purposes such as criticism or review laid down in Article 5(3)(d) of that Directive.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(34) The rights granted to the publishers of press publications under this Directive should have the same scope as the rights of reproduction and making available to the public provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, insofar as digital uses are concerned. They Member States should also be able to subject those rights to the same provisions on exceptions and limitations as those applicable to the rights provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC including the exception on quotation for purposes such as criticism or review laid down in Article 5(3)(d) of that Directive.		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(34) The rights granted to the publishers of press publications under this Directive should have the same scope as the rights of reproduction and making available to the public provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, insofar as digitalonline uses are concerned by information society service providers are concerned. They should not extend to acts of hyperlinking when they do not constitute communication to the public. They should also be subject to the same provisions on exceptions and limitations as those applicable to the rights provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC ₂	to press publications under this Directive. This protection does not extend to acts of hyperlinking which do not constitute communication to the public.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(34) The rights granted to the publishers of press publications under this Directive should have the same scope as the rights of reproduction and making available to the public provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, insofar as <i>online</i> uses <i>by information society service</i> providers are concerned. <i>The rights granted to the publishers of press publications</i> should not extend to acts of hyperlinking. <i>They should also not extend to the mere facts reported in the press publications</i> . They should also be subject to the same provisions on exceptions and limitations as those applicable to	as a news publisher. [provisionally agreed at TM on 04/12/2018, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX

72.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
by information society service providers can consist of the use of entire publications or articles but also of parts of press publications. Such uses of parts of press publications. Such uses of parts of press publications have also gained economic relevance. At the same time, where such parts are insubstantial, the use thereof by information society service providers may not undermine the investments made by publishers of press publications in the production of content. Furthermore, insubstantial parts of press publications are not usually the expression of their authors, in	including the exception on quotation for purposes such as criticism or review laid down in Article 5(3)(d) of that Directive.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(34a) Uses of press publications by information society service providers can consist of the use of entire publications or articles but also of parts of press publications. Such uses of parts of press publications have also gained economic relevance. At the same time, the use of individual words or very short extracts of press publications by information society service providers may not undermine the investments made by publishers of press publications in the production of content. Therefore, it is appropriate to provide that the use of individual words or very short individual words or very short	the rights provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, including the exception on quotation for purposes such as criticism or review laid down in Article 5(3)(d) of that Directive.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

BM/ LIMITE



ANNEX	5138/19
×	9

73.		Row
(35) The protection granted to publishers of press publications under this Directive should not affect the rights of the authors and other rightholders in the works		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(35) The protection granted to publishers of press publications under this Directive should not affect the rights of the authors and other rightholders in the works		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(35) The protection granted to publishers of press publications under this Directive should not affect the rights of the authors and other rightholders in the	the Court of Justice of the European Union. Therefore, it is appropriate to provide that the use of insubstantial parts of press publications should not fall within the scope of the rights provided for in this Directive. To determine the insubstantial nature of parts of press publications for the purposes of this Directive, Member States may take into account whether these parts are the expression of the intellectual creation of their authors or whether these parts are limited to individual words or very short excerpts, without independent economic significance, or both criteria.	COUNCIL TEXT
publishers of press publications under this Directive should not affect the rights of the authors and other rightholders in the works	extracts of press publications should not fall within the scope of the rights provided for in this Directive.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 107 **E**N

taptarrter Jersearra	Row
and other subject-matter incorporated therein, including as regards the extent to which authors and other rightholders can exploit their works or other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. Therefore, publishers of press publications should not be able to invoke the protection granted to them against authors and other rightholders. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements concluded between the publishers of press publications, on the one side, and authors and other rightholders, on the other side.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
and other subject-matter incorporated therein, including as regards the extent to which authors and other rightholders can exploit their works or other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. Therefore, publishers of press publications should not be able to invoke the protection granted to them against authors and other rightholders. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements concluded between the publishers of press publications, on the one side, and authors and other rightholders, on the other side. Notwithstanding the fact that authors of the works incorporated in a press publication receive an appropriate reward for the use of their works on the basis of the terms for licensing of their work to the press publisher, authors	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
works and other subject-matter incorporated therein, including as regards the extent to which authors and other rightholders can exploit their works or other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. Therefore, publishers of press publications should not be able to invoke the protection granted to them against authors and other rightholders- or against other authorised users of the same works and other subject-matter. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements concluded between the publishers of press publications, on the one side, and authors and other rightholders, on the other side.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
and other subject-matter incorporated therein, including as regards the extent to which authors and other rightholders can exploit their works or other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. Therefore, publishers of press publications should not be able to invoke the protection granted to them against authors and other rightholders or against other authorised users of the same works and other subject-matter. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements concluded between the publishers of press publications, on the one side, and authors and other rightholders, on the other side. Authors whose works are incorporated in a press publishers receive for the uses of their neess.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX

BM/



	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
press publication should be entitled to an appropriate share of the new additional revenues press publishers receive for certain types of secondary use of their press publications by information society service provided for in Article 11(1) of this Directive. The amount of the compensation attributed to the authors should take into account the specific industry licensing standards regarding works incorporated in a press publication which are accepted as appropriate in the respective Member State; and the compensation attributed to authors should not affect the licensing terms agreed between the author and the press publisher for the use of the author's article by the press publisher.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
society service providers. [to be discussed further at trilogue]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 109 **EN**

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
74.	(36) Publishers, including those of press publications, books or scientific publications, often operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements. In this context, publishers make an investment with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations such as the ones for private copying and reprography. In a number of Member States compensation for uses under those exceptions is shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this situation and improve legal certainty for all concerned parties, Member States should be allowed to determine that, when an author has transferred or licensed his rights to a publisher or otherwise	(36) Publishers, including those of press publications, books or scientific publications, operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements with authors. In this context, publishers make an investment and acquire rights, in some fields including rights to claim a share of compensation within joint collective management organisations of authors and publishers, with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be therefore also find themselves being deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations such as the ones for private copying and reprography. In a large number of Member States compensation for uses under those exceptions is	(36) Publishers, including those of press publications, books or scientific publications, often operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements or statutory provisions. In this context, publishers make an investment with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations, such as the ones for private copying and reprography: including the corresponding existing national schemes for reprography in the Member States, or under public lending schemes. In a number of Member States the compensation or such uses under those exceptions is shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this	of press publications, books or scientific publications, books or scientific publications and music publications, often operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements or statutory provisions. In this context, publishers make an investment with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations, such as the ones for private copying and reprography, including the corresponding existing national schemes for reprography in the Member States, or under public lending schemes. i) In several Member States compensation for uses under those exceptions is shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this situation and to improve legal

Row COMMISSION PROPOSAL COMMISSION PROPOSAL Row COM(2016)593 COM(2016)593 A8-0245/2018 Contributes with his works to a publication and there are systems in place to compensate for the harm caused by an exception or limitation, publishers are entitled to claim a share of such COM(2016)593 A8-0245/2018 Shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this situation and to improve legal certainty for all concerned parties, Member States should be allowed to determine that to claim a share of such COUNCIL TEXT POSSIBLE COMPROMISE POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION Wember States should be allowed but not obliged to determine that when an author has transferred or compensation between licensed his rights to a publishers to
shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this situation and to improve legal certainty for all concerned parties, Member States should be allowed to determine should be allowed to determine licensed his rights to a publisher
the that, when an author has to to to a publisher or otherwise under the eontributes with his works to a publication and there are systems in place to compensate for the harm caused by an exception or limitation, publishers are entitled to claim a share of such eompensation, whereas the burden on the publisher to substantiate his claim should not sharing system in place. provide an equivalent compensation in that Member States state before 12 November 2015. The share of such compensation or limitation, publishers are entitled to elaim-a share of such compensation, whereas The same possibility should remain free to decide not to provide publishers with such remuneration. Member States should remain free to determine the burden on the publisher to substantiate his claim should not substantiate his claim should n

5138/19 ANNEX

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
distribution rules of the collective management organisation acting jointly on behalf of authors and publishers, or set by Members States in law or regulation, in accordance with the equivalent system that was in operation in that Member State before 12 November 2015. This provision is without prejudice to the arrangements in the Member States concerning public lending rights, the management of rights not based on exceptions or limitations to copyright, such as extended collective licensing schemes, or concerning remuneration rights on the basis of national law.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
the system compensation or remuneration and to lay down the conditions as to the sharing of this compensation or remuneration between authors and publishers in place accordance with their national systems.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
affect existing and future arrangements in Member States regarding remuneration in the context of public lending. It should also leave untouched national arrangements related to the management of rights and to remuneration rights, provided that they comply with Union law. All Member States should be allowed but not obliged to determine that, when an author has transferred or licensed his rights to a publisher or otherwise contributes with his works to a publication and there are systems in place to compensate for the harm caused to them by an exception or limitation, including through collective management organisations that jointly represent authors and publishers, publishers are entitled to a share of such compensation. Member States should remain free to determine the burden on	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

75.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(36 a) Cultural and creative industries (CCIs) play a key role in reindustrialising Europe, are a driver for growth and are in a strategic position to trigger innovative spill-overs in other industrial sectors. Furthermore CCIs are a driving force for innovation and development of ICT in Europe. Cultural and creative industries in Europe provide more than 12 million full-time jobs, which amounts to		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[To be checked the background – most likely to be deleted]	the publisher to substantiate his claim for the compensation or remuneration and to lay down the conditions as to the sharing of this compensation or remuneration between authors and publishers in accordance with their national systems. [provisionally agreed at TM 04/12/2018 and confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX	76.	
19 EX	(37) Over the last years, the functioning of the online content marketplace has gained in complexity. Online services providing access to copyright protected content uploaded by their users without the involvement of right holders have flourished and have become main sources of access to content online. This affects rightholders' possibilities to determine whether, and under which conditions, their work and other subject-matter are used as well as their possibilities to get an appropriate remuneration for it.	
ЕСОМР З.В.	(37) Over the last years, the functioning of the online content marketplace market has gained in complexity. Online services providing access to copyright protected content uploaded by their users without the involvement of right holders have flourished and have become main sources of access to copyright protected content online. Online services are means of providing wider access to cultural and creative works and offer great opportunities for cultural and creative industries to develop new business models. However, although they allow for diversity and ease of access to content,	7,5 % of the Union's work force, creating approximately EUR 509 billion in value added to GDP (5,3 % of the EU's total GVA). The protection of copyright and related rights are at the core of the CCI's revenue.
BM/ LI MITE	(37) Over the last years, the functioning of the online content marketplace has gained in complexity. Online content sharing services providing access to a large amount of copyright-protected content uploaded by their users without the involvement of right holders have become main sources of access to content online. This Legal uncertainty exists as to whether such services engage in copyright relevant acts and need to obtain authorisations from rightholders for the content uploaded by their users who do not hold the relevant	
114 EN	functioning of the online content market has gained in complexity. Online content sharing services providing access to a large amount of copyright protected content uploaded by their users have become main sources of access to content online. Online services are means of providing wider access to cultural and creative works and offer great opportunities for cultural and creative industries to develop new business models. However, although they allow for diversity and ease of access to content,	

Row

COMMISSION PROPOSAL

COM(2016)593

P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337

EP TEXT

COUNCIL TEXT

9134/18

POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

A8-0245/2018

		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	they also generate challenges when copyright protected content is uploaded without prior authorisation from rightholders. This affects rightholders' possibilities to determine whether, and under which conditions, their work and other subject-matter are used as well as their possibilities to get an appropriate remuneration for it, since some user uploaded content services do not enter into licensing agreements on the basis that they claim to be covered by the "safe-harbour" exemption set out in Directive 2000/31/EC.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	rights in the uploaded content, without prejudice to the application of exceptions and limitations provided for in Union Law. This situation affects rightholders' possibilities to determine whether, and under which conditions, their work and other subject matter are content is used as well as their possibilities to get an appropriate remuneration for it. It is therefore important to foster the development of the licensing market between rightholders and online content sharing service providers. These licensing agreements should be fair and keep a reasonable balance for both parties. Rightholders should receive an appropriate reward for the use of their works or other subject matter.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
116	when copyright protected content is uploaded without prior authorisation from rightholders. Legal uncertainty exists as to whether such services engage in copyright relevant acts and need to obtain authorisations from rightholders for the content uploaded by their users who do not hold the relevant rights in the uploaded content, without prejudice to the application of exceptions and limitations provided for in Union Law. This uncertainty affects rightholders' possibilities to determine whether, and under which conditions, their works and other subject-matter are used as well as their possibilities to get an appropriate remuneration for it.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				It is therefore important to foster the development of the licensing market between rightholders and online content sharing service providers. These licensing agreements should be fair and keep a reasonable balance for both parties. Rightholders should receive an appropriate reward for the use of their works or other subject matter.
77.		society services, as part of their normal use, are designed to give access to the public to copyright protected content or other subject-matter uploaded by their users. The definition of an online content sharing service provider under this Directive shall cover information society service providers of which is to store and give access to the public or to	content sharing service provider under this Directive targets only online services which play an important role on the online content market by competing with other online content services, such as online audio and video streaming services, for the same audiences. The services covered by this intervention are those the main or one of the main	fif recital (38a) as proposed by the Commission during the trilogue on 13/12/2018 (see row 82) is retained, the following sentence from recital (37a) EP would have to be deleted: "The definition of an online content sharing service provider under this Directive shall cover

BM/

COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593 such as online encyclopaedia, commercial purpose capacity providers that act in a non-2003/361/EC and service of Title I of the Annex to enterprises within the meaning microenterprises and small sized this Directive does not cover sharing service providers under definition of online content provided for in Article 14 of from the liability exemption consequence, they cannot benefit in an active way. As a used therefor, and therefore act matter, irrespective of the means uploaded works or other subjectcurating, sequencing, the others displaying, tagging, purposes, including amongst and promote for profit making users, and that optimise content uploaded / made available by its copyright protected content stream significant amounts of Commission Recommendation Directive 2000/31/EC. The P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018 EP TEXT certain manner and <u>with the purpose of obtaining</u> not to provide access to services whose main purpose is <u>categorising it, as well as using</u> <u>copyright-protected content</u> access to a large amount of the meaning of Regulation <u>copyright protected content</u> targeted promotion on it. The more audiences. Organising <u>well as providers of cloud</u> nternet access providers, as 2015/2120/EU, including communication services within include, for instance, electronic profit from this activity. These lefinition does not include ontent, presenting it in a <u>for example indexing the</u> and promoting content involves <u>promoting it in order to attract</u> <u>indirectly, by organising it and</u> therefrom, either directly or the purpose of obtaining profit purposes of which is to provide <u>iploaded by their users with</u> COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18 such as online audio and video subject-matter uploaded by 2000/31/EC:" other online content services, content market by competing with an important role on the online only online services which play online content sharing service access to the public to copyright society services, as part of their in Article 14 of Directive liability exemption provided for they cannot benefit from the an active way. As a consequence, providers (...) and therefore act in information society service their user. The definition of an protected content or other normal use, are designed to give (37a) Certain information under this Directive should target POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593 the meaning of this Directive. sharing service providers within considered online content physical goods, should not be activity is online retail of market places whose main developing platforms, and online do not provide direct access to services for individual use which repositories. Providers of cloud educational or scientific right holders concerned, such as with the authorisation of all where the content is uploaded the public, open source software and providers of online services P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018 EP TEXT copyright protected content. services which allow users, to this Directive should not apply <u>content for profit making</u> <u>or educational repositories or</u> websites which store and Nor does this definition cover and not giving access to <u>online marketplaces whose</u> to services the main purpose of provide access to content for upload content for their own which is to engage in or to <u>provided for in this Directive,</u> iability exemption mechanism possible application of the protection and to avoid the <u>nigh level of copyright</u> ourposes. In order to ensure the <u>online encyclopaedias, scientific</u> main activity is online retail ise, such as cyberlockers, or <u>leveloping platforms which do</u> ot store and give access to pen source software ion-for-profit purposes, such as COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18 definition does not include share a large amount of copyright and enable users to upload and services, the main or one of the audiences. The services covered profit from this activity. These with the purpose of obtaining of copyright protected content purpose than enabling users to services which have another main categorising it and using targeted indirectly, by organising it and protected content with the main purposes of which is to store by this Directive are those streaming services, for the same include, for instance, electronic upload and share a large amount promotion within it. The larger audience, including by promoting it in order to attract a purpose of obtaining profit therefrom, either directly or POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
\times	9

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
facilitate copyright piracy.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
communication services within the meaning of Directive 2018/1972 establishing the European Electronic Communications Code, as well as providers of business to-business cloud services and cloud services, which allow users to upload content for their own use, such as cyberlockers, or online marketplaces whose main activity is online retail and not giving access to copyright protected content. Providers of services such as open source software development and sharing platforms, not for profit scientific or educational repositories as well as not-for-profit online encyclopedias are also excluded from this definition.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
Microenterprises and small-sized enterprises within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC should also be excluded from this definition since they are not considered as significantly affecting the online content market and do not compete with major licence-based online services. These enterprises remain subject to the possible application of the existing rules on copyright, in particular to Articles 3(1) and 3(2) of Directive 2019/29/EC as well as to of the provisions of Diretive 2000/31/EC]. Finally, in order to ensure a high	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

LIMITE

ANNE	5138/19
EX	/19

79.	78.		Row
(38) Where information society service providers store and provide access to the public to copyright protected works or			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(38) Where information society Online content sharing service providers store and provide access to the public to			EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(38) This Directive clarifies under which conditions the Where information society online content sharing	whether an online content sharing service provider stores and gives access to a large amount of copyright-protected content needs to be made on a case-by-case basis and take account of a combination of elements, such as the audience of the service and the number of files of copyright-protected content uploaded by the users of the services.		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(38) This Directive clarifies that online content sharing service providers engage in an act of communication to the public or	(37b) The assessment of whether an online content sharing service provider stores and gives access to a large amount of copyright-protected content needs to be made on a case-by-case basis and take account of a combination of elements, such as the audience of the service and the number of files of copyright-protected content uploaded by the users of the services.	level of copyright protection, the liability exemption mechanism provided for in Article 13 should not apply to service providers the main purpose of which is to engage in or to facilitate copyright piracy.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

BM/

	Row
other subject-matter uploaded by their users, thereby going beyond the mere provision of physical facilities and performing an act of communication to the public, they are obliged to conclude licensing agreements with rightholders, unless they are eligible for the liability exemption provided in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹³ .	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
copyright protected works or other subject matter uploaded by their users, thereby going beyond the mere provision of physical facilities and performing perform an act of communication to the public, they are obliged to and therefore are responsible for their content and should therefore conclude fair and appropriate licensing agreements with rightholders, unless they are eligible for. Where licensing agreements when they are acting in a noncommercial capacity. In accordance with Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council 11(2a) the responsibility of online content sharing	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
service providers store and provide access to copyright protected works or other subject matter uploaded by their users, thereby going beyond the mere provision of physical facilities and performing are engaging in an act of communication to the public or making available to the public within the meaning of Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC they are obliged to conclude licensing agreements with rightholders, unless they are eligible for the liability exemption provided in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. It does not change the concept of communication to the public or of making available to the public under Union law nor does it affect the possible	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
making available to the public when they give the public access to copyright protected works or other protected subject matter uploaded by their users. Consequently, the online content sharing service providers should obtain an authorisation, including via a licencing agreement, from the relevant rightholders. This does not affect the concept of communication to the public or of making available to the public elsewhere under Union law nor does it affect the possible application of Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC to other service providers using copyright-protected content [, including to the micro and small enterprises or other service providers which are excluded from the scope of this Directive].	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market (OJ L 178, 17.7.2000, p. 1–16).

13

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/

> 122 **EN**

80.		Row
In respect of Article 14, it is necessary to verify whether the service provider plays an active role, including by optimising the presentation of the uploaded works or subject-matter or promoting them, irrespective of the nature of the means used therefor.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Deleted	providers pursuant to Article 13 does not extend to acts of hyperlinking in respect of press publications. The dialogue between stakeholders is essential in the digital world. They should define best practices to ensure the functioning of licensing agreements and cooperation between online content sharing service providers and rightholders. Those best practices should take into account the extent of the copyright infringing content on the service.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
Deleted, partly moved to recital (37a) Council's text – see row 77	application of Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC to other services using copyright-protected content.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
	[Uses being covered by licences is covered in recital 38(d)]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	BM/

82.	81.	Row
	In order to ensure the functioning of any licensing agreement, information society service providers storing and providing access to the public to large amounts of copyright protected works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users should take appropriate and proportionate measures to ensure protection of works or other subject-matter, such as implementing effective technologies. This obligation should also apply when the information society service providers are eligible for the liability exemption provided in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	Deleted	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(38a) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital 38(b)] When online content sharing service providers communicate to the public, they should not	Deleted, partly moved to recital (38c) Council's text – see row 84	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[See comment in row 77, and comment on Article 13(3) in row 237A] [Commission's text proposed at the trilogue 13/12/2018, to be		POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

EN

83.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(38b) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (38c)] Taking into account the fact that online content sharing service providers give access to	benefit from the limited liability provided for in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC for the purposes of copyright relevant acts. This should not affect the content sharing providers to benefit from such exemption of liability for other purposes than copyright when they are providing their services and host content at the request of their users in accordance with Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(38b) TO BE ADAPTED TO THE FINAL TEXT of Article 13 Taking into account the fact that	"When online content sharing service providers are liable for acts of communication to the public or making available to the public under the conditions established under this Directive, Article 14(1) of Directive 2000/31/EC should not apply to the liability arising from Article 13 of this Directive. This should not affect the application of Article 14(1) of Directive 2000/31/EC to these service providers for purposes falling outside the scope of this Directive."	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 125 **EN**

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
content which is not uploaded by them but by their users, it is appropriate to provide that, for cases where no authorisation has been obtained by the services and, for the purpose of this Directive, they should not be liable for unauthorised acts in specific, well-defined circumstances, when they demonstrate that they have acted in a diligent manner with the objective to prevent such unauthorised acts, without prejudice to remedies under national law for cases other than liability for national courts or administrative authorities of issuing injunctions. In particular, they should not be liable if some unauthorised content is available on their services despite their best efforts to prevent its availability by applying effective and	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
online content sharing service providers give access to content which is not uploaded by them but by their users, it is appropriate to provide for a specific liability mechanism for the purposes of this Directive for cases where no authorisation has been granted. This should be without prejudice to remedies under national law for cases other than liability for copyright infringements and to the possibility for national courts or administrative authorities of issuing injunctions in compliance with Union law. Where no authorisation has been granted to the services providers, they should make their best efforts in cooperation with rightholders and in accordance with high industry standards of professional diligence to avoid the availability on their services of unauthorised works and other subject matter, as identified by	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		

P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18 proportionate measures based on the information provided by
proportio on the inf righthold the online service pr liable, the case, upor righthold unauthor subject-m expedition disable ac make thei prevent the availabili	proportionate measures based on the information provided by rightholders. In addition, for the online content sharing service providers not to be liable, they should also in any case, upon notification by rightholders of specific unauthorised works or other subject-matter, act expeditiously to remove or disable access to these and make their best efforts to prevent their future availability.

BM/ 127
ECOMP 3.B. **LIMITE EN**

	5138/19
NNI	138/1

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
When assessing whether an online content sharing service provider has made its best efforts according to the high industry standards of professional diligence, account should be taken of the principle of proportionality. For the purposes of this assessment, a number of elements should be considered, such as the size of the service, the state of the art of existing means for avoiding the availability of different types of content and their cost for the services. Different means to avoid the availability of unauthorised copyright protected content may be appropriate and proportionate per type of content and it is therefore not excluded that in some cases unauthorised content may only be avoided upon notification of rightholders.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	BM/

	Row COMMI
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
Any steps taken by the service providers should be effective with regard to the objectives sought but should not go beyond what is necessary to achieve the objective of avoiding and discontinuing the availability of unauthorised works and other subject matter. IF SMEs are not excluded: It is not excluded that in some cases unauthorised content may only be avoided upon notification of rightholders. In particular, small and micro enterprises as defined in Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC, should be expected to be subject to less burdensome obligations than larger service providers. Therefore, in specific cases it may not be proportionate	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT
enterprises to apply preventive measures and in such cases these enterprises should only be expected to expeditiously remove specific unauthorised works and other subject matter upon notification by rightholders.] If unauthorised works and other subject matter become available despite the best efforts made in cooperation with rightholders as required by this Directive, the online content sharing service providers should be liable in relation to the specific works and other subject matter for which they have received the relevant and necessary information from rightholders unless they demonstrate that they have made their best efforts pursuant to high industry standards of professional diligence. In addition, where specific unauthorised works or	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018 COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	T

ANNEX	5138/19
r 1	
r 1	

84.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(38c) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (38ca)] Appropriate collaboration carried out in good faith between online content sharing service providers and rightholders is essential for the effective application of the measures by the online content		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
38(c) The online content sharing service providers should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the steps taken in the context of the cooperation. As different actions may be undertaken by the online content sharing service providers, they should provide rightholders, at their request, with adequate	provided by rightholders and, as a result, online content sharing service providers cannot make their best efforts to avoid on their services the availability of unauthorised content in accordance with the high standard of professional diligence, the service providers should not be liable for unauthorised acts of communication to the public or of making available to the public of these unidentified works and other subject matter.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
These service providers. These service providers should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed measures. As different measures may be used by the online content sharing service providers, they should provide rightholders with appropriate information on the type of measures used and the way they operate, including for example information on the success rate of the measures. Such information should be sufficiently specific to provide enough transparency for rightholders and allow cooperation to ensure effective functioning of the measures, without prejudice to the business secrets of service providers. Service providers should however not be required to provide rightholders with detailed and individualised information for each work and	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
information on the type of actions undertaken and the way they are implemented. Such information should be sufficiently specific to provide enough transparency to rightholders, without prejudice to the business secrets of online content sharing service providers. Service providers should however not be required to provide rightholders with detailed and individualised information for each work and other subject matter identified. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements, which may contain more specific provisions on the information to be provided where agreements are concluded between service providers and rightholders.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements, which may contain more specific provisions on the information to be provided where agreements are concluded between service providers and rightholders. On the other hand, rightholders should provide the service providers with necessary and relevant data for the application of the measures to their specific unauthorised works or other subject matter taking also into account the size of rightholders and other subject matter. As long as no data for the application of the measures or no notification concerning removal or disabling access to specific unauthorised works or other subject matter has been provided by rightholders and, as a result, online content	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

85.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
sharing service providers sharing service providers obtain authorisations, including via licensing agreements, for the use on the service of content uploaded by the users of the services, these authorisations should also cover the copyright relevant acts in respect of uploads by the users but only in cases where the users act in their private capacity and for non-commercial purposes, such as sharing their content without any profit making purpose. (38e) The measures taken by	cannot take the measures or expeditious action as set out in this Directive, these service providers should not be liable for unauthorised acts of communication to the public or of making available to the public.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[Commission's text proposed at the trilogue 13/12/2018, to be further discussed] (38d) Where online content sharing service providers obtain authorisations, including via licensing agreements, for the use on the service of content uploaded by the users of the service, these should also cover the copyright relevant acts of the service, they upload content when they upload content within the scope of the authorisation granted to the service providers, but only in cases		POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE

relation to the copyright relevant

EZ

ANNEX	5138/19
	•

COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593 A8-0245/2018 De taken by the online content sharing service providers, the state of the art of existing technologies for the different types of content as well as the
be taken by the online content sharing service providers, the state of the art of existing technologies for the different types of content as well as the size of the services should be taken into account notably whether they are small and

ANNEX	5138/19
×	9

86.		Row
(39) Collaboration between information society service providers storing and providing access to the public to large amounts of copyright protected works or other subject-matter		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(39) Collaboration between information society Member States should provide that where right holders do not wish to conclude licensing agreements, online content sharing service		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(39) Moved up to recital (38c)[which was recital (38ca) in ST 9134/18]	should be expected to be subject to less burdensome obligations than larger service providers. Therefore, taking into account the state of the art and the availability of technologies and their costs, in specific cases it may not be proportionate to expect small and micro enterprises to apply preventive measures and that therefore in such cases these enterprises should only be expected to expeditiously remove specific unauthorised works and other subject matter upon notification by rightholders.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
		POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 138 **EN**

COMMISSION PROPOSAL P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 COM(2016)593 A8-0245/2018 uploaded by their users and rightholders is essential for the functioning of technologies, such as content recognition technologies. In such cases, rightholders should provide the necessary data to allow the services should be retransparent towards rightholders and the services should be provide transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed technologies, to allow the appropriateness. The services should in particular provide rightholders way they are operated and their useds should ers of the recognition of rightholders' content. Those technologies should also allow the assessment of their users and the services should be services. Cooperation between rightholders' content. Those technologies, to allow the transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed technologies should also allow the assessment of their users should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed technologies, to allow the assessment of their users should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed technologies, to allow the assessment of their users should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed technologies, to allow the assessment of their users should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed technologies, to allow the assessment of their users should be assessment of their users and the services should be assessment of their users and the services should be assessment of their users and the services should be assessment of their users and the services should be assessment of their users and the services should be assessment of their users and the services should be assessment of their users and the services should be assessment of their users and the services should be assessment of their users and the services should be assessment of their users and t		Sor Sood C	Sor Sood Share on the state of
--	--	------------	---

5138/19 ANNEX

BM/

ECOMP 3.B.

139 **EN**

87.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(39a) Members States should ensure that online content sharing service providers referred to in paragraph 1 put in place effective and expeditious complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case the cooperation referred to in paragraph 2a leads to unjustified removals of their content. Any complaint filed under such mechanisms should	rightholders' content. Those technologies should also allow rightholders to get information from the information society service providers on the use of their content and right holders should not lead to preventing the availability of non-infringing works or other protected subject matter, including those covered by an agreement exception or limitation to copyright.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(39a) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (39b)] The measures taken by the online content sharing service providers should be without prejudice to the application of exceptions and limitations to copyright, including in particular those which guarantee the freedom of expression of users. For that		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(39a) The steps taken by the online content sharing service providers should be without prejudice to the application of exceptions and limitations to copyright, including in particular those which guarantee the freedom of expression of users. Users acting for non-commercial purposes or users whose activities do not generate significant		POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 140 **EN**

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
be processed without undue delay. Right holders should reasonably justify their decisions to avoid arbitrary dismissal of complaints. Moreover, in accordance with Directive 95/46/EC, Directive 2002/58/EC and the General Data Protection Regulation, the cooperation should not lead to any identification of individual users nor the processing of their personal data. Member States should also ensure that users have access to an independent body for the resolution of disputes as well as to a court or another relevant judicial authority to assert the use of an exception or limitation to copyright rules.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
should put in place mechanisms allowing users to complain about the blocking or removal of uploaded content that could benefit from an exception or limitation to copyright. Replies to the users' complaints should be provided in a timely manner. To make these mechanisms function, cooperation from rightholders is needed, in particular with regard to the assessment of the complaints submitted and justifications for the removal of users' content. Member States should remain free to put in place independent authorities for assessing the complaints submitted by users and making decisions on their validity. The redress mechanism should be without prejudice to the right of the parties to take action before a court.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
revenues should not be prevented from uploading and making available content that they have produced and that contains existing works or other protected subject matter for specific purposes such as of illustration or parody when these uses do not create significant harm to rightholders. The online content sharing service providers should also put in place effective and expeditious complaint and redress mechanisms allowing users to complain on the steps taken with regard to their uploads, in particular when they could benefit from an exception or limitation to copyright in relation to an upload that is removed or to which access is disabled. Any complaint filed under such mechanisms should be processed without undue delay and be subject to a decision by a human. When	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
rightholders request the services to take action against the uploads by users, such as disabling access to or removing content uploaded, the rightholders should duly justify their requests. Moreover, in accordance with Directive 2002/58/EC ¹⁴ and Regulation (EU)2016/679 ¹⁵ , the cooperation should not lead to any identification of individual users nor the processing of their personal data. Member States should also ensure that users have access to out-of-court redress mechanisms for the settlement of disputes. Such mechanisms should allow disputes to be settled impartially. Users should also have access to a court or another relevant judicial authority to assert the use of an exception	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

¹⁴ ePrivacy-**Directive:** Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 July 2002 concerning the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the electronic communications sector (Directive on privacy and electronic communications), *OJ L 201, 31.7.2002, p. 37–47.*Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation), *OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1–88.*

¹⁵

LIMITE	ECOMP 3.B.	ANNEX
BM/		5138/19

88	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
the entry into force of this Directive, the Commission and the Member States should organise dialogues between stakeholders to harmonise and to define best practices. They should issue guidance to ensure the functioning of licensing agreements and on cooperation between online content sharing service providers and right holders for the use of their works or other subject matter within the meaning of this Directive. When defining best practices, special account should be taken of fundamental rights, the use of exceptions and limitations. Special focus should also be given to ensuring that the burden on SMEs remains appropriate and that automated blocking of content is avoided.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(39b) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (39c)] In order to foster best practices with regard to the measures to be taken by online content sharing service providers to avoid liability for unauthorised copyright acts, stakeholder dialogues should be encouraged by the Member States and the Commission. In order to give more clarity to the parties some guidance should also be provided by the Commission on the implementation of the measures could be considered to be proportionate for different types of the guidance the purposes of the guidance the Commission should consult relevant stakeholders, including user organisations and take	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(39b) As soon as possible after the entry into force of this Directive, the Commission, in collaboration with Member States, should organise dialogues between stakeholders to arrive to a uniform application of the obligation of cooperation and to define best practices with regard to the appropriate industry standards of professional diligence. For this purpose the Commission should consult relevant stakeholders, including user organisations and technology providers, and take into account the developments on the market. User organisations should also have access to information on actions carried out by online content sharing service providers to manage content online.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION or limitation to copyright rules.

ANNEX	5138/19
×	9

90.	89.		Row
			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(39d) As a principle, rightholders should always receive fair and appropriate remuneration. Authors and performers who have concluded contracts with intermediaries, such as labels and producers, should receive fair and appropriate remuneration from	ensure that an intermediate mechanism exists enabling service providers and rightholders to find an amicable solution to any dispute arising from the terms of their cooperation agreements. To that end, Member States should appoint an impartial body with all the relevant competence and experience necessary to assist the parties in the resolution of their dispute.		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
		into account the developments on the market.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[New, introductory recital to the whole chapter to clarify that the provision applies to "exploitation contracts". The proposed text is based on already green recitals 40 and 40a – if this is kept here, the repetitions can be deleted from recitals 40 and 40a.]			POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 144 E**N**

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
them, either through individual agreements and/or collective bargaining agreements, collective management agreements or rules having a similar effect, for example joint remuneration rules. This remuneration should be mentioned explicitly in the contracts according to each mode of exploitation, including online exploitation. Members States should look into the specificities of each sector and should be allowed to provide that remuneration is deemed fair and appropriate if it is determined in accordance with the collective bargaining or joint remuneration agreement.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(39x) Authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant a licence or transfer their rights, including through their own companies, for the purposes of exploitation in return for remuneration, and these natural persons need certain the protection provided for by this Directive to be able to fully benefit from their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This need does not arise when the contractual counterpart acts as end user and does not exploit the work or performance itself, which could among others be the case in some employment contracts. [Commission's text proposed at the trilogue 13/12/2018, to be further discussed]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LII	

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
authors and performers should be appropriate and proportionate to the actual or potential economic value of the licensed or transferred rights, taking into account the author's or performer's contribution to the overall work or other subject-matter, the actual exploitation of the work and all other circumstances of the case, including such as market practices or the actual exploitation of the work. A lump sum payment can constitute proportionate remuneration. Member States should have the possibility, taking into account the specificities of each sector, to define specific cases for the application of lump sums, such as cases when proportionate	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
determined already at the conclusion of the contract determining the basis of a proportional remuneration is impossible in practice, or when the contribution of the author or performer is not significant having regard to the overall work or performancetaking into account the potential economic value of the rights. Members States should be free to implement the principle of appropriate and proportionate remuneration through different mechanisms, including collective bargaining and statutory mechanisms, provided that such mechanisms are in conformity with Union law.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B.

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
Ifree licences: not mentioned in this recital but instead it was agreed to consider a general/horizontal recital clarifying that free licences/ creative commons are not affected] [wording for such horizontal recital on free licences (suggested to be moved after recital 43a)] (43b) Nothing in this Directive should be interpreted as preventing holders of exclusive rights under Union copyright law from authorising the use of their works or other subject-matter for free, including through free licences, when they consider it appropriate. [To be further discussed at	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

91.		Row
authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders grant a licence or a transfer of rights in return for remuneration. As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often face a lack of transparency. Therefore, the sharing of adequate information by their contractual counterparts or their successors in title is important for the		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders grant a licence or a transfer of rights in return for remuneration. As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often face a lack of transparency. Therefore, the sharing of adequate comprehensive and relevant information by their contractual counterparts or their successors in		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
authors-Authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders matural persons grant a licence or a transfer of rights for the purposes of exploitation in return for remuneration. This need does not arise when the contractual counterpart acts as end user of the work or performance itself, which could among others be the case in some employment contracts. Additionally, this need does not arise when the exploitation has ceased, or when the author or performer has granted licence to the general public without		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
authors-Authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders natural persons grant a licence or a transfer of rights for the purposes of exploitation in return for remuneration. This need does not arise when the contractual counterpart acts as end of the work or performance itself, which could among others be the case in some employment contracts. Additionally, this need does not arise when the author or performer has granted licence to the general public without	political level]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

92.		Row
	transparency and balance in the system that governs the remuneration of authors and performers.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	title is important for the transparency and balance in the system that governs the remuneration of authors and performers. The information that authors and performers are entitled to expect should be proportionate and cover all modes of exploitation, direct and including revenue generated, including revenues from merchandising, and the remuneration on the exploitation should also include information about the identity of any sublicensee or sub-transferee. The transparency obligation should nevertheless apply only where concerned. [See Council's recital (40a) -row 92]	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(40a) As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual	[Last two phrases of recital (40) of the COM proposal were moved to new recital (40a) of Council's text - see following row 92]	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(40a) As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual	remuneration. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often face a lack of transparency. Therefore, the sharing of adequate information by their contractual counterparts or their successors in title is important for the transparency and balance in the system that governs the remuneration of authors and performers. The information should be: timely to allow access to recent data; adequate to include information of the work or performance in a manner that is comprehensible to the author or performer; and sufficient to assess the economic value of the rights in question. As long as exploitation is on the sufficient to assess the economic value of the rights in question.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often face a lack of transparency. Therefore, the sharing of adequate and accurate information by their contractual counterparts or their successors in title is important for the transparency and balance in the system that governs the remuneration of authors and performers. The information should be:-timely-up-to-date to allow access to recent data; adequate to include information of the work or performance in amanner that is comprehensible to the author or performer; and comprehensive to cover all sources of revenues relevant to the case, including, where	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANN	5138/
EX	/19

93.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(40b) In order to ensure that exploitation-related information is duly provided to authors and performers also in cases where the rights have been sublicensed by the first contractor to other parties who		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(40b) In order to ensure that exploitation-related information is duly provided to authors and performers also in cases where the rights have been sublicensed by the first eontractor to other parties who	necessary to keep authors and performers informed on the exploitation of their works and performances should be carried out by those who need to comply with the transparency obligation on the basis of Article 6(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation). [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 153 **EN**

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
entitles authors and performers, in cases where the contractual partner has provided the information available to them, but the received information is not sufficient to assess the economic value of their rights, to request additional relevant information on the exploitation of the rights. This can be ensured either directly or through the contractual counterparts of authors and performers. Member States should have the option, in compliance with Union law, to provide for further measures through national provisions to ensure transparency for authors and performers.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
exploit the rights, this Directive entitles authors and performers, in cases where the first contractual counterpart partner-has provided the information available to them; but the received information is not sufficient to assess the economic value of their rights, to request additional relevant information on the exploitation of the rights. This can be ensured either directly from sub-licensees or through the contractual counterparts of authors and performers and their contractual counterparts of authors and performers should always have the possibility to use the shared information for exercising their rights under in this Directive. Member States should have the option, in compliance with Union law, to	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 154 **EN**

sector and the publishing sector and all relevant stakeholders as that should help determine be involved when determining such sector-specific requirements. Where relevant, the significance of the	requirements. Where relevant, the significance of the	practices to the transparency	braches to the transparent	
		adaptation of current reporting	nractices to the transparency	
F	such sector-specific	transparency. To enable the	transparency. To enable the	
 	involved when	stakeholders regarding	stakeholders regarding	
 	that should help determine be	agreement between the relevant	agreement between the relevant	
 	and all relevant stakeholders as	as an option to reach an	as an option to reach an	
	sector and the p	bargaining should be considered	bargaining should be considered	
	should consult, the audiovisual	specific requirements. Collective	specific requirements. Collective	
aber States eonsidered. Member States	eonsidered. Member States	should help determine sector-	should help determine sector-	
r-should be eachmusic sector-should be	each music sector-should be	relevant stakeholders as that	relevant stakeholders as that	
ormers in authors and performers in	authors and performers in	Member States should consult all	Member States should consult all	
the rights of the such as those of the rights of the	such as those of the rights of the	sector should be considered.	sector should be considered.	
	different content sectors and.	authors and performers in each	authors and performers in each	
account the specificities of	account the specificities of	sectors and of the rights of the	sectors and of the rights of the	
Member States should take into Member States should take into	Member States	specificities of different content	specificities of different content	
igations, transparency obligations,	transparency obligations,	transparency obligations, the	transparency obligations, the	
ementing (41) When implementing	(41) When implementing	(41) When implementing	(41) When implementing	94.
confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]				
authors and performers.				
ensure transparency for				
provide for further measures				
		A8-0245/2018		
9134/18 SOLUTION	913	1	COM(2016)593	
POSSIB		P8 TA-PROV(2018)0337		Row
OUNCII. TEXT		EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	

	Row
transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations as those are already subject to transparency obligations under Directive 2014/26/EU.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations as those are already subject to transparency obligations under Directive 2014/26/EU.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
considered. Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the relevant stakeholders regarding transparency-which should ensure authors and performers the same or higher level of transparency as the minimum requirements provided for in this Directive. To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations and independent management entities or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU as those are already subject to transparency	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
or performance should also be considered. Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the relevant stakeholders regarding transparency: which should ensure authors and performers the same or higher level of transparency as the minimum requirements provided for in this Directive. To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations do not need to apply in respect of agreements concluded between rightholders and collective management organisations, independent management entities or other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU as those organisations or entities are already subject to transparency	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
\sim	9

95.		Row
(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in title.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in title.		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in	2014/26/EU.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in	obligations under Article 18 of Directive 2014/26/EU_Article 18 of Directive 2014/26/EU applies to organisations which manage copyright or related rights on behalf of more than one rightholder for the collective benefit of those rightholders. However, individually negotiated agreements concluded between rightholders and their contractual partners who act in their own interest and should be subject to the transparency obligation provided for in this Directive. [provisionaly agreed at trilogue of 03/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/

other competent authority. bring a claim before a court or content sectors. Where the parties and practices of the different case as well as of the specificities situation should take account of exploitation of the work or the and the benefits derived from the compared to the relevant revenues rights is disproportionately low under a licence or a transfer of remuneration originally agreed mechanism for cases where the remuneration adjustment Member States, there should be a performer should be entitled to the remuneration, the author or do not agree on the adjustment of the specific circumstances of each transparency ensured by this the law applicable to contracts in Directive. The assessment of the including in light of the fixation of the performance, Therefore, without prejudice to COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593 and indirect revenues and the made by the organisation adjustment request could also be performer. Such a contract nature and the contribution to content sectors as well as of the and practices of the different case, as well as of the specificities the specific circumstances of each situation should take account of exploitation of the work or the benefits derived from the compared to the relevant direct rights is disproportionately low under a licence or a transfer of Member States, there should be a representing the author or the work of the author or transparency ensured by this including in light of the fixation of the performance, remuneration originally agreed mechanism for cases where the remuneration adjustment the law applicable to contracts in Directive. The assessment of the Therefore, without prejudice to P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018 EP TEXT each case, including the or a transfer of rights is clearly originally agreed under a licence States, there should be a of the specific circumstances of of the work or the fixation of the compared to the relevant mechanism **should be provided** remuneration adjustment applicable to contracts in Member without prejudice to the law significantly higher than of the rights turns out to be title-when the economic value counterpart of the author or performance, including in light of revenues and the benefits-derived for cases where the remuneration this Directive contractual <u>by</u> the transparency ensured by from the **subsequent** exploitation becomes disproportionately low contribution of the author or he situation should take account performer. The assessment of **pertormer,** as well as of the nitially estimated. Therefore, COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18 applicable to contracts in Member originally agreed under a licence significantly higher than counterpart of the author or this Directive contractual by the transparency ensured by performance, including in light of work or the fixation of the subsequent exploitation of the and the benefits derived from the compared to the relevant revenues becomes disproportionately low or a transfer of rights isclearly for cases where the remuneration remuneration adjustment States, there should be a without prejudice to the law initially estimated. Therefore of the rights turns out to be should be taken into account for performer. The revenues which mechanism should be provided title- when the economic value relevant to the case, including, disproportion are all revenues he assessment of the vhere applicable, merchandising POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX

E

ECOMP 3.B.

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
performer on his or her behalf, unless the request would be detrimental to the interests of the author or performer. Where the parties do not agree on the adjustment of the remuneration, the author or performer or a representative organisation appointed by them should on request by the author or performer be entitled to bring a claim before a court or other competent authority.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
specificities and remuneration practices of the different content sectors, and whether the contract is based on a collective bargaining agreement. Where the parties do not agree on the adjustment of the remuneration, the author or performer should be entitled to bring a claim before a court or other competent authority. This mechanism should not apply to contracts concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/UE.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
revenues. The assessment of the situation should take account of the struation should take account of the specific circumstances of each case, including the contribution of the author or performer, as well as of the specificities and remuneration practices of the different content sectors, and whether the contract is based on a collective bargaining agreement. Representatives of authors and performers duly mandated in accordance with national law, in compliance with Unions law, should have the possibility to provide assistance to one or more authors or performers in requesting the adjustment of the contracts, also taking into account the interests of other authors or performers when relevant. Those representatives should protect the identity of the represented authors and performers for as long as this is possible. Where the parties do not agree on the	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B.



ANNEX	5138/19
\times	9

96.		Row
(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims related to		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims related to		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims by authors and		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims by authors and	adjustment of the remuneration, the author or performer should be entitled to bring a claim before a court or other competent authority. This mechanism should not apply to contracts concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/UE. [provisionally agreed at TM 07/12/2018, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B.

mechanism. the contract adjustment obligations of transparency and COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593 remain undisclosed. initiated the procedure should performers. Details about who request of authors and initiate such procedures at the trade unions, should be able to management organisations and performers, including collective organisations of authors and mechanism. Representative obligations of transparency and the contract adjustment P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018 EP TEXT States should have flexibility in adjustment mechanism. For that related to obligations of <u>should be allocated. This</u> whether these are industry-led conditions established by this <u>existing one that fulfils the</u> either create a new body or transparency and the contract representatives on their behalf <u>or public, including when</u> <u> Directive-irrespective of</u> to assert and defend their rights <u>prejudice to the right of parties</u> <u>procedure should be without</u> <u>nechanism or rely on an</u> purpose, Member States may <u>yy bringing an action before a</u> lispute resolution procedure leciding how the costs of the ncorporated in the national <u>performers or their</u> lternative dispute resolution <u>ıdiciary system. Member</u> COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18 alternative dispute resolution should be allocated. This dispute resolution procedure States should have flexibility in whether these are industry-led conditions established by this existing one that fulfils the either create a new body or adjustment mechanism. For that representatives on their behalf by bringing an action before a or public, including when mechanism or rely on an related to obligations of to assert and defend their rights prejudice to the right of parties deciding how the costs of the judiciary system. Member incorporated in the national purpose, Member States may transparency and the contract performers or their procedure should be without Directive-irrespective of POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

97.		Row
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
performers license or transfer their rights, they expect their work or performance to be exploited. However, it happens that works or performances that have been licensed or transferred are not exploited at all. When these rights have been transferred on an exclusive basis, authors and performers cannot turn to another partner to exploit their work. In such a case, and after a reasonable period of time has lapsed, authors and performers should have a right of revocation allowing them to transfer or license their right to another person. Revocation should also be possible when the transferee or licensee has not complied with		EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
		COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
performers license or transfer their rights, they expect their work or performance to be exploited. However, it happens that works or performances that have been licensed or transferred are not exploited at all. When these rights have been transferred on an exclusive basis, authors and performers cannot turn to another partner to exploit their work. In such a case, and after a reasonable period of time has elapsed, authors and performers should be able to benefit from a mechanism for the revocation of rights allowing them to transfer or license their rights to another person. Revocation should also be possible when the transferee	[provisionally agreed at TM, to be confirmed at trilogue]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
his or her reporting/transparency obligation provided for in Article 14 of this Directive. The revocation should only be considered after all the steps of alternative dispute resolution have been completed, particularly with regard to reporting. As exploitation of works can vary depending on the sectors, specific provisions could be taken at national level in order to take into account the specificities of the sectors, or of the works and the anticipated exploitation periods, notably providing for time limits for the right of revocation. In order to prevent abuses and take into account that a certain amount of time is needed before a work is actually exploited, authors and performers should be able to exercise the right of revocation period of	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
or licensee has not complied with his or her reporting/transparency obligation provided for in Article 14 of this Directive. The revocation should only be considered after all the steps of alternative dispute resolution have been completed, particularly with regard to reporting. As exploitation of works can vary depending on the sectors, specific provisions could be taken at national level in order to take into account the specificities of the sectors, such as the audio-visual sector, or of the works, notably providing for time frames for the right of revocation. In order to protect the legitimate interests of licensees and transferees of rights and to prevent abuses, and taking into account that a certain amount of time is needed before a work is actually exploited, authors and	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE

99.	98.		Row
			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	application across Member application across Member States of the relevant provisions of this Directive, the Commission should, in cooperation with Member States, encourage the exchange of best practices and promote dialogue at Union level.	time following the conclusion of the license or of the transfer agreement. National law should regulate the exercise of the right of revocation in the case of works involving a plurality of authors or performers, taking into account the relative importance of the individual contributions.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
(43a) The obligations laid down in Articles 14 and 15 of this			COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
(43a) The obligations laid down in Articles 14, 15 <i>and 16</i> of this	[To be deleted]	performers should be able to exercise the right of revocation in accordance with certain procedural requirements and only after a certain period of time following the conclusion of the license or of the transfer agreement. National law should regulate the exercise of the right of revocation in the case of works involving a plurality of authors or performers, taking into account the relative importance of the individual contributions.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 164 **EN**

	Row
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
mandatory nature and parties should not be able to derogate from these contractual provisions, whether included in the contracts between authors, performers and their contractual counterparts or in agreements between those counterparts and third parties such as non-disclosure agreements. As a consequence, the rules set out in Article 3(4) of the Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council where all other elements relevant to the situation at the time of the choice are located in one or more Member States, the parties' choice of applicable law other than that of a Member State shall not	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
mandatory nature and parties should not be able to derogate from these contractual provisions, whether included in the contracts between authors, performers and their contractual counterparts or in agreements between those counterparts and third parties such as non-disclosure agreements. As a consequence, the rules set out in Article 3(4) of the Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council should apply to the effect that where all other elements relevant to the situation at the time of the choice are located in one or more Member States, the parties' choice of applicable law other than that of a Member State shall not prejudice the	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 on the law applicable to contractual obligations (Rome I) (OJ L 177, 4.7.2008, p. 6–16).

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 165 **EN**

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			prejudice the application of Articles 14 and 15, as implemented in the Member State of the forum.	application of Articles 14, 15 and 16, as implemented in the Member State of the forum. [tentatively agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue 13/12/2018]
100.	(44) The objectives of this Directive, namely the modernisation of certain aspects of the Union copyright framework to take account of technological developments and new channels of distribution of protected content in the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by Member States but can rather, by reason of their scale, effects and cross-border dimension, be better achieved at Union level. Therefore, the Union may adopt measures in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality as set out in	(44) The objectives of this Directive, namely the modernisation of certain aspects of the Union copyright framework to take account of technological developments and new channels of distribution of protected content in the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by Member States but can rather, by reason of their scale, effects and cross-border dimension, be better achieved at Union level. Therefore, the Union may adopt measures in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality as set out in	(44) The objectives of this Directive, namely the modernisation of certain aspects of the Union copyright framework to take account of technological developments and new channels of distribution of protected content in the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by Member States but can rather, by reason of their scale, effects and cross-border dimension, be better achieved at Union level. Therefore, the Union may adopt measures in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality as set out in	(44) The objectives of this Directive, namely the modernisation of certain aspects of the Union copyright framework to take account of technological developments and new channels of distribution of protected content in the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by Member States but can rather, by reason of their scale, effects and cross-border dimension, be better achieved at Union level. Therefore, the Union may adopt measures in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of proportionality as set out in

103		R
03.	Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council 16 and Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council 17.	Row COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
the importance of anonymity, when handling personal data for commercial purposes. Additionally, the "by default" not sharing option with regards to personal data while using online platform interfaces	Parliament and of the Council ¹⁵ Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁶ The provisions of the General Data Protection Regulation, including the "right to be forgotten" should be respected.	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018
	Parliament and of the Council 17 and Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council 16.	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18
[deleted]	2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁸ .	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

¹⁸ 17 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1–88). 25 May 2018 and shall be replaced by Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (OJ L 281, 23.11.1995, p. 31–50). This Directive is repealed with effect from Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/

^{47),} called, as amended by Directives 2006/24/EC and 2009/136/EC, the "e-Privacy Directive". protection of privacy in the electronic communications sector (Directive on privacy and electronic communications) (OJ L 201, 31.7.2002, p. 37– Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 July 2002 concerning the processing of personal data and the

19

5138/19 ANNEX

OJ C 369, 17.12.2011, p. 14.

107. 106. 105. Art.1, title Art. 1, para 1 Location Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council in the framework of the copyright and related rights internal market, taking into down rules which aim at further harmonising the GENERAL PROVISIONS Union law applicable to TITLE Subject matter and scope This Directive lays COMMISSION Cell in red: The issue needs further discussion in depth at the trilogue meetings COM(2016)593 **PROPOSAL** Article 1 Cell in yellow: The issue needs further discussion at technical level on copyright in the Digital Single Market Cell in green: The text can be deemed as already agreed COM (2016) 593 final - 2016/0280 (COD) applicable to copyright and GENERAL PROVISIONS rules which aim at further PART 2: ARTICLES market, taking into account related rights in the harmonising the Union law 1. This Directive lays down tramework of the internal TITLE I Subject matter and scope EP TEXT Article 1 internal market, taking into in the framework of the copyright and related rights further harmonising the down rules which aim at GENERAL PROVISIONS Union law applicable to TITLE Subject matter and scope COUNCIL TEXT This Directive lays doc. 9134/18 Article 1 applicable to copyright and GREEN GENERAL PROVISIONS rules which aim at further related rights in the framework harmonising the Union law TITLE 1. This Directive lays down POSSIBLE COMPROMISE Subject matter and scope SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX

BM/

LIMITE

170

108.		
Art. 1, para 2		Location
2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 6, this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.	account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 6, this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2000/31/EC, 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.	in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter.	EP TEXT
2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 617, this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2000/31/EC, 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.	account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 617, this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.	of the internal market, taking into account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter. [identical text]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX

GREEN	(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all the profits in its scientific	(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all	(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all	Art. 2, para 1, point (a)	112.
(1) 'research organisation' means a university, including its libraries, a research institute or any other entity the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to carry out educational activities involving also the conduct of scientific research: [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]	means a university, a research institute or any other organisationan entity. the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to conduct scientific research or to conduct scientific research and provide educational services: involving also the conduct of scientific research:	(1) 'research organisation' means a university, including its libraries, a research institute or any other organisation the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to conduct scientific research and provide educational services:	means a university, a research institute or any other organisation the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to conduct scientific research and provide educational services:	Art. 2, para 1, introductory part	111.
GREEN For the purposes of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:	For the purposes of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:	For the purposes of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:	For the purposes of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:	Art. 2, introductory part	110.
Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Art. 2, title	109.
change of cross-reference, confirmed by trilogue 03/12/2018]					

Location

COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593

EP TEXT

COUNCIL TEXT

POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

doc. 9134/18

5138/19 ANNEX

Į.

by an undertaking exercising a significant decisive influence upon such organisation; [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]	influence upon such organisation;	exercising a significant influence upon such organisation;	exercising a decisive influence upon such organisation;		
in such a way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot be		the scientific research cannot be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking	the scientific research cannot be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking	n, closing phrase	
GREEN	in such a way that the access to the results generated by	in such a way that the access	in such a way that the access	Art. 2, para	114.
[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]					
(b) pursuant to a public interest mission recognised by a Member State;	by a Member State;	by a Member State;	by a Member State;	1, Point (0)	
GREEN	(b) pursuant to a public interest mission recognised	(b) pursuant to a public	(b) pursuant to a public	Art. 2, para	113.
[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]					
(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all the profits in its scientific research; or	research; or	the profits in its scientific research; or	the profits in its scientific research; or		
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		COM(2016)593		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION	Location	

117.	116.	115.	
Art. 2, para 4	Art. 2, para 3	Art. 2, para 2	Location
(4) 'press publication' means a fixation of a collection of literary works of a journalistic nature,	(3) 'cultural heritage institution' means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution;	(2) 'text and data mining' means any automated analytical technique aiming to analyse text and data in digital form in order to generate information such as patterns, trends and correlations;	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(4) 'press publication' means a fixation by publishers or news agencies of a collection of literary	(3) 'cultural heritage institution' means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution;	(2) 'text and data mining' means any automated analytical technique which analyses works and other subject matter in digital form in order to generate information, including, but not limited to, patterns, trends and correlations.	EP TEXT
(4) 'press publication' means a fixation of a collection composed mainly of literary works of a	(3) 'cultural heritage institution' means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution;	means any automated analytical technique aiming to analyse text and data in digital form in order to generate information such as patterns, trends and correlations;	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
GREEN (4) 'press publication' means a collection composed mainly of	(3) 'cultural heritage institution' means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution; [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]	(2) 'text and data mining' means any automated analytical technique aiming to analyse text and data in digital form in order to generate information, including, but not limited to, patterns, trends and correlations; [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

GREEN (a) may also include other works or subject matter;	(a) _may also eompriseinclude other works or subject-matter-and			Art. 2, para 4, point (a)	118.
literary works of a journalistic nature which: [provisionally agreed at trilogue on 03/12/2018]	journalistic nature; which: [remaining part of this pargraph was split up in points (a) to (d) - see following rows 118-121]	works of a journalistic nature, which may also comprise other works or subject-matter and constitutes an individual item within a periodical or regularly-updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine, having the purpose of providing information related to news or other topics and published in any media under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider. Periodicals which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, shall not be covered by this definition;	which may also comprise other works or subject- matter and constitutes an individual item within a periodical or regularly- updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine, having the purpose of providing information related to news or other topics and published in any media under the imitiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider.		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMI	

(c) has the purpose of providing the general public(*) with information related to news or other topics; and [provisionally agreed at trilogue on 03/12/2018; (*) to be read in conjunction with the clarifications in recital (33),	c) has having the purpose of providing the general public with information related to news or other topics; and [See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]			Art. 2, para 4, point (c)	120.
b) constitutes an individual item within a periodical or regularly updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine; [provisionally agreed at trilogue on 03/12/2018]	(b) _constitutes an individual item within a periodical or regularly-updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine; 2. [See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]			Art. 2, para 4, point (b)	119.
[provisionally agreed at trilogue on 03/12/2018]	[See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]				
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

123.	122.		121.	
Art. 2, para 4a, point (a)	Art. 2, para 4a, introductory part		Art. 2, para 4, point (d)	Location
				COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(a) an entire work or other subject matter in any	(4a) 'out of commerce work' means:			EP TEXT
			(d) is published in any media under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider: [See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
(deleted)	(deleted)	[provisionally agreed at trilogue on 03/12/2018]	GREEN (d) is published in any media under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider. Periodicals which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, shall not be considered as press publications for the purposes of this Directive.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNE	5138/1
EX	19

125.	124.		
Art. 2, para 4b (EP)/para 5 (Council)	Art. 2, para 4a, point (b)		Location
			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
sharing service provider' means a provider of an information society service one of the main purposes of which is to store and give access to the public to a significant amount of copyright protected works or other protected subject-	(b) a work or other subject matter that has never been in commerce in a Member State, unless, from the circumstances of that case, it is apparent that its author objected to making it available to the public;	version or manifestation that is no longer available to the public in a Member State through customary channels of commerce;	EP TEXT
sharing service provider' sharing service provider of an information society service whose main or one of the main purposes is to store and give the public access to a large amount of works or other subject-matter uploaded by its users which it organises and promotes for profit-			COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
service provider' means a provider of an information society service whose main or one of the main purposes is to store and enable user to uploace and share a large(*) amount or other protected subject-matter which the service organises	(deleted)		POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B.

	Location
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
matter uploaded by its users, which the service optimises and promotes for profit making purposes. Microenterprises and small- sized enterprises within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC and services acting in a non-commercial purpose capacity such as online encyclopaedia, and providers of online services where the content is uploaded with the authorisation of all right holders concerned, such as educational or scientific repositories, shall not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive. Providers of	EP TEXT
Providers of services such as non-for-profit online encyclopaedias, non-for-profit ducational and scientific repositories, non-for-profit educational and scientific repositories, non-for-profit open source software developing platforms, as well as internet access service providers, online marketplaces and providers of cloud services which allow users, including businesses for their internal purposes, to upload content for their own use shall not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive;	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
making purposes. [EP: Microenterprises and small-sized enterprises within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC] providers of services such as not-for profit online encyclopedias, not-for profit educational and scientific repositories, open source software developing and sharing platforms, electronic communication service providers [as defined in the new Telecom Code], online marketplaces and business-to business cloud services and cloud services which allow users to upload content for their own use shall not be considered online content sharing service	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	126. Arr pau (E) (C)		
	Art. 2, para 4c (EP)/para 6 (Council)		Location
			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
In Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 September 2015 laying	(4c) 'information society service' means a service within the meaning of point (b) of Article 1(1) of Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council ^{1a} ;	cloud services for individual use which do not provide direct access to the public, open source software developing platforms, and online market places whose main activity is online retail of physical goods, should not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive;	EP TEXT
	(6) 'information society service' means a service within the meaning of Article 1(1)(b) of Directive (EU) 2015/1535.		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
la Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and	GREEN [6) 'information society service' means a service within the meaning of Article 1(1)(b) of Directive (EU) 2015/1535 ^{Ia} .	providers within the meaning of this Directive. [(*) COM to provide recital on "large amount"; exclusion of Micro- and SMEs to be further discussed]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. 180 EN

ANNEX	5138/19
\sim	9

128.	127.		
	Art. 2, para 4d		Location
TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS-			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS-	(4d) 'automated image referencing service' means any online service which reproduces or makes available to the public for indexing and referencing purposes graphic or art works or photographic works collected by automated means via a third-party online service.	down a procedure for the provision of information in the field of technical regulations and of rules on Information Society services (OJ L 241, 17.9.2015, p. 1).	EP TEXT
TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS-			COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS-		of the Council of 9 September 2015 laying down a procedure for the provision of information in the field of technical regulations and of rules on Information Society services (OJ L 241, 17.9.2015, p. 1).	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

LIMITE

ECOMP 3.B.

1. Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions made by research organisations and cultural heritage institutions in order to carry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access, for the purposes of scientific research.	provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions made by research organisations and cultural heritage institutions in order to carry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access, for the purposes of	1. Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions made by research organisations in order to earry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access and made in order to carry out text and data	provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions made by research organisations in order to carry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access for the purposes of scientific research.	Art. 3, para 1	130.
Article 3 Text and data mining for the purposes of scientific research [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]	Article 3 Text and data mining for the purposes of scientific research	Article 3 Text and data mining	Article 3 Text and data mining	Art. 3, title	129.
BORDER ENVIRONMENT	BORDER ENVIRONMENT	BORDER ENVIRONMENT	BORDER ENVIRONMENT		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

131. Ar			
Art. 3, para Ia			Location
			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Ia. Reproductions and extractions made for text and data mining purposes shall be stored in a secure manner, for example by	Member States shall provide for educational establishments and cultural heritage institutions conducting scientific research within the meaning of point (1)(a) or (1)(b) of Article 2, in such a way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a decisive influence upon such organisations, to also be able to benefit from the exception provided for in this Article.	mining for the purposes of scientific research by such	EP TEXT
1a.Copies of works or other subject-matter made in compliance with paragraph 1 shall be stored with an		scientific research.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
GREEN Ia. Copies of works or other subject-matter made in compliance with paragraph 1	Trilogue 25/10/2018J	[provisionally agreed at	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 183 **EN**

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			trusted bodies appointed for this purpose.	security and not be retained for longer than necessary for achieving the purposes of scientific research.	shall be stored with an appropriate level of security and may be retained for the purposes of scientific research, including for the verification of research results. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
132.	Art. 3, para 2	2. Any contractual provision contrary to the exception provided for in paragraph 1 shall be unenforceable.	2. Any contractual provision contrary to the exception provided for in paragraph 1 shall be unenforceable. [See Council's Article 6(1) (row 155)]	2. [Moved to Article 6(1)]	GREEN [Moved to Article 6(1)] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
133.	Art. 3, para 3	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		objective.	objective.	objective.	not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective.
					[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
134.	Art. 3, para 4	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders and research organisations to define commonly-agreed best practices concerning the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 3.	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders and research organisations to define commonly agreed best practices concerning the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 3-may continue to provide text and data mining exceptions in accordance with point (a) of Article 5(3) of Directive 2001/29/EC.	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders-and, research organisations and cultural heritage institutions to define commonly-agreed best practices concerning the application of the obligation and measures referred to respectively in paragraphs 1a and 3.	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders and, research organisations and cultural heritage institutions to define commonly-agreed best practices concerning the application of the obligation and measures referred to respectively in paragraphs 1a and 3. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26 November]
					[the relation with existing exceptions under InfoSoc Directive under Article 17a

136.	135.	
Art. 3a, para 1	Art. 3a, title	Location
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Article 3 of this Directive, Member States may provide for an exception or a limitation to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions of lawfully accessible works and other subject-matter that form a part of the process of text and data mining, provided that the use of works and	Article 3a Optional exception or limitation for text and data mining	EP TEXT
Article 3 of this Directive Member States may provide for an exception or a limitation to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for temporary reproductions and extractions of lawfully accessible works and other subject-matter that form a part of the process of text and data mining.	Article 3a Optional exception or limitation for text and data mining	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
1. Without prejudice to Article 3-of this Directive, Member States may shall provide for an exception or a limitation to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) and (b) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for temporary reproductions and extractions of lawfully accessible works and other subject-matter that form a part of the process for the purposes of text and data	(see row 306)] Article 3a Optional exception or limitation for text and data mining	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

137.		
Art. 3a, para 2 (EP)		Location
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
2. Reproductions and extractions made pursuant to paragraph 1 shall not be used for purposes other than text and data mining.	other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders, including by machine readable means.	EP TEXT
		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
2. Reproductions and extractions made pursuant to paragraph 1 may be retained as long as necessary for the purposes of text and data mining. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 /	mining. [COM suggestion to turn the optional exception into a mandatory one by replacing "may provide" by "shall provide" to be discussed further at political level. Apart from 'may' or 'shall', text of Article 3a provisionally agreed at trilogue 26/11/2018 as set out in rows 136, 137, 138 and 139]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

 3. Member States may continue to provide text and data mining exceptions in affect the application of 	2. The exception or limitation provided for in paragraph 1 shall apply provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders including by technical means. [See para. 1 of EP text (row 136)] [See para. 2 of EP text (row 136)] [Initiation provided for in limitation provided for in paragraph 1 shall apply provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders in an appropriate manner, such as machine readable means for the content made publicly available online. [Initiation provided for in limitation provided for in paragraph 1 shall apply provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders in an appropriate manner, such as machine readable means for the content made publicly available online. [Initiation provided for in paragraph 1 shall apply provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not therein has not the content made publicly available online.	26/11/2018J	EP TEXT COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18 POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
3. Member States may continue to provide text an data mining exceptions in			COMMISSION EP T PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Art. 3a, para 3	Art. 3a, para 2 (Council)		Location
139.	138.		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			accordance with point (a) of Article 5 (3) of Directive 2001/29/EC.		Article 3 of this Directive. [This phrase replaces the 'Without prejudice'-clarification previously contained in paragraph 1.
					[provisionaly agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
					Agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 to introduce the relation with existing exceptions under InfoSoc Directive under Article 17a (see row 306)]
140.	Art. 4, title	Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities	Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities	Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities	Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities
141.	Art. 4, para 1	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles-5(a).	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE

ECOMP 3.B.	ANNEX
	5138/19

GREEN (a) takes place under the	(a) takes place on under the premises responsibility of an educational	(a) takes place on the premises of an educational establishment, <i>or in any</i>	(a) takes place on the premises of an educational establishment or through a	Art. 4, para 1, point (a)	142.
[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; reference to Directive 2009/24/EC agreed provided that it is clarified in a recital that distribution of software allowed under the exception is limited to digital transmission of software]					
provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a), (b), (d) and (e) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a), and (b)- of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow the digital use of works and other subjectmatter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the noncommercial purpose to be achieved, provided that such use:	(b), (d) and (e) and Article 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) and (b) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow for the digital use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, provided that thesuch use:	2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow for the digital use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, provided that the use:	2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow for the digital use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, provided that the use:		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

2. Notwithstanding Article	may provide that the	adopted pursuant to	adopted pursuant to	-	
GREEN	2. Notwithstanding Article 6(1), Member States	2. Member States may provide that the exception	2. Member States may provide that the exception	Art. 4, para 2. sub-para 1	144.
[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]					
including the author's name, unless this turns out to be impossible.	impossible.	impossible for reasons of practicability.	impossible.		
GREEN (b) is accompanied by the indication of the source	indication of the source, including the author's name, unless this turns out to be	(b) is accompanied by the indication of the source, including the author's name,	(b) is accompanied by the indication of the source, including the author's name,	Art. 4, para 1, point (b)	143.
Trilogue 26/11/2018 together with text on recital 16a, row 33]					
students and teaching staff; and	teaching staff; and	by the educational establishment's pupils or students and teaching staff;			
environment accessible only by the educational establishment's numils or	accessible only by the educational establishment's punits or students and	establishment, or through a secure electronic network	teaching staff;		
its premises or other venues, or through a secure electronic	premises or other venues, or through a secure electronic network	teaching activity takes place under the responsibility of the educational	accessible only by the educational establishment's pupils or students and		
responsibility of an	establishment, on its	other venue in which the	secure electronic network		
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		PROPOSAL COM(2016)593		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION	Location	

145. Art. 4, para		Location
a Member States availing a 2 themselves of the provision of the first subparagraph	paragraph 1 does not apply generally or as regards specific types of works or other subject-matter, to the extent that adequate licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 are easily available in the market.	n COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Member States availing themselves of the provision	paragraph 1 does not apply generally or as regards specific types of works or other subject-matter, such as material which is primarily intended for the educational market or sheet music, to the extent that adequate licences-licencing agreements authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 and specificities of educational establishments are easily available in the market.	EP TEXT
Member States availing themselves of the provision	exception adopted pursuant to paragraph 1 does not apply generally or as regards specific <u>uses or</u> types of works or other subject-matter, to the extent that adequate-licences <u>covering</u> the needs of educational establishments and authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 are easily available in the market.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
GREEN Member States availing	provide that the exception adopted pursuant to paragraph 1 does not apply generally or as regards specific uses or types of works or other subject-matter, such as material which is primarily intended for the educational market or sheet music, to the extent that suitable licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 covering the needs and specificities of educational establishments are easily available in the market. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 / 26/11/2018 together with text on recitals (16) and (17), rows 32 and 35 tbc by trilogue]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

BM/

ECOMP 3.B.

12		
146.		
Art. 4, para 3		Location
3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic networks undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established.	appropriate availability and visibility of the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 for educational establishments.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic networks environments undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established.	appropriate availability and visibility of the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 for educational establishments.	EP TEXT
other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic networks undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established.	appropriate availability and visibility ofthat rightholders make the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 available and visible for educational establishments.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic <i>environments</i> undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 /	take the necessary measures to ensure appropriate availability and visibility of the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 for educational establishments. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018 together with text on recital (17) and (17a), rows 35 and 36]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

matter pursuant to paragraph 1. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 / 26/11/2018 together with text on recital (17a), row 36] [provisionally agreed at trilogue 25/10/2018 to deal with contractual override under Article 6(1)] [Commission to provide a	1.	4a. Without prejudice to paragraph 2, any contractual provision contrary to the exception or limitation adopted pursuant to paragraph I shall be	ригман ю рагавтари т.	Art. 4, para 4a	148.
4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by the to rightholders due to the use of their works or other subject-	4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by theto rightholders due to the use of their works or other subjectmatter pursuant to paragraph	4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by the rightholders due to the use of their works or other subject-matter	4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by the rightholders due to the use of their works or other subject-matter	Art. 4, para 4	147.
26/11/2018 together with text on recital 16a, row 33]					
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 194 **EN**

5138/19	150.	149.		
	Art. 5, [para 1 (EP)]	Art. 5, title		
	Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions, to make copies of any works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for the sole purpose of the preservation of such	Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage		
	provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions to make copies of any works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for the sole purposes of preservation of	Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage	[See Council's Article 6(1) (row 155)]	generally or as regards specific types of works or other subject-matter that
BM/	Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions; to make copies of any works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for the sole purpose of the preservation of such	Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage		
195	1. Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions to make copies of any works or other subjectmatter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for <i>purposes</i> of preservation of such works or	Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage		

Location

PROPOSAL COM(2016)593

COMMISSION

EP TEXT

COUNCIL TEXT

POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

doc. 9134/18

ANNEX ECOMP 3.B.

151.		
Art. 5, para 1a		Location
	works or other subject- matter and to the extent necessary for such preservation.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Ia. Member States shall ensure that any material resulting from an act of reproduction of material in the public domain shall not be subject to copyright or related rights, provided that such reproduction is a faithful reproduction for purposes of preservation of the original material.	such works or other subject- matter and to the extent necessary for such preservation.	EP TEXT
	works or other subject- matter and to the extent necessary for such preservation.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
provide that, when the term of protection of a work of visual art has expired, any material resulting from an act of reproduction of that work shall not be subject to copyright or related rights, unless the material resulting from that act of reproduction is original in the sense that it is the author's own intellectual creation. [provisionaly agreed at trilogue of 13/12/2018 together with deletion of Article 6(1), row 154, and in conjuction with new recital in row 45a.]	other subject-matter and to the extent necessary for such preservation. [provisionally agreed at trilogue 25/10/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

[provisionally agreed at		Directive shall not confer on users any entitlement to			
Deleted		provided for in this		(LI)	
GREEN		1. Accessing content		Art. 6, para 1	154.
Common provisions	Common provisions	Common provisions	Common provisions	title	
Article 6	Article 6	Article 6	Article 6	Art. 6,	153.
		[See Council's Article 6(1) (row 155)]			
trilogue 25/10/2018 to deal with contractual override under Article 6(1)]		paragraph 1 shall be unenforceable.			
[provisionally agreed at		provision contrary to the		Ait. 5, para 1b	132.
				A	150
[To be decided whether to place paragraph Ia outside Article 5, as this is not about an exception]					
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		COM(2016)593		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION	Location	

LIMITE

ECOMP 3.B.

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

purposes with a cultural	licence for non-commercial	for the digitisation,	for the digitisation,		
may conclude a non-exclusive licence for non-commercial	eoncludes mandates, may conclude a non-exclusive	exclusive licence for non- commercial purposes with a cultural heritage institution	exclusive licence for non- commercial purposes with a cultural heritage institution		
provide that a collective management organisation, in	organisation, on behalf of in accordance with its	collective management organisation, on behalf of its members, concludes a non-	collective management organisation, on behalf of its members, concludes a non-	introductory part	
GREEN	1. Member States shall provide that when a	1. Member States shall provide that when a	1. Member States shall provide that when a	Art. 7, para 1,	160.
Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions	Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions	Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions	Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions	Art. 7, title	159.
CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works	CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works	CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works	CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works		158.
MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT	TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT	TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT	TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT		157.
TM 07.12: general reference to exceptions to be made in second sentence; to be finalised at technical levely					
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

161.		
Art. 7, para 1, point (a)		Location
(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, broadly representative of rightholders in the category of works or other subject-	distribution, communication to the public or making available of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, such a non-exclusive licence may be extended or presumed to apply to rightholders of the same category as those covered by the licence who are not represented by the collective management organisation, provided that:	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, broadly representative of rightholders in the category of works or other subject-	distribution, communication to the public or making available of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, such a non-exclusive licence may be extended or presumed to apply to rightholders of the same category as those covered by the licence who are not represented by the collective management organisation, provided that:	EP TEXT
(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, broadlysufficiently representative of rightholders in the	purposes with a cultural heritage institution for the digitisation reproduction, distribution, communication to the public or making available to the public of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, such a non-exclusive licence may be extended or presumed to apply to rightholders of the same category as those irrespective of whether all rightholders covered by the licence who are not represented by have management organisation, provided that:	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, sufficiently representative of rightholders	heritage institution for the reproduction, distribution, communication to the public or making available to the public of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, irrespective of whether all rightholders covered by the licence have management organisation, provided that: [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE EN

La company of the com	management organisations to license their works or other subject-matter being deemed to be out of eommeree and in accordance with this Article, either in general or in specific cases, or exclude the application of the any licence granted in accordance with this Article to their works or other subject-matter.	matter being deemed to be out of commerce and exclude the application of the licence to their works or other subject-matter.	matter being deemed to be out of commerce and exclude the application of the licence to their works or other subject-matter.		
GREEN [This point is moved to new	c) all rightholders may at any time object to exclude the possibility for collective	(c) all rightholders may at any time object to their	(c) all rightholders may at any time object to their	Art. 7, para 1, point (c)	163.
(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence.	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	Art. 7, para 1, point (b)	162.
in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence; [provisionaly agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	eategoryrelevant type of works or other subjectmatter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence;	matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence;	matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence;		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	5138/19
LIMITE	BM/

or any other identifiable		memijume rigininomer is			
GREEN (2) the name of the author		(a) the name of the author or any other		Art. 7, para 1a, point (a)	165.
[Council provisionally agreed at trilogue, provided that Council Article 9a is adopted]					
collections for non- commercial purposes, provided that:		purposes, provided that:			
out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their		commerce works that are located permanently in their			
allow cultural heritage institutions to make available		institutions to make copies available online of out-of-			
Afficie 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC, and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to		Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting			
Articles 5(a), (b), (d) and (e) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC,		96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC, and			
provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC,		2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive			
[] . ₹∵		provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive			
la. Member States shall		# Zi.		1a	
GREEN		1a Member States shall		Art 7 nara	164
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		COM(2016)593		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION	Location	

GREEN 1b. Member States shall provide that the exception or limitation referred to in the previous paragraph only		Ib. Member States shall provide that the exception adopted pursuant to paragraph Ia does not apply in sectors or for types of works where appropriate		Art. 7, para 1b	167.
[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018] [Text of EP covered in new paragraph 1b below – row 167;see also comment in row 164]		or other subject-matter.			
GREEN (b) such works or other subject-matter are made available on non-commercial websites.		(b) all rightholders may at any time object to their works or other subjectmatter being deemed to be out of commerce and exclude the application of		Art. 7, para 1a, point (b)	166.
[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018;see also comment in row 164]					
rightholder is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible;		indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible;			
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

2. A work or other subject-	deemed to be out-of-	deemed to be out of	deemed to be out of	190	
GREEN	2. A work or other subject-matter shall be	2. A work or other subject matter shall be	2. A work or other subject-matter shall be	Art. 7, para 2. sub-para 1	168.
[provisionaly agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018;see also comment in row 164]					
applies to types of works or other subject-matter for which no collective management organisation exists that fulfils the conditions referred to in point (a) of paragraph 1. Ic. Member States shall provide that all rightholders may at any time, easily and effectively, exclude their works or other subject-matter from the licensing mechanism referred to in paragraph 1 or from uses under the exception or limitation referred to in paragraph 1a, either in general or in specific cases, including after the conclusion of a licence or the beginning of the use concerned.		licensing-based solutions, including but not limited to solutions provided for in paragraph 1, are available. Member States shall, in consultation with authors, other rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, determine the availability of extended collective licensing-based solutions for specific sectors or types of works.			
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		PROPOSAL COM(2016)593		
DOSCIDI E COMBONICE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION	Location	

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 204 **EN**

169.		
Art. 7, para 2, sub-para 2		Location
Member States shall, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, ensure that the requirements used to determine whether works and other subject-matter can	commerce when the whole work or other subject-matter, in all its translations, versions and manifestations, is not available to the public through customary channels of commerce and cannot be reasonably expected to become so.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Member States shall, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, ensure that the requirements used to determine whether works and other subject-matter can	eommerce when the whole work or other subject matter, in all its translations, versions and manifestations, is not available to the public through customary channels of commerce and cannot be reasonably expected to become so. Member States may provide a cut-off date in relation to determining whether a work previously commercialised is deemed to be out of commerce. [See definition of out-of-commerce work in Parliament's Article 2(4a) (rows 122-126)]	EP TEXT
Member States shall, in eonsultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, ensure that the may provide for specific requirements used to determine whether works	commerce when it can be presumed in good faith that the whole work or other subject-matter, in all its translations, versions and manifestations, is not available to the public through customary channels of commerce and cannot be reasonably expected to become so after a reasonable effort is made to determine such availability.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
Member States may provide for specific requirements, <u>such</u> as a cut-off date, to determine whether works and other subject-matter can be licensed in accordance with paragraph 1 or used under the	matter shall be deemed to be out-of-commerce when it can be presumed in good faith that the whole work or other subject-matter is not available to the public through customary channels of commerce after a reasonable effort is made to determine such availability. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/

		(b) the any licence, and in	(b) the licence, and in	Art. 7, para	172.
		(a) the deeming of works or other subject-matter as out of commerce;	(a) the deeming of works or other subject-matter as out of commerce;	Art. 7, para 3, point (a)	171.
3. [Moved to new Article 8a(2)]	3. [Moved to new Article 8a(2)]	3. Member States shall provide that appropriate publicity measures are taken regarding:	3. Member States shall provide that appropriate publicity measures are taken regarding:	Art. 7, para 3, introductory part	170.
referred to in paragraph 1a. Such requirements shall not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable and shall not preclude the possibility to determine the out-of-commerce status of a set of works or other subject-matter as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter are out-of-commerce. [provisionaly agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018;see also comment in row 164]	and other subject-matter can be licensed in accordance with paragraph 1-de_Such requirements shall not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable, and deshall not preclude the possibility to determine the out-of-commerce status of a collection as set of works or other subject-matter in the eollection are out-of-commerce.	with paragraph 1 or used in accordance with paragraph 1 accordance with paragraph 1a do not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable and do not preclude the possibility to determine the out-of-commerce status of a collection as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter in the collection are out of commerce.	be licensed in accordance with paragraph 1 do not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable and do not preclude the possibility to determine the out-of-commerce status of a collection as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter in the collection are out of commerce.		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where the cultural heritage institution is established.	4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where: the cultural heritage institution is established.	4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where:	4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where:	Art. 7, para 4, introductory part / Art. 7, para 4 (Council)	175.
		including during a reasonable period of time at least six months before the works or other subjectmatter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available.	including during a reasonable period of time before the works or other subject-matter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available.	Art. 7, para 3, closing phrase	174.
		(c) the possibility of rightholders to object, referred to in point (c) of paragraph 1 and point (b) of paragraph 1a;	(c) the possibility of rightholders to object, referred to in point (c) of paragraph 1;	Art. 7, para 3, point (c)	173.
		particular its application to unrepresented rightholders;	particular its application to unrepresented rightholders;	3, point (b)	
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

(a) works or other subject-matter first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in a third country, except for cinematographic or audiovisual works; [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	(a) the works or other subject-matter phonograms were first published or, in the absence of publication, where they were first broadcast in a third country, except for cinematographic andor audiovisual works;	(a) the works or phonograms were first published or, in the absence of publication, where they were first broadcast, except for cinematographic and audiovisual works;	(a) the works or phonograms were first published or, in the absence of publication, where they were first broadcast, except for cinematographic and audiovisual works;	Art. 7, para 4, point (a) (EP)/ Art. 7, para 5, point (a) (Council)	177.
5. This Article shall not apply to sets of out-of-commerce works if, on the basis of the reasonable effort referred to in paragraph 2, there is evidence that such sets predominantly consist of: [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	5. This Article shall not apply to sets of out-of-commerce if, following the reasonable effort to determine commercial availability, there is evidence that such sets predominantly consist of:			Art. 7, para 5, introductory part (Council)	176.
[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]					
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

5.—Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not apply tounless the works or other subject-
could not be determined, after a reasonable effortseffort , according to points (a) and (b)-):
works or other subject- matter of third country nationals when a Member State or a third country
c) the cultural heritage institution is established,
einematographic and audiovisual works in a third country; or
workswhich have their headquarters or habitual
(b) <u>cinematographic or</u> audiovisual works, the
doc. 9134/18
TVI

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					solely in the Member State where the cultural heritage institution undertaking that use is established.
					[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; see also comment in row 164]
183.	Art. 8, para 2	2. Member States shall ensure that information that allows the identification of the works or other subject-	2. Member States shall ensure that information that allows the identification of the works or other subject-	2. [Moved to new Article 8a(1)]	GREEN 2. [Moved to new Article 8a(1)]
		matter covered by a licence granted in accordance with Article 7 and information about the possibility of rightholders to object referred to in Article 7(1)(c) are made publicly accessible in a single online portal for at least six months before the works or other subjectmatter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available in Member States other than the one where the licence is granted, and for the whole	matter covered by a keence granted in accordance with Article 7 and information about the possibility of rightholders to object referred to in point (c) of Article 7(1a) are made publicly permanently, easily and effectively accessible in a public single online portal for at least six months before the works or other subjectmatter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available		[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]

GREEN 1. Member States shall	<u>1</u> . Member States shall ensure that information that allowsfor the purposes of			Art. 8a, para 1, sub-para 1	186.
GREEN Article 8a Publicity measures [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	<u>Article 8a</u> <u>Publicity measures</u>			Art. 8a, title	185.
GREEN 3. [Moved to new Article 8a(1) second subparagraph] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	3. [Moved to new Article 8a(I) second subparagraph]	3. The portal referred to in paragraph 2 shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012.	3. The portal referred to in paragraph 2 shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012.	Art. 8, para 3	184.
		in Member States other than the one where the licence is granted, or in the cases covered by Article 7(1a), where the cultural heritage institution is established and for the whole duration of the licence.	duration of the licence.		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

communicated to the public or	licence.				
matter are distributed,	accordance with the				
the works or other subject-	duration ofto the public in				
from at least six months before	granted, and for the whole				
public single online portal	the one where the licence is				
and effectively accessible in a	in Member States other than				
is made permanently, easily	the public or made available				
territories and the allowed uses	distributed, communicated to				
the licence, the covered	matter are digitised,				
information on the parties to	works or other subject-				
and where relevant,	at least six months before the				
and, as soon as it is available	single online portal for from				
referred to in Article 7(1c),	publicly accessible in a				
possibilities of rightholders	the allowed uses is made				
well as information about the	the covered territories and				
referred to in Article 7(1a) as	the parties to the licence,				
exception or limitation	available, information on				
7(1) or used under the	are), and, as soon as it is				
in accordance with Article	referred to in Article $7(1)(c)$				
covered by a licence granted	rightholders to object				
or other subject-matter	possibility possibilities of				
of the out-of-commerce works	about the				
purposes of the identification	andas well as information				
public authorities for the	accordance with Article 7				
organisations or relevant	licence granted in				
collective management	subject-matter covered by a				
cultural heritage institutions,	of-commerce works or other				
ensure that information from	the identification of the out-				
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		COM(2016)593		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Location	

GREEN	2. Member States shall provide that, if necessary		Art. 8a, para	188.
GREEN The portal shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012. [Article 8(3) of the COM proposal, amended] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	3.—The portal referred to in paragraph 2 shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012. [Article 8(3) of the COM proposal, amended]		Art. 8a, para 1, sub-para 2	187.
made available to the public in accordance with the licence or under the exception or limitation. [Article 8(2) of the COM proposal, amended] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018, including clarification in recital (27) that the licence may cover one, several or all Member States; see also comment in row 164]	[Article 8(2) of the COM proposal, amended]			

Location

PROPOSAL COM(2016)593

COMMISSION

EP TEXT

COUNCIL TEXT

POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

doc. 9134/18

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B.

BM/

214 **EZ**

	Location 2
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT
appropriate publicity measures are taken regarding: (a) the deeming of works or other subject- matter as out of commerce; (b) the licence, and in particular its application to unrepresented rightholders; the possibility for collective management organisations to license works or other subject-matter in accordance with Article 7, the licences granted and (e) the possibilities to object of rightholders referred to in point (e) of paragraph 1 Article 7(1)(c)-; Including during a reasonable period of time before the works or other subject-matter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available. The additional appropriate	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18 for the general awareness
provide that, if necessary for the general awareness of rightholders, further appropriate publicity measures are taken regarding the possibility for collective management organisations to license works or other subject-matter in accordance with Article 7, the licences granted, the uses under the exception or limitation referred to in Article 7(1a) and the possibilities of rightholders referred to in Article 7(1c). The additional appropriate publicity measures shall be taken in the Member State where the licence is sought in accordance to Article 7(1) or, for uses under the exception or limitation referred to in Article 7(1a), where the exception is established. If there is evidence, such as the origin of	OSS

ECOMP 3.B.

190.	189.		
Art 9	Art. 9, title		Location
Member States shall ensure a regular dialogue between representative users' and	Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Member States shall ensure a regular dialogue between representative users' and	Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue		EP TEXT
Member States shall ensure consult rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural	Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue	publicity measures shall be taken in the Member State where the licence is sought. If there is evidence, such as the origin of the works or other subject-matter, to suggest that the awareness of rightholders could be more efficiently raised in other Member States or third countries, such publicity measures shall also cover those Member States and third countries. [Article 7(3) of the COM proposal, amended]	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
GREEN Member States shall_consult	Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue	the works or other subjectmatter, to suggest that the awareness of rightholders could be more efficiently raised in other Member States or third countries, such publicity measures shall also cover those Member States and third countries. [Article 7(3) of the COM proposal, amended] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; see also comment in row 164]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

LIMITE BM/

CHAPTER 1a	CHAPTER 1a				191.
[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	motably as regards publicity measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2).				
referred to in Article 7(1) and to ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter.	mechanisms referred to in Article 7(1), and to ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter,	applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2).	requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2).		
stakeholder organisations, on a sector-specific basis, to foster the relevance and usability of	on a sector-specific basis, to foster the relevance and usability of the licensing	referred to in this Chapter, notably as regards publicity measures, and, where	measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the		
representative users' and rightholders' organisations, including collective management organisations, and any other relevant	rightholders' organisations, including collective management organisations, and any other relevant stakeholder organisations to	Article 7(1) and the exception referred to in Article 7(1a), ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders	Article 7(1), ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter, notably as regards publicity		
rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions in each sector before establishing specific requirements pursuant to Article 7(2), and encourage a regular dialogue between	heritage institutions in each sector before establishing specific requirements pursuant to Article 7(2), and encourage a regular dialogue between representative users' and	rightholders' organisations, and any other relevant stakeholder organisations, to, on a sector-specific basis, foster the relevance and usability of the licensing mechanisms referred to in	rightholders' organisations, and any other relevant stakeholder organisations, to, on a sector-specific basis, foster the relevance and usability of the licensing mechanisms referred to in		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

217 **EN**

193.		192.		
Art. 9a, para 1		Art. 9a, title		Location
				COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
				EP TEXT
provide, as far as the use within their national territory is concerned and subject to safeguards provided for in this Article, that when a collective management organisation, in accordance with its mandates from rightholders, enters into a licensing agreement for the		Article 9a Collective licensing with an extended effect	Measures to facilitate collective licensing	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
provide, as far as the use within their national territory is concerned and subject to safeguards provided for in this Article, that when a collective management organisation, to which is subject to the national rules implementing Article 2 of Directive 2014/26/EU, applies and which, in accordance with its	[Article 9a provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018; final wording as set out in rows 193 - 202 (i.e. with technical clarifications from COM and related recitals (28a)-(28h), as set out in rows 56 - 63, to be confirmed by trilogue]	Article 9a Collective licensing with an extended effect	Measures to facilitate collective licensing	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B.

5138/19 ANNEX

2. Member States shall ensure that the licensing mechanism referred to in paragraph 1 is only applied	2. Member States shall ensure that the licensing mechanism referred to in paragraph 1 is only applied			Art. 9a, para 2	194.
arrangement; or, with respect to such an agreement, the organisation has a legal mandate or is presumed to represent rightholders who have not authorised the organisation accordingly. [see comment in rows 192 and 164]	agreement, the organisation has a legal mandate or is presumed to represent rightholders who have not authorised the organisation accordingly.				
mandates from rightholders, enters into a licensing agreement for the exploitation of works or other subjectmatter such an agreement may be extended to apply to the rights of rightholders who have not authorised the that collective management organisation to represent them by way of assignment, licence or any other contractual	exploitation of works or other subject-matter such an agreement may be extended to apply to the rights of rightholders who have not authorised the organisation to represent them by way of assignment, licence or any other contractual arrangement; or, with respect to such an				
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ECOMP 3.B.

196.	195.		
Art. 9a, para 3, point (a)	Art. 9a, para 3		Location
			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
			EP TEXT
(a) the organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, sufficiently representative	3. The safeguards referred to in paragraph 1 must ensure that:	within well-defined areas of use where obtaining authorisations from rightholders on an individual basis is typically onerous and impractical to a degree that makes the required licensing transaction unlikely due to the nature of the use or of the types of works or other subject-matter concerned and that such mechanism safeguards the legitimate interests of rightholders.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
(a) the collective right management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, sufficiently	3. The safeguards referred to in paragraph 1 must ensureshall provide that: [see comment in rows 192 and 164]	within well-defined areas of use where obtaining authorisations from rightholders on an individual basis is typically onerous and impractical to a degree that makes the required licensing transaction unlikely due to the nature of the use or of the types of works or other subject-matter concerned and that such licensing mechanism safeguards the legitimate interests of rightholders. [see comment in rows 192 and 164]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 220 **E**N

198. Art. 9a, para 3, point (c)	197. Art. 9a, para 3, point (b)		Location PROI
			PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(c) rightholders who have not authorised the organisation operating the licence may at any time easily and effectively exclude their works or other subject-matter from the licensing mechanism established in accordance with this Article;	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence for the relevant Member State;	doc. 9134/18
(c) rightholders who have not authorised the organisation operating the licence may at any time easily and effectively exclude their works or other subject-matter from the licensing mechanism established in accordance with this Article; [see comment in rows 192 and]	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders including in relation to the terms of the licence; [see comment in rows 192 and 164]	representative of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence for the relevant Member State; [see comment in rows 192 and 164]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

4. The rules provided for in this Article are without	4. The rules provided for in this Article are			Art. 9a, para	200.
measures are taken to raise the awareness of inform rightholders regarding the possibility for the collective management organisations to license works or other subject-matter and the licensing taking place in accordance with this Article, and the possibilities of rightholders referred to in point (c) starting from a reasonable period before the works or other subject-matter are used under the licence. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually. [see comment in rows 192 and 164]	measures are taken to raise the awareness of rightholders regarding the possibility for organisations to license works or other subject- matter and the licensing taking place in accordance with this Article, and the possibilities of rightholders referred to in point (c) starting from a reasonable period before the works or other subject-matter are used under the licence. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually.			Art. 9a, para 3, point (d)	199.
164]					
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

LIMITE

5138/19
9

201. A	4	
Art. 9a, para 5		Location
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT
5. Where the law of a Member State provides for a licensing mechanism in accordance with this Article, the Member State concerned shall inform the Commission about the scope of that law, purposes	without prejudice to the application of collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect in conformity with other provisions of Union law, including those which allow exceptions or limitations, and shall not apply to mandatory collective management of rights.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
5. Where the law of a Member State provides for a licensing mechanism in accordance with this Article, the Member State concerned shall inform the Commission about the scope of that law, purposes and types of licences	the application of collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect in conformity with other existing-provisions of Union law, including those provisions or limitations. This article shall not apply to mandatory collective management of rights. Article 7 of Directive 2014/26/EU shall apply to the licensing mechanism provided for in this Article. [see comment in row 192 and 164]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE

ANNEX	5138/19
×	9

202.		
Art. 9a, para 6		Location
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT
information received pursuant to paragraph 5 and on the discussions in the contact committee referred to in Article 12(3) of Directive 2001/29/EC, the Commission shall, by 31 December 2020, submit to the European Parliament and to the	and types of licences that may be introduced under that law as well as contact details for organisations issuing licences in accordance with the mechanism in paragraph 1. The Commission shall publish this information.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
6. Based on the information received pursuant to paragraph 5 and on the discussions in the contact committee referred to in Article 12(3) of Directive 2001/29/EC, the Commission shall, by 10 April 2021, submit to the European Parliament and to the Council a report on the use of such mechanisms referred to in	that may be introduced under that law as well as contact details for organisations issuing licences in accordance with the mechanism in paragraph 1, and the way in which information on the licensing and the possibilities of rightholders referred to in point (c) of paragraph 3 can be obtained. The Commission shall publish this information. [see comment in row 192 and 164]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			Council a report on the use of such mechanisms referred to in paragraph 1 in the EU and their impact on licensing and rightholders. The Commission's report shall be accompanied, if appropriate, by a legislative proposal, including as regards publicity measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2)-the crossborder effect of such national schemes.	paragraph 1 in the EUand their impact on licensing and rightholders, including right holders who are not members and/or who are nationals of, or resident in, another Member State, their effectiveness to facilitate the dissemination of cultural content, and the impact on the internal market, including the cross-border provision of services and competition. The Commission's report shall be accompanied, if appropriate, by a legislative proposal, including as regards the cross- border effect of such national schemes. [see comment in row 192 and 164]
203.	CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms	CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms	CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms	CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 225 **EN**

205.	204.		
Art. 10, subpara 1	Art. 10, title		Location
Member States shall ensure that where parties wishing to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms face difficulties relating to the licensing of rights, they may rely on the assistance of an impartial body with relevant experience. That body shall provide assistance with negotiation and help reach agreements.	Article 10 Negotiation mechanism		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Member States shall ensure that where parties wishing to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms face difficulties relating to the licensing of audiovisual rights, they may rely on the assistance of an impartial body with relevant experience. That body The impartial body created or designated by the Member State for the purpose of this Article shall provide assistance to the parties with negotiation and help them to reach agreement.	Article 10 Negotiation mechanism		EP TEXT
Member States shall ensure that where parties wishingfacing difficulties related to the licensing of rights when seeking to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms face difficulties relating to the licensing of rights, theyservices, may rely on the assistance of an impartial body with relevant experience. Thator of mediators shall provide assistance to the parties with negotiations and help them reach agreements, including, where appropriate, by submitting proposals to the parties.	Article 10 Negotiation mechanism		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
Member States shall ensure that parties facing difficulties related to the licensing of rights when seeking to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand services, may rely on the assistance of an impartial body or of mediators. The impartial body created or designated by the Member State for the purpose of this Article or mediators shall provide assistance to the parties with their negotiations and help them reach agreements, including, where appropriate, by submitting proposals to the parties.	Article 10 Negotiation mechanism	on-demand platforms	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	ВМ

GREEN [provisionally agreed at		To encourage the availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand		Art. 10, subpara 3	207.
GREEN Member States shall notify to the Commission the body or mediators referred to in paragraph 1 no later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)]. In cases where Member States have chosen to rely on mediation, the notification to the Commission shall at least include, when available, the source where relevant information on the entrusted mediators can be found. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	Mo later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)] Member States shall notify to the Commission the body or mediators referred to in paragraph 1 no later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)]. In cases where Member States have chosen to rely on mediation, the notification to the Commission shall at least include, when available, the source where relevant information on the entrusted mediators can be found.	No later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)] Member States shall notify to inform the Commission of the body referred to in paragraph 1. they create or designate pursuant to the first paragraph.	No later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)] Member States shall notify to the Commission the body referred to in paragraph 1.	Art. 10, subpara 2	206.
[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]					
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

\mathbf{Z}	5138/19
--------------	---------

GREEN		1. Any electronic		Art. 10a, para	210.
[see comments in row 208]					
Deleted		Union Legai Deposit			
GREEN		Article 10 a		Art. 10a, title	209.
[it is the Presidency's understanding of the outcome of the trilogues on 26/11/2018 / 03/12/2018 that it was provisionally agreed that this provision would be removed from the Directive]					
GREEN Deleted		CHAPTER 2a Access to Union publications			208.
Trilogue on 26/11/2018 to insert part of the EP mandate of this row into a recital (not in Article) along the following lines: Member States should encourage dialogue between representative organisations, without it being an obligation for Member States]		platforms, Member States shall foster dialogue between representative organisations of authors, producers, video-on-demand platforms and other relevant stakeholders.			
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ECOMP 3.B.

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	BM/

GREEN		4. From the day of the delivery to the European		Art. 10a, para	213.
[see comments in row 208]		importers of publications for the works they publish, print or import in the Union.			
GREEN Deleted		3. The obligation set out in paragraph I shall apply to publishers, printers and		Art. 10a, para	212.
[see comments in row 208]		charge, of one copy of every publication referred to in paragraph 1.			
GREEN Deleted		2. The European Parliament Library shall be entitled to delivery, free of		Art. 10a, para 2	211.
Deleted [see comments in row 208]		publication dealing with Union-related matters such as Union law, Union history and integration, Union policy and Union democracy, institutional and parliamentary affairs, and politics, that is made available to the public in the Union shall be subject to a Union Legal Deposit.		1	
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

215.	214.		
	Art. 10a, para 5	4	Location
TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR	5. The Commission shall adopt acts to specify the modalities relating to the delivery to the European Parliament Library of publications referred to in paragraph 1.	Parliament Library, the publications referred to in paragraph 1 shall become part of the European Parliament Library permanent collection. They shall be made available to users at the European Parliament Library's premises exclusively for the purpose of research or study by accredited research ef the European Parliament Library.	EP TEXT
TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR			COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR	GREEN Deleted [see comments in row 208]	Deleted [see comments in row 208]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 230 **EN**

	Location	PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EPTEXT	doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		COPYRIGHT	COPYRIGHT	COPYRIGHT	COPYRIGHT
216.		CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications	CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications	CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications	CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications
217.	Art. 11, title	Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning digital uses	Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning digital uses	Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning digitalonline uses	Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning online uses [to be confirmed at trilogue]
218.	Art. 11, para	1. Member States shall provide publishers of press publications with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC for the digital use of their press publications.	1. Member States shall provide publishers of press publications with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC so that they may obtain fair and proportionate remuneration for the digital use of their press publications by information society service providers.	provide publishers of press publications established in a Member State with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC for the digitalonline use of their press publications by information society service providers .	1. Member States shall provide publishers of press publications established in a Member State with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC for the online use of their press publications by information society service providers. These rights shall not apply to private or noncommercial uses of press publications carried out by individual users.

The protection granted under the first subparagraph shall not apply to acts of hyperlinking. [provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018: [provisionally agreed at			Art. 11, para 1, sub-para 2	219.
EP TEXT COUNCIL TEXT POSSIBLE COMPROMISE doc. 9134/18	EP T	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	BM/

	Т	
221.	220.	
Art. 11, para 2	Art. 11, para 1a	Location
2. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any rights provided for in Union law to authors and other rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. Such rights may not be invoked against those authors and other rightholders and, in particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
in paragraph 1 shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any rights provided for in Union law to authors and other rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. Such rights may not be invoked against those authors and other rightholders and, in particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated.	Ia. The rights referred to in paragraph I shall not prevent legitimate private and non-commercial use of press publications by individual users.	EP TEXT
2. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any rights provided for in Union law to authors and other rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. Such The rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked against those authors and other rightholders and, in particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated.	excerpts, or both criteria.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
2. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any rights provided for in Union law to authors and other rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked against those authors and other rightholders and, in particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated.	[integrated into paragraph 1, row 218; to be confirmed at trilogue]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNE	5138/19
EX	/19

GREEN [integrated into paragraph 1, row 218, as provisionally		2a. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall not extend to mere hyperlinks which are accompanied by		Art. 11, para 2a	223.
When a work or other subject- matter is incorporated in a press publication on the basis of a non-exclusive licence, the rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked to prohibit the use by other authorised users. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked to prohibit the use of works or other subject matter whose protection has expired. [provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]	When a work or other subject-matter is incorporated in a press publication on the basis of a non-exclusive licence, the rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked to prohibit the use by other authorised users. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked to prohibit the use of works or other subject- matter whose protection has expired.			Art. 11, para 2, sub-para 2	222.
[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]					
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	BM/

4. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall expire 20 years [1 year] [2 years] [5 years] after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date o publication. [term of protection to be further discussed at trilogue]	4. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall expire 20 years 1 year after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date o publication.	4. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall expire 20 5 years after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date of publication. The right referred to in paragraph 1 shall not apply with retroactive effect.	4. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall expire 20 years after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date of publication.	Art. 11, para 4	225.
3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directives 2012/28/EU and (EU) 2017/1564 shall apply mutatis mutandis in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1.	3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directive 2012/28/EU shall apply <i>mutatis mutandis</i> in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1.	3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directive 2012/28/EU shall apply mutatis mutandis in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1.	3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directive 2012/28/EU shall apply <i>mutatis mutandis</i> in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1.	Art. 11, para 3	224.
agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]		individual words.			
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

226.		
Art. 11, para 4a		Location
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
4a. Member States shall ensure that authors receive an appropriate share of the additional revenues press publishers receive for the use of a press publication by information society service providers		EP TEXT
		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
4a. Member States shall provide that authors of the works incorporated in a press publication receive an appropriate share of the additional revenues press publishers receive for the use of their press publications by information society service providers. [Remuneration of journalists (authors of the works contained in a press publication) to be discussed further at trilogue, possibly in	Paragraph 1 shall not apply to press publications first published before [entry into force of the Directive]. [last sentence provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

N.		K.)		
229.	228.	227.		
Art. 12, sub- para 1 (EP)/ Art. 12, introductory part (Council)]	Art, 12, title	Art. 11, para 5		Location
Member States may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to claim a share of the compensation for the uses of the work made under an exception or limitation to the transferred or licensed right.	Article 12 Claims to fair compensation			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Member States with compensation-sharing systems between authors and publishers for exceptions and limitations may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to claim a share of the compensation for the	Article 12 Claims to fair compensation			EP TEXT
Member States may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to elaimbe entitled to a share of: [remaining part of this paragraph of the COM proposal was moved to new point (a) (see row 230)]	Article 12 Claims to fair compensation	5. Paragraph 1 shall not apply to press publications first published before [entry into force of the Directive].		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
Member States may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to be entitled to a share of the compensation for the uses of the work made under an exception or limitation to the transferred or	Article 12 Claims to fair compensation	GREEN [integrated into pargarph 4; see last sentence in row 225 as provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]	context of the remuneration chapter] [see also recital (35) in row 73]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B.

BM/

ANNEX	5138/19
NEX	8/19

GREEN		The first paragraph shall be without prejudice to the		Art. 12, subpara 2	232.
[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]					
Deleted	in Article 6(1) of Directive 2006/115/EC.			3	
GREEN	(b) the remuneration for public lending provided for			Art. 12, point (h)	231.
[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]	or licensed right; and [See Parliament's sub- paragraph 1 of Article 12 (row 229)]				
[integreated into row 229]	under an exception or limitation to the transferred			3	
GREEN	(a) the compensation for the uses of the work made			Art. 12, point	230.
licensed right. [provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018] [to be read in conjunction with recital (36), as provisionally agreed at TM 04/12/2018, tbc at trilogue - see row 74]		uses of the work made under an exception or limitation to the transferred or licensed right, provided that an equivalent compensationsharing system was in operation in that Member State before 12 November 2015.			
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ECOMP 3.B.

233.		
		Location
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
CHAPTER 1a Protection of sport event organizers	arrangements in Member States concerning public lending rights, the management of rights not based on exceptions or limitations to copyright, such as extended collective licensing schemes, or concerning remuneration rights on the basis of national law.	EP TEXT
		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
GREEN Deleted [it is the Presidency's understanding of the outcome of the trilogues on 26/11/2018 / 03/12/2018 that it was provisionally agreed that this provision would be removed from the Directive]	The first paragraph shall be without prejudice to existing and future arrangements in Member States concerning public lending rights. [provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018] [to be read in conjunction with recital (36), as provisionally agreed at TM 04/12/2018 to be confirmed at trilogue - see row 74]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

			GREEN		237A
[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text proposal in row 237A]	3. Article 13 Use of protected content by information societyonline content sharing service providers-storing	Article 13 Use of protected content by information society online content sharing service providers storing and giving access to large amounts of works and other subject-matter uploaded by their users	Article 13 Use of protected content by information society service providers storing and giving access to large amounts of works and other subjectmatter uploaded by their users	Art. 13, title	237.
CHAPTER 2 Certain uses of protected content by online services	CHAPTER 2 Certain uses of protected content by online services	CHAPTER 2 Certain uses of protected content by online services	CHAPTER 2 Certain uses of protected content by online services		236.
GREEN Deleted [see comment in row 233]		Member States shall provide sport event organizers with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3 (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC and Article 7 of Directive 2006/115/EC.		Art. 12a	235.
GREEN Deleted [see comment in row 233]		Article 12a Protection of sport event organizers		Art. 12a, title	234.
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

										Location
[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]	2. Member States shall provide that when an authori an online content sharing service provider, this at services falling within Article 3 of Directive 2001 activity does not generate significant revenues.	GREEN	[text provisionally agreed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	GREEN An online content sharing in Article 3(1) and (2) of I communicate or make ava	[text provisionally agreed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	GREEN 1. Member States shall provi the public or an act of mak access to copyright protect	[text provisionally agreed at trilogue 13/12/2018]	Use of the last of	COM(2016)593	COMMISSION
ilogue 03/12/2018]	Member States shall provide that when an authorisation has lan online content sharing service provider, this authorisation services falling within Article 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC what activity does not generate significant revenues.		at trilogue 13/12/2018]	REEN An online content sharing service provider shall therefore obtain an authori in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC, {for instance by concludin communicate or make available to the public works or other subject matter	at trilogue 13/12/2018J	de that an online content sharing available to the public for ted works or other protected sul	! at trilogue 13/12/2018]	Article 1: If protected content by <mark>online consecs to large amounts of works an</mark>		EP TEXT
	2. Member States shall provide that when an authorisation has been obtained, including via a licensing agreement, by an online content sharing service provider, this authorisation shall also cover acts carried out by users of the services falling within Article 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC when they are not acting on a commercial basis or their activity does not generate significant revenues.			REEN An online content sharing service provider shall therefore obtain an authorisation from the rightholders referred to in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC, Ifor instance by concluding a licencing agreement, in order to communicate or make available to the public works or other subject matter.		GREEN 1. Member States shall provide that an online content sharing service provider performs an act of communication to the public or an act of making available to the public for the purposes of this directive when it gives the public access to copyright protected works or other protected subject matter uploaded by its users.		Article 13 Use of protected content by online content sharing service providers [storing and giving access to large amounts of works and other subject matter uploaded by their users]	doc. 9134/18	COUNCIL TEXT
	a licensing agreement, by out by users of the commercial basis or their			he rightholders referred to agreement], in order to		act of communication to hen it gives the public		ers Eded by their users]	SOLUTION	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE

242 **EZ**

5138/19 ANNEX							
							Location
	6. (deleted/merger into paragraph 4)	Users shall be allowed to upload and make avail which includes parts of, existing protected work criticism, review, caricature, parody or pastiche.	5. The cooperation between o availability of works or otl including where such worl	(b) the number and type of wo (c) the availability of suitable (c)	(a) the type, the audience a small-sized enterpris 2003/361/EC (Role of	4a. In determining whether of the principle of proport	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
ECOMP 3.B.	graph 4)	upload and make available cexisting protected works and ure, parody or pastiche.	The cooperation between online content service providers and rightholders shall not result availability of works or other subject matter uploaded by users which do not infringe coincluding where such works or subject matter are covered by an exception or limitation.	<pre>25)]; of works or other subject matter able and effective means technory</pre>	and the size of the service [incle within the meaning of Title I SMEs to be discussed in relations	the service has complied with ionality the following shall, sh	EP TEXT
		Users shall be allowed to upload and make available content that they have generated themselves and which includes parts of, existing protected works and subject matter for purposes illustration, quotation, criticism, review, caricature, parody or pastiche.	5. The cooperation between online content service providers and rightholders shall not result in the prevention of the availability of works or other subject matter uploaded by users which do not infringe copyright and related rights, including where such works or subject matter are covered by an exception or limitation.	see Article 2(5), row 125)]; (b) the number and type of works or other subject matter uploaded by the users of the service; (c) the availability of suitable and effective means technologies and their cost for service providers	(a) the type, the audience and the size of the service [including whether they are provided by a microenterprise or a small-sized enterprise within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC (Role of SMEs to be discussed in relation to the scope – discussion is still open on this point -	In determining whether the service has complied with its obligations under paragraph 4, in the light of the principle of proportionality the following shall, should, among others be taken into account:	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
		ed themselves and lustration, quotation,	t in the prevention of the yright and related rights,	rvice; e providers	d by a microenterprise or ecommendation fill open on this point -	nto account:	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
243							ISE

						Location	Tankin
GREEN	When rightholders request duly justify the reasons for undue delay and decisions Member States shall also e disputes. Such mechanism legal protection afforded biudicial remedies. users had national procedural rules in	8. Member States shall provid complaint and redress mechaloged blocking or disabling acce	[transparency/reporting ob	Member States shall provide request, with adequate information on the use of c	GREEN7. The application of the provisions in Article 15 of Directive 2000/31/EC	PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	
	When rightholders request to remove or disable access to their specific works or ot duly justify the reasons for their requests. Complaints submitted under this mechan undue delay and decisions to remove or disable access to uploaded content shall be Member States shall also ensure that out-of-court redress mechanisms are availab disputes. Such mechanisms shall enable disputes to be settled impartially and shall legal protection afforded by national law, without prejudice to the rights of users judicial remedies, users have access to an independent body for the resolution of dinational procedural rules in place to allow users to assert their rights before a court	Member States shall provide that an online sharing service provider puts in place ar complaint and redress mechanism that is available to users of the service in case of blocking or disabling access to of works or other subject matter uploaded by them.	[transparency/reporting obligations provisionally agreed at	Member States shall provide that online content sharing serv request, with adequate information on the functioning of thei <i>in paragraph 4</i> and, where licensing agreements are concludinformation on the use of content covered by the agreements	GREEN 7. The application of the provisions in this article shall not lead Article 15 of Directive 2000/31/EC.	EP TEXT	
	When rightholders request to remove or disable access to their specific works or other subject matter, they shall duly justify the reasons for their requests. Complaints submitted under this mechanism shall be processed without undue delay and decisions to remove or disable access to uploaded content shall be subject to human review. Member States shall also ensure that out-of-court redress mechanisms are available for the settlement of disputes. Such mechanisms shall enable disputes to be settled impartially and shall not deprive the user of the legal protection afforded by national law, without prejudice to the rights of users to have recourse to efficient judicial remedies, users have access to an independent body for the resolution of disputes and that there are national procedural rules in place to allow users to assert their rights before a court.	8. Member States shall provide that an online sharing service provider puts in place an effective and expeditious complaint and redress mechanism that is available to users of the service in case of disputes over the removal <i>of</i> -or blocking or disabling access to of works or other subject matter uploaded by them.	at trilogue 03/12/2018]	Member States shall provide that online content sharing service providers shall provide rightholders, at their request, with adequate information on the functioning of their practices with regard to <i>the cooperation referred to in paragraph 4</i> and, where licensing agreements are concluded between service providers and rightholders, information on the use of content covered by the agreements.	ead to any general monitoring obligation as defined in	doc. 9134/18	
	bject matter, they shall nall be processed without ct to human review. the settlement of deprive the user of the percourse to efficient and that there are	tive and expeditious tes over the <mark>removal <i>of</i>-or</mark>		ightholders, at their cooperation referred to s and rightholders,	bligation as defined in	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	

244 **EZ**

					Location
[provisionally agreed at tr trilogue of 13/12/2018]	9. As of [date of entry into forganise stakeholder dialo service providers and right providers, rightholders, us stakeholder dialogues, issue to in <i>paragraph 4</i> . When conto account. For the purpoinformation from online conto paragraph 4.	GREEN	YELLOW Online content sharing se other subject matter unde	This Directive shall in no Union law, and shall not le in accordance with Directi	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018; with exact wording provisionally agreed at TM, confirmed at trilogue of 13/12/2018]	9. As of [date of entry into force of this Directive] the Commission in cooperation with the Member States shall organise stakeholder dialogues to discuss best practices for the cooperation between the online content sharing service providers and rightholders. The Commission shall, in consultation with online content sharing service providers, rightholders, users associations and other relevant stakeholders and taking into account the results of the stakeholder dialogues, issue guidance on the application of Article 13 in particular regarding cooperation referred to in <i>paragraph 4</i> . When discussing the best practices, the need to balance the fundamental rights shall be taken information from online content sharing service providers on the functioning of their practices with regard to in <i>paragraph 4</i> .		XLOW Online content sharing service providers shall inform the users about the possibility for them to use works and other subject matter under exceptions or limitations to copyright and related rights provided for in Union law.	This Directive shall in no way affect legitimate uses, such as uses under exceptions and limitations provided for in Union law, and shall not lead to any identification of individual users nor to the processing of their personal data, in accordance with Directive 95/46/EC, Directive 2002/58/EC and the General Data Protection Regulation.	EP TEXT
wording provisionally agreed a	nission in cooperation with the Member States shall or the cooperation between the online content sharing l, in consultation with online content sharing service ant stakeholders and taking into account the results of Article 13 in particular regarding cooperation referre e need to balance the fundamental rights shall be taken e, users associations shall have access to adequate on the functioning of their practices with regard to in		e users about the possibility fo	nas uses under exceptions and limitations provide vidual users nor to the processing of their persona 8/EC and the General Data Protection Regulation.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
t TM, confirmed at	Member States shall online content sharing service account the results of the ling cooperation referred tal rights shall be taken access to adequate ctices with regard to in		r them to use works and vided for in Union law.	imitations provided for in 1g of their personal data, ection Regulation.	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

		teennologies, snall be	Tunctioning and the		
	availability on its service of	content recognition	information on the		
	provider shall prevent the	such as the use of effective	rightholders with adequate		
	ohtained the service	providers. Those measures,	providers shall provide		
	authorisation has been	cooperation with the service	proportionate. The service		
	matter Where no such	rightholders through the	appropriate and		
	works or other subject	matter identified by	technologies, shall be		
	communicate of make	of works or other subject-	effective content recognition		
		availability on their services	measures, such as the use of		
	2001/20/FC in order to	matter or to prevent the	the service providers. Those		
	and giving access to in ge	their works or other subject-	through the cooperation with		
	reletted to III Article 3(1)	rightholders for the use of	identified by rightholders		
	irom the rightholders	agreements concluded with	other subject-matter		
	from the wighthelders	to ensure the functioning of	their services of works or		
	service provider shall	rightholders, take measures	prevent the availability on		
	All offille content shall	cooperation with	other subject-matter or to		
	An online content showing	users. They shall in	for the use of their works or		
	protected subject matter	matter uploaded by their	concluded with rightholders		
	protected subject matter	works or other subject-	functioning of agreements		
	protected works or other	access to large amounts of	measures to ensure the		
	access to copyright	communication to the public	with rightholders, take		
	when it gives the public	provide perjoint an act of	with rightholders tele		
	available to the public	provide perform an act of	users shall in cooperation		
	public or an act of making	providers that store and	matter uploaded by their		
	of communication to the	Information society service	works or other subject-		
237A]	provider periorms an act	online content sharing	access to large amounts of		
latest compromise text in row	content snaring service	Directive 2001/29/EC,	and provide to the public		
discussed at trilogue - see	provide that an online	Article 3(1) and (2) of	service providers that store	1	
[Article 13 to be further	1. Member States shall	1. Without prejudice to	1. Information society	Art. 13, para	238.
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		COM(2016)593		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	PROPOSAL	Location	
				·	

[Article 13 to be further		2. Member States shall		Art. 13, para	239.
	those works and other subject-matter uploaded by matter, including through the application of measures referred to in paragraph 4. This subparagraph shall apply without prejudice to exceptions and limitations provided for in Union law. Member States shall provide that when an authorisation has been obtained, including via a licensing agreement, by an online content sharing service provider, this authorisation shall also cover acts of uploading by the users of the service falling within Article 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC when they are not acting on a commercial basis. [Last two sentences of COM proposal were moved to Council's paragraphs 5 and 6 respectively]	therefore conclude fair and appropriate and proportionate. The service providers shall provide rightholders with adequate information on the functioning and the deployment of the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject matter. licensing agreements with right holders.	deployment of the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject-matter.		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 247 **EN**

240.		
Art. 13, para 2a (EP)	2 (EP)	Location
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
2a. Member States shall provide that where right holders do not wish to	agreements which are concluded by online content sharing service providers with right holders for the acts of communication referred to in paragraph 1 put in place complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case of disputes over the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 1., shall cover the liability for works uploaded by the users of such online content sharing services in line with the terms and conditions set out in the licensing agreement, provided that such users do not act for commercial purposes. [See Council's paragraph 1, subparagraph 3 (row 238)]	EP TEXT
		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text in row	discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text in row 237A]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE 248 **EN**

NI N	Z	38/
8/19 VEX	VEX	3/19

241.		
Art. 13, para 2 / para 2b (EP)		Location
2. Member States shall ensure that the service providers referred to in paragraph 1 put in place complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case of disputes over the application of the measures referred to		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
2b. Members States shall ensure that online content sharing service providers referred to in paragraph 1 put in place effective and expeditious complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case the cooperation	conclude licensing agreements, online content sharing service providers and right holders shall cooperate in good faith in order to ensure that unauthorised protected works or other subject matter are not available on their services. Cooperation between online content service providers and right holders shall not lead to preventing the availability of non-infringing works or other protected subject matter, including those covered by an exception or limitation to copyright.	EP TEXT
[Paragraph 2 of the COM proposal was moved to new paragraph 7 of Council's text]		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text in row 237A]	237A]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

	Location
in paragraph 1.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
referred to in paragraph 2a leads to unjustified removals of their content. Any complaint filed under such mechanisms shall be processed without undue delay and be subject to human review. Right holders shall reasonably justify their decisions to avoid arbitrary dismissal of complaints. Moreover, in accordance with Directive 95/46/EC, Directive 95/46/EC and the General Data Protection Regulation, the cooperation shall not lead to any identification of individual users nor the processing of their personal data. Member States shall also ensure that users have access to an independent body for the resolution of disputes as well as to a court or another relevant judicial authority to assert the use of	EP TEXT
	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

[stakeholder dialogues were moved to row 253, now paragraph 8 in row 237A] [Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text in row	[See new paragraph 8 of Council's text]	[See Council's Article 13(7) (row 252)] 3. As of [date of entry into force of this directive], the Commission and the Member States shall facilitate where appropriate, the cooperation organise dialogues between the	3. Member States shall facilitate, where appropriate, the cooperation between the information society service providers and rightholders through stakeholder	Art. 13, para 3 (EP)	242.
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT an exception or limitation to	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

243. Art. 13, para 3 (Council)		Location COM PRO COM
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	ensure the functioning of licensing agreements and on cooperation between online content sharing service providers and right holders for the use of their works or other subject matter within the meaning of this Directive. When defining best practices, special account shall be taken of fundamental rights, the use of exceptions and limitations as well as ensuring that the burden on SMEs remains appropriate and that automated blocking of content is avoided.	EP TEXT
content sharing service provider performs an act of communication to the public or an act of making available to the public, it shall not be eligible for the exemption of liability provided for in Article 14		COUNCIL TEXT
[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text in row 237A]		POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

BM/

ECOMP 3.B.

[Article 13 to be further	(a) it demonstrates that it has made best efforts to			Art. 13, para	245.
[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text in row 237A]	4. In the absence of the authorisation referred to in the second subparagraph of paragraph 1, Member States shall provide that an online content sharing service provider shall not be liable for acts of communication to the public or making available to the public within the meaning of this Article when:			Art. 13, para 4, introductory part	244.
	of Directive 2000/31/EC for unauthorised acts of communication to the public and making available to the public, without prejudice to the possible application of Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC to those services for purposes other than copyright relevant acts.				
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

246.		
Art. 13, para 4, point (b)	4, point (a)	Location
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
		EP TEXT
rightholders of works or other subject matter, it has acted expeditiously to remove or disable access to these works or other subject matter and it demonstrates that it has made its best efforts to prevent their users future	specific works or other subject matter by implementing effective and proportionate measures, in accordance with paragraph 5, to prevent the availability on its services of the specific works or other subject matter identified by rightholders and for which the rightholders have provided the service with relevant and necessary information of these application of these measures; and	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text in row 237A]	discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text in row 237A]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE

availability through the measures referred to in point (a). 5. The measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4 shall be effective and proportionate, taking into account, among other factors: (a) the nature and size of the services, in particular whether they are provided by a microenterprise or a small-sized enterprise within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC, and their audience; (b) the amount and the type of works or other subject matter uploaded by the users of the services;
availability through the measures referred to in point (a). 5. The measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4 shall be effective and proportionate, taking into account, among other factors: (a) the nature and size of the services, in particular whether they are provided by a microenterprise or a small-sized enterprise or a small-sized enterprise or a small-sized enterprise audience; (b) the amount and their audience; (b) the amount and the type of works or other subject matter uploaded by the users of the services;

	information on the type of measures used and, where				
	measures to allow the assessment of their effectiveness, in particular				
	the deployment and functioning of these				
	adequate information on				
	at their request, with				
	shall provide rightholders,				
	over time. Online content				
	point (a) of paragraph 4				
	the measures referred to in				
	the effective functioning of				
	diligent manner to ensure				
	with each other in a				
$\frac{1}{2374}$	and rightholders cooperate				
latest compromise text in	sharing service providers			C	
discussed at trilogue -	ensure that online content			6	101.
[Article 13 to he further	6. Member States shall			Art 13 nara	751
	referred to in paragraph 8.				
	the industry best practice				
20/11	developments in line with				
2374]	in light of technological				
Intest compromise text in	well as their effectiveness			<i>σ</i> , ροπι (c)	
[Article 13 to be further	costs of the measures as			Art. 13, para	250.
	(c) the availability and			2	
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		COM(2016)593		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION	Location	
) 		

252. Art. 13, para 7	Location
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT
concluded between service providers and rightholders, information on the use of content covered by the agreements. 7. Member States shall ensure that the measures referred to in paragraph 4 are implemented by the online content sharing service provider without prejudice to the possibility for their users to benefit from exceptions or limitations to copyright. For that purpose, the service providers referred to in paragraph 1 shall put in place a complaints and redress mechanisms that are is available to users of the service in case of disputes over the application of the measures reffered to in paragraph 1 to their content. Complaints submitted under this	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text in row 237A]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
\times	9

253.	
Art. 13, para	Location
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
	EP TEXT
mechanism shall be content sharing service processed by the online content sharing service provider in cooperation with relevant rightholders within a reasonable period of time. Rightholders shall duly justify the reasons for their requests to remove or block access to their specific works or other subject matter. Member States shall endeavour to put in place independent bodies to assess complaints related to the application of the measures. [Paragraph 2 of the COM proposal, amended] 8. The Commission and the Member States shall encourage stakeholder dialogues to define best practices for the measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4. Member States shall also endeavour to establish mechanisms to	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue - see latest compromise text in row 237A]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

		Member States shall provide that disputes between successors in title and		Art. 13a, sub- para 1	255.
		Article 13a		Art. 13a, title	254.
	facilitate the assessment of the effectiveness and proportionality of these measures and provide the Commission regularly with information on those mechanisms. The Commission shall, in consultation with online content sharing service providers, rightholders and other relevant stakeholders and taking into account the results of the stakeholder dialogues and the national mechanisms, issue guidance on the application of the measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4. [Paragraph 3 of the COM proposal, reworded]				
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ANNEX	5138/19
\sim	\mathcal{Q}

		Member States shall ensure that information society		Art. 13b	259.
		Use of protected content by information society services providing automated image referencing			
		Article 13b		Art. 13b, title	258.
		The Member States shall inform the Commission of the establishment of this body no later than (date mentioned in Article 21(1)).		Art. 13a, sub- para 3	257.
		Member States shall establish or designate an impartial body with the necessary expertise, with the aim of helping the parties to settle their disputes under this system.		Art. 13a, subpara 2	256.
		information society services regarding the application of Article 13(1) may be subject to an alternative dispute resolution system.			
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

Fair remuneration in [exploitation] contracts of	exploitation contracts of authors and performers	contracts of authors and	contracts of authors and		
CHAPTER 3	CHAPTER 3 Fair remuneration in	CHAPTER 3	CHAPTER 3		261.
	FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT				
(deleted)	TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-				260.
		service providers that automatically reproduce or refer to significant amounts of copyright-protected visual works and make them available to the public for the purpose of indexing and referencing conclude fair and balanced licensing agreements with any requesting rightholders in order to ensure their fair remuneration. Such remuneration may be managed by the collective management organisation of the rightholders concerned.			
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		COM(2016)593		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION	Location	

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 261 EN

1. Member States shall ensure that when authors and performers license or transfer their exclusive rights for the exploitation of their works or other subject matter they are entitled to receive appropriate and proportionate remuneration.		1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive fair and proportionate remuneration for the exploitation of their works and other subject matter, including for their online exploitation. This may be achieved in each sector through a combination of agreements, including collective bargaining agreements, and		Art14, para	263.
Article -14 Principle of [fair] [appropriate and proportionate] remuneration [Article -14 to be further discussed at trilogue]		Article -14 Principle of fair and proportionate remuneration		Art14, title	262.
authors and performers [to be discussed at political level]		performers	performers		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ECOMP 3.B. 262 **EN**

264.	Location Art14, para	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	statutory remuneration mechanisms. 2. Paragraph 1 shall not apply where an author or performer grants a nonexclusive usage right for the benefit of all users free of charge.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	FOSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION [to be further discussed at trilogue] RED 2. In the implementation of this principle into national law, Member States shall be free to use different mechanisms and take into account the principle of contractual freedom and a
			benefit of all users free of charge.		Member States shall be free to use different mechanisms and take into account the principle of contractual freedom and a fair balance of rights and interests. [recitals to clarify that the principle of fair remuneration does not prevent authors and performers from granting a license/transferring their rights for free, nor from concluding licensing agreements for a lump sum remuneration; see elements for recital language in row 90] [to be further discussed at trilogue]

1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis, at least once a year, and taking into account the specificities of each sector and the relative importance of each individual contribution timely, [adequate	ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis, at least once a year, and taking into account the specificities of each sector, timely, adequate and sufficient information on the exploitation of their	1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis, <i>not less than once a year</i> , and taking into account the specificities of each sector <i>and the relative importance of each</i>	ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis and taking into account the specificities of each sector, timely, adequate and sufficient information on the exploitation of their	Art. 14, para 1	268.
Article 14 Transparency obligation	Article 14 Transparency obligation	Article 14 Transparency obligation	Article 14 Transparency obligation	Art. 14, title	267.
[to be further discussed at trilogue]					
RED Deleted		4. Contracts shall specify the remuneration applicable to each mode of exploitation.		Art14, para 4	266.
Deleted [to be further discussed at trilogue]		specificities of each sector in encouraging the proportionate remuneration for rights granted by authors and performers.			
RED		3. Member States shall take account of the		Art14, para	265.
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

ANNEX	5138/19
XEX	<u>~</u>

269.		
Art. 14, para 1a		Location
	works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights, notably as regards modes of exploitation, revenues generated and remuneration due.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Ia. Member States shall ensure that where the licensee or transferee of rights of authors and performers subsequently licenses those rights to another party, such party	individual contribution, timely adequate and sufficient, accurate, relevant and comprehensive information on the exploitation of their works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights, notably as regards modes of exploitation, direct and indirect revenues generated, and remuneration due.	EP TEXT
1a. Member States shall ensure that where the rights referred to in paragraph 1 have subsequently been licensed to another party, authors and performers may, at	works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights or their successors in title, notably as regards modes of exploitation, revenues generated and remuneration due.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
1a. Member States shall ensure that where the rights referred to in paragraph 1 have subsequently been licensed, authors and performers <i>or their representatives shall</i> , at their request, receive <i>from</i>	relevant and comprehensive information on the exploitation of their works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights or their successors in title, notably as regards modes of exploitation, direct and indirect and remuneration due. [(*) explicit reference to 'merchandising' as example of a possible form of covered revenues in the recitals (see recital (40a), row 92, and recital (42), row 95)]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE

ANNE	5138/19	
X	19	

Deleted [integrated into row provisionally agreed Trilogue of 13/12/2018]	information if their first contractual counterpart does not hold all the information is not hold all the information that would be necessary for the purposes of paragraph 1. Where this information is requested, the first contractual counterpart of authors and performers shall provide information on the identity of those sub-licensees. Member States may provide that any such request to those sub-licensees is made directly or indirectly through the contractual counterpart of the author or the performer. [provisionally agreed at trilogue of 13/12/2018]	TEXT POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	their request, receive from those third parties additional information if their first contractual counterpart does not hold all the information provision set out in paragraph 1. Member States may provide that such request to those third parties is made directly by the author or performer or indirectly through the contractual counterpart of the author or the performer.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
The main licensee or transferee shall pass all the information referred to in the first subparagraph on to the author or performer. That information shall be unchanged, except in the	shall share all information referred to in paragraph 1 with the licensee or transferee.	EP TEXT
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Art. 14, para 1a, sub- para 2		Location
270.		

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE 266 **EN**

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE BM/ 267 **EN**

when the contribution of the author or performer is not significant having regard to the overall work or performance, unless the author or performer demonstrates that	when the contribution of the author or performer is not significant having regard to the overall work or performance.		when the contribution of the author or performer is not significant having regard to the overall work or performance.		
3. Member States may decide that the obligation in paragraph 1 does not apply	3. Member States may decide that the obligation in paragraph 1 does not apply	Deleted	3. Member States may decide that the obligation in	Art. 14, para 3	272.
[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	an appropriate level of transparency-information that can reasonably be expected in such cases.				
high level of transparency in every sector. Member States may provide that in duly justified cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation in paragraph 1 would bebecome disproportionate in view of the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, the obligation is limited to the types and level of information that can reasonably be expected in	States may provide that in duly justified cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation in paragraph 1 would be become disproportionate in view of the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, Member States may adjust the obligation in paragraph 1, provided that is limited to the obligation remains	However, in those cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation would be disproportionate in view of the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, Member States may adjust the obligation in paragraph 1, provided that the obligation remains effective and ensures an appropriate <i>a high</i> level of transparency.	However, in those cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation would be disproportionate in view of the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, Member States may adjust the obligation in paragraph 1, provided that the obligation remains effective and ensures an appropriate level of transparency.		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

"darlegen"/"bescheinigen"] GREEN 3a. Members States may provide that for agreements subject to or based on collective bargaining agreements the relevant collective bargaining agreement are applicable provided that they are comparable to the obligations / meet the minimum criteria laid down in the national provisions adopted in conformity with the requirements of	3a. Members States may provide that for agreements subject to or based on collective bargaining agreements the transparency rules of the relevant collective bargaining agreement are applicable provided that they meet the minimum criteria laid down in the national provisions adopted in conformity with the requirements of paragraphs 1 to 3.			Art. 14, para 3a	273.
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION he requires the information for exercising his rights under Article 15(1) and requests the information for that purpose. [provisionally agreed at trilogue 13/12/2018; "demonstrates": EN equivalent for DE	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

GREEN 1. Member States shall ensure, in the absence of an	Member States shall ensure that authors and performers are entitled to	Member States shall ensure, in the absence of collective bargaining agreements	Member States shall ensure that authors and performers are entitled to request	Art. 15, [para 1 (Council)]	276.
Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism	Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism	Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism	Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism	Art. 15, title	275.
[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 03/12/2018/, together with related wording of recital (41), row 94]					
other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.	implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.	to			
concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of	(b) of Directive 2014/26/EU- or by other entities subject	collective bargaining agreements, where those obligations or agreements			
obligation laid down in paragraph I shall not apply in respect of agreements	subject to the transparency obligations established by	obligations established by Directive 2014/26/EU <i>or to</i>	obligations established by Directive 2014/26/EU.		
GREEN 4. When Article 18 of Directive 2014/26/EU is applicable, the	4. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to agreements concluded by entities	4. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to entities	4. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to entities	Art. 14, para 4	274.
[provisionally agreed at rilogue 26/11/2018]					
paragraphs 1 to 3.					
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		COM(2016)593		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION	Location	

LIMITE	ECOMP 3.B.	ANNEX
BM/		5138/19

[Article 15(1a) Council's text deleted/incorporated into paragraph 1]	1a. Members States may provide that for agreements subject to or based on collective bargaining agreements the			Art. 15, para 1a	277.
applicable collective bargaining agreement providing for a comparable mechanism, that authors and performers or their representatives are entitled to claim additional, appropriate and fair remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights or their successors in title, when the remuneration originally agreed turns out to be disproportionately low compared to all the subsequent relevant revenues and derived from the exploitation of the works or performances. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 03/12/2018; as to revenues, see comment on Article 14(1), row 268]	appropriate remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights or their successors in title, when the remuneration originally agreed is turns out to be disproportionately low compared to the subsequent relevant revenues and benefits-derived from the actual exploitation of the works or performances.	providing for a comparable mechanism, that authors and performers or any representative organisation acting on their behalf are entitled to request claim additional, appropriate and fair remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights when the remuneration originally agreed is disproportionately low compared to the subsequent relevant direct or indirect revenues and benefits derived from the exploitation of the works or performances. [See Council's Article 15(1a) (row277)]	additional, appropriate remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights when the remuneration originally agreed is disproportionately low compared to the subsequent relevant revenues and benefits derived from the exploitation of the works or performances.		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

[provisionally agreed at					
Dispute resolution procedure					
Article 16	mechanismprocedure	mechanism	mechanism		
GREEN	Article 16 Dispute resolution	Article 16	Article 16	Art. 16, title	279.
[provisionally agreed at trilogue 13/12/2018]					
rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.	implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.				
already subject to the national	entities subject to the national rules				
Directive 2014/26/EU or by	3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other				
in Article 3(a) and (b) of	entities defined in Article				
applicable to agreements	be applicable to			2 2 para	1,0.
2 Paragraph 1 shall not be				Art 15 mara	278
	adjustment mechanism.				
	<u>national provisions</u> implementing the contract				
	applicable instead of the				
	adjustment of remuneration are				
truogue 03/12/2018J	agreement for the				
[provisionally agreed at	rules of the relevant collective bargaining				
SOLUTION	doc. 9134/18		COM(2016)593		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE	COUNCIL TEXT	EP TEXT	COMMISSION	Location	

ECOMP 3.B. BM/ 272 **EN**

LIMITE	ECOMP 3.B.	ANNEX
BM/		5138/19

281.	280.		
Art. 16, para 2	Art. 16, [para 1 (Council)]		Location
	Member States shall provide that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
[See Parliament's Article 16 last phrase (row 280)]	Member States shall provide that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure. Member States shall ensure that representative organisations of authors and performers may initiate such procedures at the request of one or more authors and performers. [See Council's Article 16(2) (row 281)]		EP TEXT
2. Member States shall ensure that representative organisations of authors and performers, including collective management	provide that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure.		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
[Article 16(2) Council's text deleted/incorporated into paragraph 1] [provisionally agreed at	Member States shall provide that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure. Member States shall ensure that representative organisations of authors and performers may initiate such procedures at the specific request of one or more authors and performers. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	Trilogue 26/11/2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

283.	282.		
Art. 16a (Council)	Art. 16a (Council), title		Location
			COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
			EP TEXT
ensure that any contractual provision which prevents the compliance with the provisions in Articles 14 and 15 of this Directive shall be unenforceable in relation to authors and performers.	Article 16a Contractual provisions	organisations, may initiate such disputes on behalf of one or more authors and performers at their request.	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
(1) Member States shall ensure that any contractual provision which prevents the compliance with the provisions in Articles 14 and 15 of this Chapter(*) Directive shall be unenforceable in relation to authors and performers. [(*) 'Chapter' refers to Articles 14,15 and 16, ie the provisions of the initial Commission	Article 16a Contractual Common provisions [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]	Trilogue 26/11/2018J	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

BM/

Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18

nas licensed or transferred her or his rights concerning a work or other protected subject-matter on an exclusive basis, the author or performer may revoke in whole or in part the licence or the transfer of rights where there is a lack of exploitation of the work or other protected subject-matter [EP: or where there is a continuous lack of regular reporting in accordance with		other protected subject- matter on an exclusive basis, the author or performer has a right of revocation where there is an absence of exploitation of the work or other protected subject matter or where there is a continuous lack of regular reporting in accordance with Article 14. Member States may provide for specific provisions			
RED 1. Member States shall ensure may provide that where an author or a performer		1. Member States shall ensure that where an author or a performer has licensed or transferred her or his rights concerning a work or		Art. 16a (EP), para 1	285.
Right of revocation [Article 16a to be further discussed at trilogue]					
RED Article 16 a		Article 16 a Right of revocation		Art. 16a (EP), title	284.
p. 16–22.					
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

BM/



286. Art. 16a 2. The right of (EP), para 2 paragraph I may be exercised only after a conclusion of the licence or the mecha account only upon written notification setting an appropriate deadline by which the exploitation of the licensed or the licensed or transferred rights is to take place. After the expiration of that deadline, the author or performer may choose to terminate the exclusivity of the contract instead of revoking the rights. Where a work or other subject- matter contribution author or or provided for in paragraph I may be revocation provided for in performen 2. Spec the mecha the experiment notification of the licence or notification setting an account notification setting an account different t rights to take place. After the expiration of that deadline, the author or performan contribution author or or author or or	taking into account the specificities of different sectors and works and anticipated exploitation period, notably provide for time limits for the right of revocation. Article 14 Sectour Anticle 14 Sectour Anticipated exploitation period, notably provide for time limits for the right of trilogue]	Location COMMISSION EP TEXT COUNCIL TEXT POSSIBI PROPOSAL COM(2016)593 doc. 9134/18
2. Specific provisions for the mechanism for revocation shall be provided for in national law taking into account (a) the specificities of the different sectors and the different types of works and performances; and (b) where a work or other subject-matter contains the contribution of more than one author or performer, the	Article 14]. [to be further discussed at trilogue]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ECOMP 3.B. LIMITE

	Location
	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
contribution of a plurality of authors or performers, the exercise of the individual right of revocation of such authors or performers shall be regulated by national law, laying down the rules on the right of revocation for collective works, taking into account the relative importance of the individual contributions.	EP TEXT
	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
relative importance of the individual contributions and the legitimate interests of all authors and performers affected by the exercise of the revocation mechanism by an individual author or performer. Member States may exclude works or other subject matter from the application of the mechanism if such works or subject matter usually contain contributions of a plurality of authors or performers. Member States may provide that the revocation mechanism shall be exercised only within a specificities of the sector, type of work or protected subject matter concerned. Member States may provide that that authors or performers may terminate the exclusivity of the contract instead of	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

287.		
Art. 16a (EP), para 3		Location
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
shall not apply if the non- exercise of the rights is predominantly due to circumstances which the author or the performer can be reasonably expected to remedy.		EP TEXT
		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
3. Member States may provide that the revocation provided for in paragraph 1 may be exercised only after a reasonable time after the conclusion of the licence or transfer agreement. The author or performer shall notify the person to whom the rights have been licensed or transferred and set an appropriate deadline by which the exploitation of the licensed or transferred rights is to take place. After the expiration of that deadline, the author or performer may choose to terminate the exclusivity of the contract instead of revoking the license or the transfer.	revoking the rights. [to be further discussed at trilogue]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

288.		
Art. 16a (EP), para 4		Location
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
4. Contractual or other arrangements derogating from the right of revocation shall be lawful only if concluded by means of an agreement which is based on a collective bargaining agreement.		EP TEXT
		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
[EP: 4. Paragraph 1 shall not apply if the non-exercise of the rights is predominantly due to circumstances which the author or the performer can be reasonably expected to remedy.] [EP: 5. Member States may provide that any contractual provision derogating from the revocation mechanism shall be enforceable only if it is based on a collective bargaining agreement.] [to be further discussed at trilogue]	[to be further discussed at trilogue]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

293.	292.	291.	290.	289.	
Art. 17, para 1, point (a)	Art. 17, para 1, point (a)	Art. 17, para 1	Art. 17, title		Location
"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:	Article 17 Amendments to other directives	TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:	Article 17 Amendments to other directives	TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS	EP TEXT
"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];"	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:	Article 17 Amendments to other directives	TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the noncommercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];"	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:	Article 17 Amendments to other directives	TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

BM/



297. Art. 17, para 2, point (a)	296. Art. 17, para 2	295. Art. 17, para 1, point (b)	294. Art. 17, para 1, point (b)		Location
(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the following:	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:	"(b) in the case of extraction for the purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:		COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the following:	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:	"(b) in the case of extraction for the purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:		EP TEXT
(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the following:	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:	"(b) in the case of extraction for the purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];"	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:		COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the following:	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:	"(b) in the case of extraction for the purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in <i>Articles 3 and 4</i> of Directive [this Directive];" [provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:	technical meeting of 13.11.2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

300. A	299. A ₁	298. A 2,	
Art. 17, para 2, point (b)	Art. 17, para 2, point (b)	Art. 17, para 2, point (a)	Location
"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated,	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated,	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	EP TEXT
"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation exception provided for in Article 5 of Directive [this Directive];"	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated,	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exception and the limitations provided for in Article 5 of Directive [this Directive];" [provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19
ECOMP 3.B.	
LIMITE	BM/

(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law as well as on the	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law as well as on the practical	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	303.
"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the internal market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the internal market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the internal market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the internal market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	302.
(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	301.
unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent justified by the noncommercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];" [provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]	justified by the non- commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];"	unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent justified by the noncommercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent justified by the noncommercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

306.		305.	304.		
Art. 17a		Art. 17a, title	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)		Location
			(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."	and case law as well as on the practical application of the measures taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593
Member States may adopt or maintain in force broader provisions, compatible with the exceptions and limitations existing in Union law, for uses covered by the exceptions or the limitation provided for in this Directive.		Article 17 a	(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."	as well as on the practical application of the measures taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];	EP TEXT
			(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."	application of the measures taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18
Member States may adopt or maintain in force broader provisions, compatible with the exceptions and limitations set out in Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC, for uses or fields covered by the exceptions or limitations provided for in this Directive and on condition that their application does not adversely	Article 17 a	GREEN	(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."	practical application of the measures taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

ANNEX	5138/19	
ECOMP 3.B.		
LIMITE	BM/	

(Deleted)	2. [Deleted]	Deleted	2. The provisions of Article 11 shall also apply to press publications published before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Art. 18, para 2	309.
1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation in the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation in the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation in the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation in the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Art. 18, para 1	308.
Article 18 Application in time	Article 18 Application in time	Article 18 Application in time	Article 18 Application in time	Art. 18	307.
[provisional agreement at trilogue 25/10/2018 /26/11/2018; a recital should clarify that Article 17a does not allow MS to not implement the mandatory exceptions under this Directive]					
affect nor circumvent the mandatory rules set out in this Directive.					
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.	Art. 20	314.
Article 20 Protection of personal data	Art. 20, title	313.			
Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Art. 19	312.
Article 19 Transitional provision	Article 19 Transitional provision	Article 19 Transitional provision	Article 19 Transitional provision	Art. 19, title	311.
3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Art. 18, para 3	310.
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

BM/

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
315.	Art. 21, title	Article 21 Transposition	Article 21 Transposition	Article 21 Transposition	Article 21 Transposition
316.	Art. 21, para 1	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [12 months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [12 months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [1224 months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [[12][24]] months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.
317.	Art. 21, para 1, sub-para 2	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.
318.	Art. 21, para	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the

BM/

288 **EZ**

Article 23	Article 23	Article 23	Article 23	Art. 23, title	322.
2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.	Art. 22, para 2	321.
1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	Art. 22, para 1	320.
Article 22 Review	Article 22 Review	Article 22 Review	Article 22 Review	Art. 22, title	319.
main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.	main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.	main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.	main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.		
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	EP TEXT	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	Location	

5138/19 ANNEX ECOMP 3.B. BM/

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		Entry into force	Entry into force	Entry into force	Entry into force
323.	Art. 23	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .
324.	Art. 24, title	Article 24 Addressees	Article 24 Addressees	Article 24 Addressees	Article 24 Addressees
325.	Art. 24	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

BM/

5138/19 ANNEX